#### COURT OVERTURNS TASINI RULING

(PACA), calls the Second Circuit's decision "a very good ruling." Joel Hecker, an intellectual property attorney who represents many photographers, views the decision in Tasini as a vindication of artist's rights. "Justice is being done on an incorrect reading of the statute," he says. The Tasini decision is good news for photographers who have cases pending in Miami and New York against the National Geographic Society for re-using their photographs, without their permission, in a CD compilation of back issues of the Geographic. Stephen Weingrad, who represents the photographers in the New York case, is pleased with the decision. His case had been stayed pending the result in Tasini, which, the Geographic attorneys claimed, would be controlling law for their case. Given the result in Tasini, Weingrad thinks

the Geographic should "confess judgment."

In Florida a Endoral court ratiod on the original Tacini

faithful reproduction of every page of every issue of our magazine—the kind of faithful reproduction that the Second Circuit Court of Appeals found lacking in the Tasini case," says director of photography Kent Kobersteen. In other words, NGS will continue to argue that its CD is a revision of its previously published magazines, so the publisher doesn't need permission from photographers to include their images on the CD.

Lawyers are certain to argue the point. In reversing *Tasini*, the appeals court noted that a *New York Times* database on CD-ROM contains both individual articles and "image-based" files of the Sunday magazine and Book Review sections. Those files are created by scanning the printed pages directly onto the CD. Despite those faithful reproductions, the court ruled that those CDs were not revisions of the original printed versions of the Times.



#### **COURT OVERTURNS TASINI RULING**

(PACA), calls the Second Circuit's decision "a very good ruling." Joel Hecker, an intellectual property attorney who represents many photographers, views the decision in *Tasini* as a vindication of artist's rights. "Justice is being done on an incorrect reading of the statute," he says.

The *Tasini* decision is good news for photographers who have cases pending in Miami and New York against the National Geographic Society for re-using their photographs, without their permission, in a CD compilation of back issues of the *Geographic*. Stephen Weingrad, who represents the photographers in the New York case, is pleased with the decision. His case had been stayed pending the result in *Tasini*, which, the Geographic attorneys claimed, would be controlling law for their case. Given the result in *Tasini*, Weingrad thinks the Geographic should "confess judgment."

In Florida, a Federal court relied on the original *Tasini* decision to dismiss photographer Jerry Greenberg's claims that the National Geographic Society infringed his copyrights by using his pictures on the CD. "This is stunning," Greenberg says of the reversal of *Tasini*. He still has the option to appeal his claim against NGS. Meanwhile, Greenberg has since won other infringement claims against NGS that were unrelated to the CD. He's about to enter settlement talks the publisher on those claims. The Tasini reversal, he says, "gives us tremendous advantage" going into those talks.

But the *Geographic* is also applauding the appeals decision. "It's good news for us. Our CD project is a

faithful reproduction of every page of every issue of our magazine—the kind of faithful reproduction that the Second Circuit Court of Appeals found lacking in the Tasini case," says director of photography Kent Kobersteen. In other words, NGS will continue to argue that its CD is a revision of its previously published magazines, so the publisher doesn't need permission from photographers to include their images on the CD.

Lawyers are certain to argue the point. In reversing *Tasini*, the appeals court noted that a *New York Times* database on CD-ROM contains both individual articles and "image-based" files of the Sunday magazine and Book Review sections. Those files are created by scanning the printed pages directly onto the CD. Despite those faithful reproductions, the court ruled that those CDs were not revisions of the original printed versions of the Times.

What does this landmark case mean for other photographers? Importantly, they will now have the opportunity to collect fees for previous unauthorized electronic uses, particularly in databases. Across the industry, such uses may number in the thousands.

In the future, though, publishers will no doubt put pressure on writers and photographers to sign "all-rights" or "work-for-hire" contracts or, at the very least, to sign over electronic reproduction rights, attorneys predict. "The question is how much they'll pay for those additional rights," says Hecker. His advice: "Make sure you understand what rights you are transferring, and make sure you agree to the compensation."



The new ruling declares that electronic databases are not a "revision" under copyright law, but leaves unanswered ques-

tions about other electronic publishing projects. "The Complete National Geographic" CD-ROM, for example, reproduces every page of every issue of the magazine for the last

108 years. If it is a revision, the National Geographic Society owes no licensing fees to freelance photographers whose work appears on the CD-ROM. If the CD-ROM is a new work, the publisher may owe photographers hundreds of thousands of dollars in usage fees.



#### COURT OVERTURNS TASINI RULING

(PACA), calls the Second Circuit's decision "a very good ruling" Joel Hecker, an intellectual property attorney who represents many photographers, views the decision in *Tasini* as a vindication of artist's rights. 'Justice is being done on an incorrect reading of the statute," he says.

The Tasini decision is good news for photographers who have cases pending in Miami and New York against the National Geographic Society for re-using their photographs, without their permission, in a CD compilation of back issues of the Geographic. Stephen Weingrad, who represents the photographers in the New York case, is pleased with the decision. His case had been stayed pending the result in Tasini, which, the Geographic attorneys claimed, would be controlling law for their case. Given the result in Tasini, Weingrad thinks the Geographic should "confess judgment."

In Florida, a Federal court relied on the original *Tasini* decision to dismiss photographer Jerry Greenberg's claims that the National Geographic Society infringed his copyrights by using his pictures on the CD. "This is stunning," Greenberg says of the reversal of *Tasini*. He still has the option to appeal his claim against NGS. Meanwhile, Greenberg has since won other infringement claims against NGS that were unrelated to the CD. He's about to enter settlement talks the publisher on those claims. The Tasini reversal, he says, "gives us tremendous advantage" going into those talks.

But the Geographic is also applauding the appeals decision. "It's good news for us. Our CD project is a

faithful reproduction of every page of every issue of our magazine—the kind of faithful reproduction that the Second Circuit Court of Appeals found lacking in the Tasini case," says director of photography Kent Kobersteen. In other words, NGS will continue to argue that its CD is a revision of its previously published magazines, so the publisher doesn't need permission from photographers to include their images on the CD.

Lawyers are certain to argue the point. In reversing Tasini, the appeals court noted that a New York Times database on CD-ROM contains both individual articles and "image-based" files of the Sunday magazine and Book Review sections. Those files are created by scanning the printed pages directly onto the CD. Despite those faithful reproductions, the court ruled that those CDs were not revisions of the original printed versions of the Times.

What does this landmark case mean for other photographers? Importantly, they will now have the opportunity to collect fees for previous unauthorized electronic uses, particularly in databases. Across the industry, such uses may number in the thousands.

In the future, though, publishers will no doubt put pressure on writers and photographers to sign "all-rights" or "work-for-hire" contracts or, at the very least, to sign over electronic reproduction rights, attorneys predict. "The question is how much they'll pay for those additional rights," says Hecker. His advice: "Make sure you understand what rights you are transferring, and make sure you agree to the compensation."



The new ruling declares that electronic databases are not a "revision" under copyright law, but leaves unanswered questions about other electronic publishing projects. "The Complete National Geographic" CD-ROM, for example, reproduces every page of every issue of the magazine for the last 108 years. If it is a revision, the National Geographic Society owes no licensing fees to freelance photographers whose work appears on the CD-ROM. If the CD-ROM is a new work, the publisher may owe photographers sunders of thousands of dollars in usage fees.



#### New Compact Monolights from Bowens

BOWENS

For the ultimate in portability and reliable high-power flash output, nothing compares to the dynamic, stylish new line of Bowens Esprit monolights.

The two most powerful Esprit units deliver a tremendous amount of flash: 1000w/s or 1500w/s of precisely controlled power. The compact Esprit 1000 is fully variable down to />2 power with flexibility over a 5 f/stop range. The system's flagship flash, the Esprit 1500, offers stepless power output from 15 to 1500w/s — that's an impressive range of 6/> f/stops! Both units offer fully stabilized output for total consistency and feature rigid steel construction to endure the toughest handling conditions.

The Esprit 1000 and 1500 models' high-performance features are extremely simple to operate and easy to adjust. And because these fan-cooled units recycle fast, they are always ready for your next shot!

See your local photographic retailer for this and other fine Bowens products!

701 Corporate Woods Parkway Vernon Hills, IL 60061 1-847-821-0450 www.8KAphoto.com

## PROGRESS CAN BE BEAUTIFUL!

For information or a demo call: **1-888-IMACON 8** (1-888-462-2668)

CHIP SIMONS PHOTOGRAPHY



In today's society, progression can be a beautiful thing. Imacon has progressed combining it's award-winning FlexTight Technology" and new EST" technology together; resulting in the industry's first A3 CCD drum scanner—the Progression.

The Imacon FlexTight Progression uses an electrostatic panel to batch scan film or reflectives from 35mm to A3 size. With true 5760dpi optical resolution and 4.1 Dmax you can now get repro-quality of a dum scanner, at a fraction of the price. Patented FlexTight Technology lets you mount and scan your images in seconds without tape or gels. Imacon's proprietary software automates color management so intuitively and puts the power of repro-quality scanning in your hands.

#### IMACON TRULY IS A BEAUTIFUL WAY TO GO DIGITAL.



COLUMN DELIG

### Photogs Ask Supreme Court To Hear NGS Case

Three photographers have separately petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review of their failed copyright infringement claims against the National Geographic Society.

Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos are all seeking judgment against the publisher over *The Complete National Geographic*, a CD compilation that includes all past issues of the magazine.

Earlier this year, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled that the NGS CD doesn't infringe the photographers' copyrights because it is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Under copyright law, publishers can issue revisions of existing works without permission from theowners of articles or photos included in the original works.

But the photographers insists that the NGS CD is a new work rather than a revision of an existing work because it includes a search engine, advertising and other elements that distinguish it from the original magazines.

The Second Circuit ruling against the pho-

tographers, contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which concluded that the NGS CD was a new product rather than a revision. The plaintiff in that case, photographer Jerry Greenberg, won a \$400,000 jury award for copyright infringement.

"[The Supreme Court's] review is urgently needed to resolve the conflict between the Second and Eleventh Circuits," Ward's lawyers argued in their petition to the high court. "Unless NGS is held accountable for the engine of infringement it has created, copyright will soon mean nothing in the digital world and the incentives on which our copyright system rests will be severely diminished."

NGS spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says the publisher will not oppose the requests for a Supreme Court hearing, in order to get the conflict between the appellate court rulings resolved.

"It is a virtually impossible position for any [publisher] to know that one federal appellate court holds a single product perfectly appropriate and lawful under federal law in three states and another federal appellate court to hold precisely the same product an improper infringement of federal copyright law in three other states," Jacobsen says. PDN

### Photogs Ask Supreme Court To Hear NGS Case

Three photographers have separately petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review of their failed copyright infringement claims against the National Geographic Society.

Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos are all seeking judgment against the publisher over *The Complete National Geographic*, a CD compilation that includes all past issues of the magazine.

Earlier this year, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled that the NGS CD doesn't infringe the photographers' copyrights because it is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Under copyright law, publishers can issue revisions of existing works without permission from theowners of articles or photos included in the original works.

But the photographers insists that the NGS CD is a new work rather than a revision of an existing work because it includes a search engine, advertising and other elements that distinguish it from the original magazines.

The Second Circuit ruling against the pho-

tographers, contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which concluded that the NGS CD was a new product rather than a revision. The plaintiff in that case, photographer Jerry Greenberg, won a \$400,000 jury award for copyright infringement.

"[The Supreme Court's] review is urgently needed to resolve the conflict between the Second and Eleventh Circuits," Ward's lawyers argued in their petition to the high court. "Unless NGS is held accountable for the engine of infringement it has created, copyright will soon mean nothing in the digital world and the incentives on which our copyright system rests will be severely diminished."

NGS spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says the publisher will not oppose the requests for a Supreme Court hearing, in order to get the conflict between the appellate court rulings resolved.

"It is a virtually impossible position for any [publisher] to know that one federal appellate court holds a single product perfectly appropriate and lawful under federal law in three states and another federal appellate court to hold precisely the same product an improper infringement of federal copyright law in three other states," Jacobsen says.

# Photogs Ask Supreme Court To Hear NGS Case

Three photographers have separately petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review of their failed copyright infringement claims against the National Geographic Society.

Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos are all seeking judgment against the publisher over The Complete National Geographic, a CD compilation that includes all past issues of the magazine.

Earlier this year, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled that the NGS CD doesn't infringe the photographers' copyrights because it is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Under copyright law, publishers can issue revisions of existing works without permission from theowners of articles or photos included in the original works.

But the photographers insists that the NGS CD is a new work rather than a revision of an existing work because it includes a search engine, advertising and other elements that distinguish it from the original magazines.

tographers, contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which concluded that the NGS CD was a new product rather than a revision. The plaintiff in that case, photographer Jerry Greenberg, won a \$400,000 jury award for copyright infringement.

"[The Supreme Court's] review is urgently needed to resolve the conflict between the Second and Eleventh Circuits," Ward's lawyers argued in their petition to the high court. "Unless NGS is held accountable for the engine of infringement it has created, copyright will soon mean nothing in the digital world and the incentives on which our copyright system rests will be severely diminished."

NGS spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says the publisher will not oppose the requests for a Supreme Court hearing, in order to get the conflict between the appellate court rulings resolved.

"It is a virtually impossible position for any [publisher] to know that one federal appellate court holds a single product perfectly appropriate and lawful under federal law in three states and another federal appellate court to hold precisely the same product an improper infringement of federal copyright The Second Circuit ruling against the pho--law in three other states," Jacobsen says.

Dr. Shah Library i represe develop accordi sees the for disa source an expl With th disaste earthq of Afri photoj PDN: justic Shah is effe 🥣 and t point mone

**PDN** 

Sha

Thi

An

#### Photogs Ask Supreme Court To Hear NGS Case

Three photographers have separately petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review of their failed copyright infringement claims against the National Geographic Society.

Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos are all seeking judgment against the publisher over *The Complete National Geographic*, a CD compilation that includes all past issues of the magazine.

Earlier this year, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled that the NGS CD doesn't infringe the photographers' copyrights because it is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Under copyright law, publishers can issue revisions of existing works without permission from theowners of articles or photos included in the original works.

But the photographers insists that the NGS CD is a new work rather than a revision of an existing work because it includes a search engine, advertising and other elements that distinguish it from the original magazines.

The Second Circuit ruling against the pho-

tographers, contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which concluded that the NGS CD was a new product rather than a revision. The plaintiff in that case, photographer Jerry Greenberg, won a \$400,000 jury award for copyright infringement.

"[The Supreme Court's] review is urgently needed to resolve the conflict between the Second and Eleventh Circuits," Ward's lawyers argued in their petition to the high court. "Unless NGS is held accountable for the engine of infringement it has created, copyright will soon mean nothing in the digital world and the incentives on which our copyright system rests will be severely diminished."

NGS spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says the publisher will not oppose the requests for a Supreme Court hearing, in order to get the conflict between the appellate court rulings resolved.

"It is a virtually impossible position for any [publisher] to know that one federal appellate court holds a single product perfectly appropriate and lawful under federal law in three states and another federal appellate court to hold precisely the same product an improper infringement of federal copyright law in three other states," Jacobsen says. Sha Sha And Dr. Shah Library represe develop accordi sees the for disa source an exp With ti disaste

**PDN** 

PDN: justic Shah is effe and t point mone

eartho

of Afri

photoj



### Copyright Law Notice

The Copyright Law of the United States (Title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of reproductions of copyrighted works. A computer program or software protected by copyright may not be copied without the authorization of the copyright owner, except that a copy may be made in accordance with §117 of the Copyright Law for backup purposes for protection in the event that the original software malfunctions. FedEx Kinko's Office and Print Services, Inc. does not authorize the use of this computer for the reproduction of copyrighted software other than that allowed under §117. The person using this machine is responsible for and would be liable for any infringement. Our primary objective is to take care of your needs. We sincerely appreciate your understanding and cooperation in complying with the FedEx Kinko's<sup>™</sup> copying policy.

## **Copyright Warning**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of reproductions of copyrighted work. The person using the reproduction equipment is liable for any infringement. For more information on the laws regarding copyrights contact the United States Copyright Office at 202.707.3000.

## **Counterfeit Warning**

It is the FedEx Kinko's policy to uphold Title 18 of the U.S. code against counterfeiting materials of monetary value or items that are used for identification. In furtherance of this policy, color reproduction of paper currency, checks, money orders, travel checks, postage stamps, bonds and like monetary materials, as well as drivers licenses, passports, immigration papers, certificates of title and like forms of identification is prohibited. Authorities will be notified of any illegal activities suspected by FedEx Kinko's as being in violation of Title 18.

## **Copyright Warning**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of reproductions of copyrighted work. The person using the reproduction equipment is liable for any infringement. For more information on the laws regarding copyrights contact the United States Copyright Office at 202.707.3000.

## **Counterfeit Warning**

It is the FedEx Kinko's policy to uphold Title 18 of the U.S. code against counterfeiting materials of monetary value or items that are used for identification. In furtherance of this policy, color reproduction of paper currency, checks, money orders, travel checks, postage stamps, bonds and like monetary materials, as well as drivers licenses, passports, immigration papers, certificates of title and like forms of identification is prohibited. Authorities will be notified of any illegal activities suspected by FedEx Kinko's as being in violation of Title 18.

## **Copyright Law Notice**

The Copyright Law of the United States (Title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of reproductions of copyrighted works. A computer program or software protected by copyright may not be copied without the authorization of the copyright owner, except that a copy may be made in accordance with §117 of the Copyright Law for backup purposes for protection in the event that the original software malfunctions. FedEx Kinko's Office and Print Services, Inc. does not authorize the use of this computer for the reproduction of copyrighted software other than that allowed under §117. The person using this machine is responsible for and would be liable for any infringement. Our primary objective is to take care of your needs. We sincerely appreciate your understanding and cooperation in complying with the FedEx Kinko's<sup>™</sup> copying policy.

## **Copyright Warning**

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of reproductions of copyrighted work. The person using the reproduction equipment is liable for any infringement. For more information on the laws regarding copyrights contact the United States Copyright Office at 202.707.3000.

## **Counterfeit Warning**

It is the FedEx Kinko's policy to uphold Title 18 of the U.S. code against counterfeiting materials of monetary value or items that are used for identification. In furtherance of this policy, color reproduction of paper currency, checks, money orders, travel checks, postage stamps, bonds and like monetary materials, as well as drivers licenses, passports, immigration papers, certificates of title and like forms of identification is prohibited. Authorities will be notified of any illegal activities suspected by FedEx Kinko's as being in violation of Title 18.

### Supreme Court Refuses to Hear NGS Case

The U.S. Supreme Court has declined to review an appeals court ruling in favor of the National Geographic Society over the publisher's unauthorized use of images on a CD-ROM. The high court gave no explanation for its decision.

Photographers Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos all sued NGS for copyright infringement over the use of their images on The Complete National Geographic, a CD compilation that reproduces all past issues of NGS in electronic form.

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit ruled earlier this year that the NGS CD did not infringe the photographers' copyrights because the CD is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Copyright law allows publishers to revise existing works without permission from owners of articles or photos included in the original works.

The photographers believe the 2nd Circuit Court erred by concluding that the CD compilation is a revision. Moreover, the 2nd Circuit ruling contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit, located in Atlanta, which concluded that the CD was, in fact, a new work.

The 11th Circuit Court reached its conclusion in the case of *Jerry Greenberg v. The National Geographic Society*. Greenberg has won a \$400,000 jury award in that case, although National Geographic continues to file motions to reverse the verdict.

Lawyers for the photographers who lost their claims in the 2nd Circuit Court had argued to the Supreme Court that its involvement in the case "is urgently needed to resolve the conflict between the 2nd and 11th Circuits."

After the Supreme Court declined to hear the case, Ward wrote in a "dear friends and colleagues" e-mail, "Today was a black day...this puts the entire publishing industry in a quandary."

Although he has exhausted avenues for appeal on his copyright claims, Ward has vowed to pursue various state law claims against NGS.

National Geographic also wanted the conflicting appeals court rulings resolved, so it had also asked the Supreme Court court for a review of the 2nd Circuit ruling.

National Geographic spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says "one can only infer" that the Supreme Court declined to take the case because it agreed with the 2nd Circuit's ruling. On that basis, National Geographic intends to appeal the Greenberg ruling to the 11th Circuit again, Jacobsen says. She hinted that if the 11th Circuit doesn't reverse itself and rule in the publisher's favor as the 2nd Circuit has, NGS will take the Greenberg case to the Supreme Court.

Back in 2001, however, the Supreme Court declined a request from NGS to hear the Greenberg case after NGS lost that case in the 11th Circuit. Reviews NEWS NAY, 2006

The embargoes e advantage to cell phone com-IFA for rights to f the games. ed down under rld Association enting the mathe Newspaper I). But such disur, given the riss photography, to profit from fierce competinizations for ac-

#### BUSINESS

ip in the stock
with a variety
tions and occaeen agencies.
the stock in;, but its comting a littler

/'s distant secr, Corbis, ann of German-:k agency Zefa r \$220 million. > strengthen its ngs and efforts distributor, for \$63 million.

Jupitermedia's acquisitions were part of its strategy to focus resources on its stock photography business, with intent to challenge industry leader Getty Images head-on in the royalty free sector. Getty had distributed Jupiter's brands, but the two agencies had a falling out over revenue sharing. Jupiter CEO Alan Meckler later suggested Getty was using "storm trooper tactics to prevent competition in the marketplace."

In another sign of the dog-eat-dog competition, Meckler took Getty to task for buying Google rights to several of Jupiter's trademarked brands —at least briefly—so that Getty ads would pop up when Google users searched for the Jupiter brands.

The battlegrounds in the stock business, meanwhile, have been expanding to include new market segments. One of those segments is stock photo subscription services, which provide customers with access to thousands of images for a flat monthly or annual fee.

Getty entered the subscription services business in August with a collection of 50,000 images. At the time of the launch, customers were allowed use of up to 50 print-quality

#### ounds in the stock business have nding to new market segments, ling subscription services.

free presence, ough another evenue growth iring.

e challenge to y-free purveyor ock photo comrhich acquired iy Creatas LLC, oto distributor ip, for \$38 milbought Picture d stock photo

images per day for \$499 per month, or \$1,999 per year.

Two weeks later, Jupiter made most of its collection available on a subscription basis for prices ranging from \$2,500 to \$10,000 per year, depending upon resolution.

So-called micropayment sites represent another new and growing category of the stock photo business. Sites such as iStockPhoto.com and ShutterStock solicit contributions from amateur and semi-professiona photographers, maintain forums for those photographers to exchange information and feedback, and license images to users for as little \$1 (in iStockPhoto's case) or for low monthly subscriptions (ShutterStock).

Jupiter bought into the segment earlier this year by purchasing a minority interest in HAAP media, a Hungarian company that owns micro sites Stock.xchng and Stockxpert.com.

"It's something of an insurance policy" says Meckler, who doesn't want to miss an opportunity or expose his business to a threat if the micropayment model takes off.

About two weeks later, Getty staked its claim in the micropayment business by purchasing iStockPhoto.com for \$50 million.

Amid the jockeying and squabbling, though, was at least one random act of generosity and kindness: the former owners of Picture Arts shared with their employees \$7 million of the proceeds from the sale of their company to Jupitermedia. "We got where we are because we've hired smart, hard-working people," says Jeffrey Burke, who co-owned Picture Arts with his wife, Lorraine Triolo. "We felt obligated to share."

#### **LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS**

Photographers continued to grapple in 2005 with post-9/11 security measures that infringe on their First Amendment rights to take pictures of public buildings, bridges and other infrastructure visible from public places.

Their most successful battle was the defeat last May of a proposed ban on photography and video in the New York City subway system. The New York Civil Liberties Union (NYCLU) took up the fight on behalf of photographers, and the National Press Photographers Association (NPPA) came out strongly against the proposed restrictions, saying they were "truly ineffective and [would] hinder working photographers everywhere."

The City of New York dropped the proposal after police determined that they could not enforce such a ban.

But such battles are never quite over. Transit police on the Long Island Railroad, which is under the same authority as the subway system, continued to enforce the non-existent ban well into 2006. Transit authorities vowed to stop those enforcement actions after the NYCLU lodged a formal complaint.

On the copyright front, the infringement claims of photographers against National Geographic Society reached an impasse after nearly a decade in the courts. The fight is over whether NGS needs permission to reuse photographers' images on its CD-ROM compilation of all back issues of National Geographic magazine.

Last year, a federal appeals court in New York City ruled that NGS was within its rights to publish the CD without permission from the photographers. But that ruling contradicted an earlier ruling by a federal appeals court in Atlanta, which said NGS had violated Florida photographer Jerry Greenberg's copyrights by publishing his images on the CD without permission.

The Supreme Court refused to review the ruling by the New York appeals court, leaving photographers and NGS in a state of uncertainty about whether or not the CD violates copyright. So the fight goes on, with photographers in the New York case vowing to pursue contract claims against NGS in state courts. Meanwhile, NGS has taken the Supreme Court's refusal to review the New York appeals decision as affirmation that their CD is legal, and redoubled efforts to get the appeals ruling from Atlanta reversed. NGS is doing that not only to avoid paying a \$400,000 damage award to Jerry Greenberg, but to ensure it can safely sell the CDs in states under the jurisdiction of the Atlanta court.



The embargoes advantage to ell phone com-FA for rights to f the games. ed down under rld Association enting the mathe Newspaper ). But such dis-Ir, given the riss photography, to profit from fierce competilizations for ac-

#### BUSINESS

p in the stock with a variety tions and occaen agencies. the stock inbut its comting a littler

y's distant secir, Corbis, anon of Germanck agency Zefa ir \$220 million. o strengthen its ngs and efforts distributor, for \$63 million.

Jupitermedia's acquisitions were part of its strategy to focus resources on its stock photography business, with intent to challenge industry leader Getty Images head-on in the royalty free sector. Getty had distributed Jupiter's brands, but the two agencies had a falling out over revenue sharing. Jupiter CEO Alan Meckler later suggested Getty was using "storm trooper tactics to prevent competition in the marketplace."

In another sign of the dog-eat-dog competition, Meckler took Getty to task for buying Google rights to several of Jupiter's trademarked brands —at least briefly—so that Getty ads would pop up when Google users searched for the Jupiter brands.

The battlegrounds in the stock business, meanwhile, have been expanding to include new market segments. One of those segments is stock photo subscription services, which provide customers with access to thousands of images for a flat monthly or annual fee.

Getty entered the subscription services business in August with a collection of 50,000 images. At the time of the launch, customers were allowed use of up to 50 print-quality

#### ounds in the stock business have nding to new market segments, ling subscription services.

free presence, ough another evenue growth uring.

e challenge to y-free purveyor ock photo comvhich acquired ny Creatas LLC, oto distributor up, for \$38 milbought Picture ed stock photo images per day for \$499 per month, or \$1,999 per year.

Two weeks later, Jupiter made most of its collection available on a subscription basis for prices ranging from \$2,500 to \$10,000 per year, depending upon resolution.

So-called micropayment sites represent another new and growing category of the stock photo business. Sites such as iStockPhoto.com and ShutterStock solicit contributions from amateur and semi-professional photographers, maintain forums for those photographers to exchange information and feedback, and license images to users for as little \$1 (in iStockPhoto's case) or for low monthly subscriptions (ShutterStock).

Jupiter bought into the segment earlier this year by purchasing a minority interest in HAAP media, a Hungarian company that owns micro sites Stock.xchng and Stockxpert.com.

"it's something of an insurance policy" says Meckler, who doesn't want to miss an opportunity or expose his business to a threat if the micropayment model takes off.

About two weeks later, Getty staked its claim in the micropayment business by purchasing iStockPhoto.com for \$50 million.

Amid the jockeying and squabbling, though, was at least one random act of generosity and kindness: the former owners of Picture Arts shared with their employees \$7 million of the proceeds from the sale of their company to Jupitermedia. "We got where we are because we've hired smart, hard-working people," says Jeffrey Burke, who co-owned Picture Arts with his wife, Lorraine Triolo. "We felt obligated to share."

#### LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS

Photographers continued to grapple in 2005 with post-9/11 security measures that infringe on their First Amendment rights to take pictures of public buildings, bridges and other infrastructure visible from public places.

Their most successful battle was the defeat last May of a proposed ban on photography and video in the New York City subway system. The New York Civil Liberties Union (NYCLU) took up the fight on behalf of photographers, and the National Press Photographers Association (NPPA) came out strongly against the proposed restrictions, saying they were "truly ineffective and [would] hinder working photographers everywhere." The City of New York dropped the proposal after police determined that they could not enforce such a ban.

But such battles are never quite over. Transit police on the Long Island Railroad, which is under the same authority as the subway system, continued to enforce the non-existent ban well into 2006. Transit authorities vowed to stop those enforcement actions after the NYCLU lodged a formal complaint.

On the copyright front, the infringement claims of photographers against National Geographic Society reached an impasse after nearly a decade in the courts. The fight is over whether NGS needs permission to reuse photographers' images on its CD-ROM compilation of all back issues of National Geographic magazine.

Last year, a federal appeals court in New York City ruled that NGS was within its rights to publish the CD without permission from the photographers. But that ruling contradicted an earlier ruling by a federal appeals court in Atlanta, which said NGS had violated Florida photographer Jerry Greenberg's copyrights by publishing his images on the CD without permission.

The Supreme Court refused to review the ruling by the New York appeals court, leaving photographers and NGS in a state of uncertainty about whether or not the CD violates copyright. So the fight goes on, with photographers in the New York case vowing to pursue contract claims against NGS in state courts. Meanwhile, NGS has taken the Supreme Court's refusal to review the New York appeals decision as affirmation that their CD is legal, and redoubled efforts to get the appeals ruling from Atlanta reversed. NGS is doing that not only to avoid paying a \$400,000 damage award to Jerry Greenberg, but to ensure it can safely sell the CDs in states under the jurisdiction of the Atlanta court.

botography business, challenge industry ages head-on in the tor. Getty had distribprands, but the two alling out over revenue CEO Alan Meckler lattty was using "storm p prevent competition ice."

n of the dog-eat-dog eckler took Getty to Google rights to sevtrademarked brands y—so that Getty ads when Google users Jupiter brands.

ounds in the stock while, have been exide new market segthose segments is ibscription services, istomers with access if images for a flat ial fee.

the subscription sern August with a coln images. At the time customers were aln to 50 print-quality

#### siness have egments, ices.

for \$499 per month, )r.

ater, Jupiter made ction available on a is for prices ranging 10,000 per year, desolution.

opayment sites repew and growing catock photo business. tockPhoto.com and ilicit contributions d semi-professional tormation and feedback, and license images to users for as little \$1 (in iStockPhoto's case) or for low monthly subscriptions (ShutterStock).

Jupiter bought into the segment earlier this year by purchasing a minority interest in HAAP media, a Hungarian company that owns micro sites Stock.xchng and Stockxpert.com.

"It's something of an insurance policy" says Meckler, who doesn't want to miss an opportunity or expose his business to a threat if the micropayment model takes off.

About two weeks later, Getty staked its claim in the micropayment business by purchasing iStockPhoto.com for \$50 million.

Amid the jockeying and squabbling, though, was at least one random act of generosity and kindness: the former owners of Picture Arts shared with their employees \$7 million of the proceeds from the sale of their company to Jupitermedia. "We got where we are because we've hired smart, hard-working people," says Jeffrey Burke, who co-owned Picture Arts with his wife, Lorraine Triolo. "We felt obligated to share."

#### **LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS**

Photographers continued to grapple in 2005 with post-9/11 security measures that infringe on their First Amendment rights to take pictures of public buildings, bridges and other infrastructure visible from public places.

Their most successful battle was the defeat last May of a proposed ban on photography and video in the New York City subway system. The New York Civil Liberties Union (NYCLU) took up the fight on behalf of photographers, and the National Press Photographers Association (NPPA) came out strongly against the proposed restrictions, saying they were "truly ineffective and [would] hinder working photographers everywhere."

PHOTO DISTRICT NEWS MAY, 2006. PG. 29 they could not enforce such a ban.

But such battles are never quite over. Transit police on the Long Island Railroad, which is under the same authority as the subway system, continued to enforce the non-existent ban well into 2006. Transit authorities vowed to stop those enforcement actions after the NYCLU lodged a formal complaint.

On the copyright front, the infringement claims of photographers against National Geographic Society reached an impasse after nearly a decade in the courts. The fight is over whether NGS needs permission to reuse photographers' images on its CD-ROM compilation of all back issues of *National Geographic* magazine.

Last year, a federal appeals court in New York City ruled that NGS was within its rights to publish the CD without permission from the photographers. But that ruling contradicted an earlier ruling by a federal appeals court in Atlanta, which said NGS had violated Florida photographer Jerry Greenberg's copyrights by publishing his images on the CD without permission.

The Supreme Court refused to review the ruling by the New York appeals court, leaving photographers and NGS in a state of uncertainty about whether or not the CD violates copyright. So the fight goes on, with photographers in the New York case vowing to pursue contract claims against NGS in state courts. Meanwhile, NGS has taken the Supreme Court's refusal to review the New York appeals decision as affirmation that their CD is legal, and redoubled efforts to get the appeals ruling from Atlanta reversed. NGS is doing that not only to avoid paying a \$400,000 damage award to Jerry Greenberg, but to ensure it can safely sell the CDs in states under the jurisdiction of the Atlanta court.

MAY 2006 PDN 29



nbargoes intage to one comrights to

sames. wn under sociation ; the maewspaper such disin the ristography, ofit from competins for ac-

### INESS

he stock a variety ind occaigencies. stock inits coma littler

tant secrbis, an-Germanincy Zefa million. gthen its ad efforts distributor, for \$63 million.

Jupitermedia's acquisitions were part of its strategy to focus resources on its stock photography business, with intent to challenge industry leader Getty Images head-on in the royalty free sector. Getty had distributed Jupiter's brands, but the two agencies had a falling out over revenue sharing. Jupiter CEO Alan Meckler later suggested Getty was using "storm trooper tactics to prevent competition in the marketplace."

In another sign of the dog-eat-dog competition, Meckler took Getty to task for buying Google rights to several of Jupiter's trademarked brands —at least briefly—so that Getty ads would pop up when Google users searched for the Jupiter brands.

The battlegrounds in the stock business, meanwhile, have been expanding to include new market segments. One of those segments is stock photo subscription services, which provide customers with access to thousands of images for a flat monthly or annual fee.

Getty entered the subscription services business in August with a collection of 50,000 images. At the time of the launch, customers were allowed use of up to 50 print-quality

#### ds in the stock business have Ig to new market segments, subscription services.

oresence, another e growth

llenge to purveyor loto comacquired atas LLC, stributor \$38 milit Picture ck photo images per day for \$499 per month, or \$1,999 per year.

Two weeks later, Jupiter made most of its collection available on a subscription basis for prices ranging from \$2,500 to \$10,000 per year, depending upon resolution.

So-called micropayment sites represent another new and growing category of the stock photo business. Sites such as iStockPhoto.com and ShutterStock solicit contributions from amateur and semi-professiona photographers, maintain forums for those photographers to exchange information and feedback, and license images to users for as little \$1 (in iStockPhoto's case) or for low monthly subscriptions (ShutterStock).

Jupiter bought into the segment earlier this year by purchasing a minority interest in HAAP media, a Hungarian company that owns micro sites Stock.xchng and Stockxpert.com.

"It's something of an insurance policy" says Meckler, who doesn't want to miss an opportunity or expose his business to a threat if the micropayment model takes off.

About two weeks later, Getty staked its claim in the micropayment business by purchasing iStockPhoto.com for \$50 million.

Amid the jockeying and squabbling, though, was at least one random act of generosity and kindness: the former owners of Picture Arts shared with their employees \$7 million of the proceeds from the sale of their company to Jupitermedia. "We got where we are because we've hired smart, hard-working people," says Jeffrey Burke, who co-owned Picture Arts with his wife, Lorraine Triolo. "We felt obligated to share."

#### **LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS**

Photographers continued to grapple in 2005 with post-9/in security measures that infringe on their First Amendment rights to take pictures of public buildings, bridges and other infrastructure visible from public places.

Their most successful battle was the defeat last May of a proposed ban on photography and video in the New York City subway system. The New York Civil Liberties Union (NYCLU) took up the fight on behalf of photographers, and the National Press Photographers Association (NPPA) came out strongly against the proposed restrictions, saying they were "truly ineffective and [would] hinder working photographers everywhere." The City of New York dropped the proposal after police determined that they could not enforce such a ban.

But such battles are never quite over. Transit police on the Long Island Railroad, which is under the same authority as the subway system, continued to enforce the non-existent ban well into 2006. Transit authorities vowed to stop those enforcement actions after the NYCLU lodged a formal complaint.

On the copyright front, the infringement claims of photographers against National Geographic Society reached an impasse after nearly a decade in the courts. The fight is over whether NGS needs permission to reuse photographers' images on its CD-ROM compilation of all back issues of National Geographic magazine.

Last year, a federal appeals court in New York City ruled that NGS was within its rights to publish the CD without permission from the photographers. But that ruling contradicted an earlier ruling by a federal appeals court in Atlanta, which said NGS had violated Florida photographer Jerry Greenberg's copyrights by publishing his images on the CD without permission.

The Supreme Court refused to review the ruling by the New York appeals court, leaving photographers and NGS in a state of uncertainty about whether or not the CD violates copyright. So the fight goes on, with photographers in the New York case vowing to pursue contract claims against NGS in state courts. Meanwhile, NGS has taken the Supreme Court's refusal to review the New York appeals decision as affirmation that their CD is legal, and redoubled efforts to get the appeals ruling from Atlanta reversed. NGS is doing that not only to avoid paying a \$400,000 damage award to Jerry Greenberg, but to ensure it can safely sell the CDs in states under the jurisdiction of the Atlanta court,

BellSouth - Web E-mail

http://webmail.bellsouth.net/agent/mobmain?msgvw=AHUAcQAk...

BELLSOUTH

Jerry - new From Fred

#### WEB E-MAIL

🖶 Home 🔯 E-mail 🞚 E-Greetings 🎖 Help & Technical Sur

·		
arch The Web		
′ou are currently signed in - Logout		
ompose New Message	Reply   Reply All   Forward   Move To: (Choose Folder)	
hiders	Reply Reply All Forward Move To: (Choose Folder)	Back Back
110 FI 4	From: Fred Ward <fred@fredwardgems.com> <u>Add to Address Book</u> Date: 2005/11/14 Mon AM 10:25:57 EST</fred@fredwardgems.com>	Close
🖉 <u>inbox</u>	To: Fred Ward <fred@fredwardgems.com></fred@fredwardgems.com>	
Sent Mail		
Drafts	You will likely find this interesting compare this effort and the NGS CD set. There are differences. Fred	
Trash	?	
Create/Edit Folders	?	
ptions	r November 10, 2005	
puoria		
<u>Address Book</u> POP Mail	?This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues,	
Manage SPAM Preferences	clients or customers, use the Order Reprints tool at the bottom of any article or visit	
E-Mail Help	www.direprints.com.	
	? See a sample reprint in PDF format. ? Order a reprint of this article now.	
ree Credit Report Instantiy!		
comparison shop with BellSouth	New Yorker on DVD Is Readers' Delight,	
save money	Surfers' Frustration By JESSICA MINTZ	
ry BellSouth Webtunes today	Staff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL November 10, 2005; Page B1	
Ty Bolloodal Trobianco Waay	When the Complete New Yorker hit bookstores in September, many loval readers rushed to buy it. The set of eight DVD-ROMs priced at \$100	
et a FREE Multi-Room	contains every issue of the literary magazine, starting with the first one published in 1925, "It's a great way just to in an	
	instant, pull up whatever I'm looking for," says Dan Rivas, a salesman at Politics and Prose, a Washington backstore, who bought	
	the set and installed the first disk on his computer.	
	But Mr. Rivas and other Web-savvy users accustomed to navigating easily through online content find The Complete New Yorker a bit of	
	an anachronism. Each page of content is literally a picture of a magazine page. Readers can't copy text from a story and page it	
	articles, only within titles and abstracts. If they want to jump from	
	issue to issue, or article to article, they first have to go back to the index and sometimes change DVDs. "It feels a little bit	
	cumpersome," Mr. Rivas says.	
	Why does The Complete New Yorker feel so low-tech? The explanation lies in a years-long battle over a clause in U.S. copyright law concerning the ownership of rights to magazine articles written by free-lancers.	
	When Congress revamped copyright law in 1976, it said magazine	
	publishers retained the right to print collections and revisions of past issues. But when a magazine wants to republish a free-lance work	
	an a new and different format, the free-lancer must be compensated	
	when republishing articles on DVD or other digital formats, magazines must pay free-lancers again, get their permission to republish free - or preserve the original print context. The New Yorker's solution was to scan the original magazine pages onto DVDs.	
	Copyright restrictions have emerged as a major stumpling block for	
	publications launching a variety of digital products. Especially knotty are works published between 1978, the year the revised copyright law took effect, and the early 1990s, which is when most	

magazines began requiring free-lance writers to sign over rights for media now known or developed in the future.

Ruling in 2001 on a 1993 case, Tasini et al v. New York Times et al, the U.S. Supreme Court said that a digital database – a collection of the full text of articles in one big archive – does constitute a new use, because it doesn't preserve the articles in their original context. The court ruled in favor of the plaintiffs, free-lance writers who claimed that several publishers, including the New York Times Co. and Time Inc., had unlawfully resold their articles as part of electronic databases.

The court drew a distinction between digital databases and microfilm reproductions: The latter, it said, fall within the law because they preserve articles "in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper." As part of a related lawsuit settled this past September, several companies, including Dow Jones & Co. and Reed Elsevier PLC's LexisNexis, agreed to pay \$18 million in damages to free-lance writers over similar copyright claims.

?

Copyright issues were important in designing The Complete New Yorker, the magazine's DVD archive.

The Complete New Yorker's format is a direct result of the databasereproduction distinction. It also was influenced by a legal decision, handed down earlier this year, on a similar digital product dating from 1997, The Complete National Geographic. The Second Circuit Court of Appeals in New York ruled that National Geographic's 30-disk CD-ROM collection – covering more than a century of publication and presenting each issue, scanned page by page – fell within the law.

Copyright issues are coming to the fore as Google Inc. and Amazon.com Inc. get off the ground with initiatives to make the contents of books available online. A group of authors and publishers have filed a lawsuit against Google over its plans to scan the contents of several huge libraries. That dispute, however, focuses on another aspect of copyright law – "fair use" – which provides for some limited free use of copyrighted material.

Many university and other big libraries are bumping up against these issues as they try to create digital archives of research material. "Getting permission to archive digital material is a nightmare," says MacKenzie Smith, associate director for technology at the Massachusetts institute of Technology libraries. "There's a good chance that we will fail to keep a digital scholarly record, not for technical reasons, but because our current intellectual property regime makes it impossible to even try."

Executives preparing the release of The Complete New Yorker used the Tasini ruling as a guide and also watched the National Geographic decision closely, said Edward Klaris, general counsel for the New Yorker, who worked on the anthology from its inception. He says the New Yorker designed its archive based largely on the Supreme Court's remarks about preserving context. "We were either prescient or stupid," Mr. Klaris says.

Today the National Geographic collection does seem like a technological dinosaur (it is also out of print). The images of the pages are grainy, small, hard to read. Tino D'Amico, a technology consultant in Front Royal, Va., says the scanned pages "might have been reasonable in those days because of smaller screen size, but today I've got a 19-inch LCD monitor on my desk. [It is a] postage stamp on that sort of screen."

in contrast, the pages of The Complete New Yorker aren't hard to read. But reviewers are irked that the product relies on a custom software application that could become obsolete in coming years.

Although copyright concerns were given priority in the design of The Complete New Yorker, Mr. Klaris says he wouldn't choose to make the final product any easier to search, or any more Web-like, than it is today. "We do not currently see a need or have a desire to make every article ever published in the New Yorker a searchable text file," he says. The technology for converting page images to text is errorprone, he adds, and the sheer amount of data would have been too big for most readers' computers.

Because The Complete New Yorker can be updated via the Internet, it should age better than older CD-ROM products have, Mr. Klaris says.

When editors at Harper's Magazine decided to launch an online archive spanning their magazine's 150-year history, "the first thing we did was talk to our lawyer," says Paul Ford, an associate editor. The magazine's archive, which is expected to be up soon, will use a combination of text and page images, depending on copyright requirements of the articles.

Some magazines have picked their way through the copyright minefield by simply getting permission from individual writers to republish magazines began requiring free-lance writers to sign over rights for media now known or developed in the future.

Ruling in 2001 on a 1993 case, Tasini et al v. New York Times et al, the U.S. Supreme Court said that a digital database – a collection of the full text of articles in one big archive – does constitute a new use, because it doesn't preserve the articles in their original context. The court ruled in favor of the plaintiffs, free-lance writers who claimed that several publishers, including the New York Times Co. and Time Inc., had unlawfully resold their articles as part of electronic databases.

The court drew a distinction between digital databases and microfilm reproductions: The latter, it said, fall within the law because they preserve articles "in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper." As part of a related lawsuit settled this past September, several companies, including Dow Jones & Co. and Reed Elsevier PLC's LexisNexis, agreed to pay \$18 million in damages to free-lance writers over similar copyright claims.

?

. Copyright issues were important in designing The Complete New Yorker, the magazine's DVD archive.

The Complete New Yorker's format is a direct result of the databasereproduction distinction. It also was influenced by a legal decision, handed down earlier this year, on a similar digital product dating from 1997, The Complete National Geographic. The Second Circuit Court of Appeals in New York ruled that National Geographic's 30-disk CD-ROM collection -- covering more than a century of publication and presenting each issue, scanned page by page -- fell within the law.

Copyright issues are coming to the fore as Google Inc. and Amazon.com Inc. get off the ground with initiatives to make the contents of books available online. A group of authors and publishers have filed a lawsuit against Google over its plans to scan the contents of several huge libraries. That dispute, however, focuses on another aspect of copyright law -- "fair use" -- which provides for some limited free use of copyrighted material.

Many university and other big libraries are bumping up against these issues as they try to create digital archives of research material. "Getting permission to archive digital material is a nightmare," says MacKenzie Smith, associate director for technology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology libraries. "There's a good chance that we will fail to keep a digital scholarly record, not for technical reasons, but because our current intellectual property regime makes it impossible to even try."

Executives preparing the release of The Complete New Yorker used the Tasini ruling as a guide and also watched the National Geographic decision closely, said Edward Klaris, general counsel for the New Yorker, who worked on the anthology from its inception. He says the New Yorker designed its archive based largely on the Supreme Court's remarks about preserving context. "We were either prescient or stupid," Mr. Klaris says.

Today the National Geographic collection does seem like a technological dinosaur (it is also out of print). The images of the pages are grainy, small, hard to read. Tino D'Amico, a technology consultant in Front Royal, Va., says the scanned pages "might have been reasonable in those days because of smaller screeen size, but today I've got a 19-inch LCD monitor on my desk. [It is a] postage stamp on that sort of screen."

In contrast, the pages of The Complete New Yorker aren't hard to read. But reviewers are irked that the product relies on a custom software application that could become obsolete in coming years.

Although copyright concerns were given priority in the design of The Complete New Yorker, Mr. Klaris says he wouldn't choose to make the final product any easier to search, or any more Web-like, than it is today. "We do not currently see a need or have a desire to make every article ever published in the New Yorker a searchable text file," he says. The technology for converting page images to text is errorprone, he adds, and the sheer amount of data would have been too big for most readers' computers.

Because The Complete New Yorker can be updated via the Internet, it should age better than older CD-ROM products have, Mr. Klaris says.

When editors at Harper's Magazine decided to launch an online archive spanning their magazine's 150-year history, "the first thing we did was talk to our lawyer," says Paul Ford, an associate editor. The magazine's archive, which is expected to be up soon, will use a combination of text and page images, depending on copyright requirements of the articles.

Some magazines have picked their way through the copyright minefield by simply getting permission from individual writers to republish their works electronically. For an online archive of selected articles from the Atlantic Monthly spanning 148 years, Atlantic Media Co. says its editors asked individual authors for permission, and in most cases the authors obliged without asking for additional payment. The archive, now available to subscribers on the Web site theatlantic.com1, contains regular Web pages with text that can be searched, copied and pasted.

A major difficulty for publishers is that court cases have moved more slowly than technology, says Lawrence Lessig, a professor of law at Stanford University. And the recent court rulings' emphasis on original context may have missed the point, as more powerful search tools become common in personal computing. "There is no such thing as context, or relevant context, as you move off into a digital form," Prof. Lessig says.

Write to Jessica Mintz at jessica.mintz@wsj.com2

URL for this article: http://online.wsj.com/article/SB113158619499393087.html

Hyperlinks in this Article: (1) JAVASCRIPT:OpenWin(<u>"http://theatlantic.com',",",","na+me+lo+sc</u> +re+st+',true,0,0,true);void(") (2) mailto:jessica.mintz@wsj.com

Reply Reply All Forward Move To: (Choose Folder)	X Delete Next Rack	🖕 Print
--	--------------------	---------

Need help with your e-mail? View solutions to common issues.

BellSouth | Advertising | Help | Service Agreement | Legal Notices | Privacy Policy | Contact Us Copyright 2004 BellSouth Corp. All Rights Reserved

earch The Web			
You are currently signed in - Logout			
Compose New Message	Reply Reply All Forward Move To: (Choose Folder)		
Foiders		X Delete Next	8
	From: Fred Ward <fred@fredwardgems.com> <u>Add to Address Book</u> Date: 2005/11/14 Mon AM 10:25:57 EST</fred@fredwardgems.com>		
Dinbox	To: Fred Ward <fred@fredwardgems.com></fred@fredwardgems.com>		
Sent Mail			
a Drafts	You will likely find this interesting compare this effort and the NGS CD set. There are differences. Fred	•	
Trash			
Create/Edit Folders	?	·	
	?		
Options	November 10, 2005		
Address Book	?This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order		
<u>POP Mail</u> Manage SPAM	presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues, clients or customers, use the Order Reprints tool at the bottom of	** •	
Preferences	any article or visit. www.djreprints.com		
<u>E-Mail Help</u>			
Free Credit Report Instantiyi	<ul><li>? See a sample reprint in PDF format.</li><li>? Order a reprint of this article now.</li></ul>		
The credit report instantion	New Yorker on DVD		
Comparison shop with BellSouth	Is Readers' Delight, Surfers' Frustration		
& save money	By JESSICA MINTZ		
Try BellSouth Webturies today	Staff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL November 10, 2005; Page B1		
	When the Complete New Yorker hit bookstores in September, many log readers rushed to buy it. The set of eight DVD-ROMs priced at \$100 Contains event issue of the literative model.	/al	
Get a FREE Multi-Room DIRECTV® System	first one published in 1925 "It's a great way just to in an		
	instant, pull up whatever I'm looking for," says Dan Rivas, a salesman at Politics and Prose, a Washington bookstore, who bought the set and installed the first disk on his computer.		
	But Mr. Rivas and other Web-savey usors assurtanced to		
	an anachronism. Each page of content is literally a minimum of		
	elsewhere. They can't search for keywords within the tool ast		
	issue to issue, or article to article, they first have to go have to		
	the index and sometimes change DVDs. "It feels a little bit cumbersome," Mr. Rivas says.		
•••	Why does The Complete New Yorker feel so low tools? The surface		
	concerning the ownership of rights to magazine articles united by	· · · · · ·	
	When Congress revamped copyright law in 1976, it said magazine publishers retained the right to print collections and revisions of past issues. But when a manufacture of the same set issues are same set of the same set of		
	in a new and different format, the free-lancer must be assured by		
	when republishing articles on DVD or other digital formation	•	
	- or preserve the original print context. The New Vertexter is the		
	and the original magazine pages onto DVDs.	· ·	
· · · · · ·	Copyright restrictions have emerged as a major stumbling block for		
	publications launching a variety of digital products. Especially knotty are works published between 1978, the year the revised copyright law took effect, and the early 1990s, which is when most		

1

1 of 3

magazines began requiring free-lance writers to sign over rights for media now known or developed in the future.

Ruling in 2001 on a 1993 case, Tasini et al v. New York Times et al, the U.S. Supreme Court said that a digital database – a collection of the full text of articles in one big archive – does constitute a new use, because it doesn't preserve the articles in their original context. The court ruled in favor of the plaintiffs, free-lance writers who claimed that several publishers, including the New York Times Co. and Time Inc., had unlawfully resold their articles as part of electronic databases.

The court drew a distinction between digital databases and microfilm reproductions: The latter, it said, fall within the law because they preserve articles "in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper." As part of a related lawsuit settled this past September, several companies, including Dow Jones & Co. and Reed Elsevier PLC's LexisNexis, agreed to pay \$18 million in damages to free-lance writers over similar copyright claims.

?

Copyright issues were important in designing The Complete New Yorker, the magazine's DVD archive.

The Complete New Yorker's format is a direct result of the databasereproduction distinction. It also was influenced by a legal decision, handed down earlier this year, on a similar digital product dating from 1997, The Complete National Geographic. The Second Circuit Court of Appeals in New York ruled that National Geographic's 30-disk CD-ROM collection – covering more than a century of publication and presenting each issue, scanned page by page – fell within the law.

Copyright issues are coming to the fore as Google Inc. and Amazon.com Inc. get off the ground with initiatives to make the contents of books available online. A group of authors and publishers have filed a lawsuit against Google over its plans to scan the contents of several huge libraries. That dispute, however, focuses on another aspect of copyright law -- "fair use" -- which provides for some limited free use of copyrighted material.

Many university and other big libraries are bumping up against these issues as they try to create digital archives of research material. "Getting permission to archive digital material is a nightmare," says MacKenzie Smith, associate director for technology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology libraries. "There's a good chance that we will fail to keep a digital scholarly record, not for technical reasons, but because our current intellectual property regime makes it impossible to even try."

Executives preparing the release of The Complete New Yorker used the Tasini ruling as a guide and also watched the National Geographic decision closely, said Edward Klaris, general counsel for the New Yorker, who worked on the anthology from its inception. He says the New Yorker designed its archive based largely on the Supreme Court's remarks about preserving context. "We were either prescient or stupid," Mr. Klaris says.

Today the National Geographic collection does seem like a technological dinosaur (it is also out of print). The images of the pages are grainy, small, hard to read. Tino D'Amico, a technology consultant in Front Royal, Va., says the scanned pages "might have been reasonable in those days because of smaller screen size, but today I've got a 19-inch LCD monitor on my desk. [It is a] postage stamp on that sort of screen."

In contrast, the pages of The Complete New Yorker aren't hard to read. But reviewers are irked that the product relies on a custom software application that could become obsolete in coming years.

Although copyright concerns were given priority in the design of The Complete New Yorker, Mr. Klaris says he wouldn't choose to make the final product any easier to search, or any more Web-like, than it is today. "We do not currently see a need or have a desire to make every article ever published in the New Yorker a searchable text file," he says. The technology for converting page images to text is errorprone, he adds, and the sheer amount of data would have been too big for most readers' computers.

Because The Complete New Yorker can be updated via the Internet, it should age better than older CD-ROM products have, Mr. Klaris says.

When editors at Harper's Magazine decided to launch an online archive spanning their magazine's 150-year history, "the first thing we did was talk to our lawyer," says Paul Ford, an associate editor. The magazine's archive, which is expected to be up soon, will use a combination of text and page images, depending on copyright requirements of the articles.

Some magazines have picked their way through the copyright minefield by simply getting permission from individual writers to republish

#### http://webmail.bellsouth.net/agent/mobmain?msgvw=AHUAcQAk...

their works electronically. For an online archive of selected articles from the Atlantic Monthly spanning 148 years, Atlantic Media Co. says its editors asked individual authors for permission, and in most cases the authors obliged without asking for additional payment. The archive, now available to subscribers on the Web site theatiantic.com1, contains regular Web pages with text that can be searched, copied and pasted.

A major difficulty for publishers is that court cases have moved more slowly than technology, says Lawrence Lessig, a professor of law at Stanford University. And the recent court rulings' emphasis on original context may have missed the point, as more powerful search tools become common in personal computing. "There is no such thing as context, or relevant context, as you move off into a digital form," Prof. Lessig says.

Write to Jessica Mintz at jessica.mintz@wsj.com2

URL for this article:

il

http://online.wsj.com/article/SB113158619499393087.html

Forward

Hyperlinks in this Article:

(1) JAVASCRIPT:OpenWin('http://theatlantic.com',",",",'na+me+lo+sc +re+st+',true,0,0,true);void(") (2) mailto:jessica.mintz@wsj.com

Reply **Reply All**  Move To: (Choose Folder)

🍓 Print 🗶 Delete Next Back

Need help with your e-mail? View solutions to common issues.

BellSouth | Adventising | Help | Service Agreement | Legal Notices | Privacy Policy | Contact Us Copyright 2004 BellSouth Corp. All Rights Reserved



NAD 20.012 | 2U 20.72 | 2002 faugue

# WARTIN PARR'S

ΡΙΧ: RETOUCHERS ROUNDUP

DEFINERY MEMOS PDN CUIDE TO

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC'S CD BATTLE: E-MAILS AS EVIDENCE

CET MOVING WITH DV STILL PHOTOGS

**ENOLO DISTRICT NEWS** 

The National Geographic Society (NGS) was warned repeatedly in advance by outside attorneys and its own editorial staff that its archival CD product would infringe the copyrights of photographers and expose the publisher to legal liability, according to court documents filed in May by two photographers.

NGS now faces a barrage of lawsuits over *The Complete National Geographic* CD, which reproduces its complete magazine archive page by page. And despite contradiction by its own internal documents, the publisher has steadfastly maintained in its public statements and in court proceedings that its CD doesn't infringe copyright.

Photographers suing the publisher are now using the internal memos to bolster their claims that NGS not only infringed their copyrights, but did so willfully. If they succeed, NGS is likely to face much higher penalties than it would if found guilty of so-called innocent infringement.

#### ACCORDING TO FAULKNER'S COURT PAPERS, AN ATTORNEY WARNED NGS ITS LEGAL LIABILITY COULD REACH \$16 MILLION FOR UNAUTHORIZED USE OF STOCK PHOTOS.

archival CD, Faulkner asserts. The third attorney apparently didn't give NGS a direct warning against publishing the CD, but according to Faulkner, that attorney told NGS "there is equitable appeal to [the] argument that a publisher should not be able to profit from exploitation of an author's work in a different medium without additional compensation...especially if exploitation in the later medium was not contemplated at the time of the contract."

Author Jonathan Tasini was making just such an argument against *The New York Times* at that time, and he eventually prevailed in the Supreme Court's *New York Times* v. *Tasini* ruling last summer.

tographer for "outright distortion of the record" regarding the legal advice obtained by the publisher. "Two of the NGS's three outside attorneys as well as its in-house counse!, opined that the Society had the right to publish *The Complete National Geographic*," NGS says.

One attorney, alleged by Faulkner to have advised against the CD, is quoted by NGS as having written, "[NGS] has a persuasive argument that [publication of the CD] does not create any obligation to make additional payments to writers and photographers...."

NGS also says opinions about the legality of reusing stock photos "is irrelevant" in Faulkner's case

# NGS'S INCRIMINATING MEMOS



In the latest battles over the National Geographic Society's CD, photographers are using the publisher's own internal memos and e-mails as evidence NGS willfully infringed copyrights. By David Walker

because his images were shot on assignment.

Besides quoting NGS lawyers, Faulkner's motion also quotes various NGS staff members who allegedly warned NGS executives during the production phase of the CD that they were making an ethical and legal mistake.

In March 1997, for instance, assistant director of photography Kent Kobersteen sent an e-mail to director of photography Tom Kennedy and NGS editor Bill Allen warning against publishing the CD without permission from photographers.

"... It seems to be these two situations [that CD product is marketed to the general public and is more interactive than microfiche] invite any judge and most certainly any jury—to conclude that the CD-ROM and microfiche are considerably different.

So far, the memos appear in court papers filed by photographers Douglas Faulkner and Fred Ward in a New York federal court.

According to Faulkner's papers, NGS consulted several outside attorneys—prior to publishing the first edition of the CD in 1997—for advice on whether it had the rights it needed to re-use images on the CD. One of the attorneys responded that the publisher's legal liability could be as high as \$16 million for unauthorized use of images provided by stock photo agencies. And that estimate was only for stock photos published in the magazine between 1992 and 1996, according to Faulkner's court papers.

A second outside counsel told NGS that he did not believe any of the photo licenses from stock houses would permit re-use of the photos in an Faulkner's papers also cite a letter from NGS staff attorney Suzanne Dupre, who told one of the publisher's outside lawyers that reviewing contributor contracts for "print use only" restrictions on photo licenses would take too much time and effort. "We are not clearing rights to images in these situations," Dupre wrote, according to Faulkner's filing.

NGS went ahead and published the images on the CD anyway. Only in its third and latest version of the CD—released late last year—did NGS begin removing stock images provided for use in the magazine with licenses that specified "no electronic use." In all, about 60 images have been removed.

In its response to charges that it infringed Faulkner's images willfully, NGS blasts the phoproducts," Kobersteen wrote. (Kobersteen is now the magazine's director of photography.)

Around the same time, National Geographic illustrations editor Dennis Dimick sent an e-mail to Allen. "I attempted to point out the moral issues of not making good faith efforts to find [and] compensate all copyright holders," he said in his e-mail. "I was essentially told by counsel this was a business decision, and thank you for your emotional arguments."

In April, then executive editor Robert Poole also appealed to Allen on both legal and moral grounds in another written memo. "Since we expressly returned copyright to many authors," he wrote, "I do not see how we can take the position that it's ours not theirs. We are republishing it in another form, for profit. Even if our legal position is sound (and I don't think it is), our ethical position is not. Does anyone care about that?"

NGS says those messages are also taken out of "EVEN IF OUR LEGAL context. For instance, Kobersteen and Poole weren't making legal judgments. Instead, their concerns related to whether "as a matter of sound business judgment, it would be prudent to embark upon a course that was likely to upset contributors to the magazine."

NGS says it is confident that if the evidence is "fairly presented and considered, the jury will find that [NGS] did not willfully infringe [the photographers'] copyrights."

But if NGS has its way, the case won't get to trial. The publisher has asked for summary dismissal on the grounds that the CD and its magazines are different versions of the same product, and, so, as a matter of law, no copyright infringement occurred.

An Atlanta appeals court already rejected that argument last year, however. That court ruled that the

### POSITION IS SOUND (AND I DON'T THINK IT IS), OUR ETHICAL POSITION IS NOT." -ROBERT POOLE, APRIL '97

permits buyers to re-use the images in any digital or print product, commercial or personal. In other words, the images were released as clip art.

NGS says it was a mistake that nobody noticed until a photographer's agent brought it to the publisher's attention on May 6-about six months after the release.

"Since that date, we have aggressively pursued a recall and remedies to fix the mistake," says NGS spokesperson Mary Jeanne Jacobsen. She declined to say how many of the CDs were sold, but



Allen was certainly concerned, according to Faulkner's court papers. In March 1997, Allen wrote to his boss, NGS CEO and president John Fahey, "We are so far down the road at this point that we probably just have to keep smoothing as many bumps as possible and drive like hell with our fingers crossed."

"WE ARE SO FAR DOWN THE ROAD AT THIS POINT, WE PROBABLY JUST HAVE TO ... DRIVE LIKE HELL WITH OUR FINGERS CROSSED.' -BILL ALLEN, MARCH '97

NGS CD infringed photographer Jerry Greenberg's copyright by reproducing several of his photos without permission. NGS had argued that the CD was a reproduction of its magazines in a different medium, like microfiche, so no permission was required. The court disagreed with NGS, concluding that the CD was a completely different product from the magazine. The Supreme Court later affirmed the ruling by refusing to review it. Greenberg's case is now in the penalty phase, and the photographer is also using the internal memos to prove willful infringement-and boost the publisher's penalty.

Meanwhile, the saga of the CD has taken another bizarre twist. Late last year, NGS released the latest version of the CD (The Complete National Geographic: 112 Years on CD-ROM) with a license that adds, "We know of no misuse by anyone who has purchased the CD-ROM with the erroneous enduser agreement."

Ward says he's skeptical about the ability of NGS to recall the CDs. "I don't see how it's possible for NGS to contact buyers of the product because there's no mandatory registration," he says.

Jacobsen says, "We have the names and addresses of nearly all the people who bought the product from the vendor because of the sales channels used; for example, many were sold online. We are in the process of contacting all purchasers by letter."

Fred Ward and Douglas Faulkner's court papers auoting the NGS's memos are now online at <www.pdnonline.com/features/lawsuit/>.



### Geographic Gets in the E-Picture Society to Sell Its Images Online

By YUEI NOOVAHI Washington Post Staff Writer

NOV-26-02 12:55 PM

Sinewy cheetahs leap across the savannah in pursuit of prey. Grizzly bears swipe greedily at homewardbound salmon. Dusk casts orange light over a vivid panorama of the Grand Canyon.

Since its founding in 1888, the National Geographic Society has documented the world's natural marvels through 10.5 million images like these, culled mostly from photographs, but also from sketches, paintings, glass plates and daguerreotypes. This is a repository for the 20th century," says Manra Mulvihill with a

"This is a repository for the 20th century," says Manra Mulvihill with a grand gesture, as if encompassing the sweep of history, from cave drawings to images of laser eye surgery.



P.01

Maura Mulvinii heads National Geographic's online effort to sell the society's images to businesses.

Mulvihill's mission is to make art work for commerce. As vice president of the society's image collection, her job is to sell those images to businesses, which in turn use them to sell their own products. Today, National

See PICTURES, E5, Col. 1

### Society to Unveil Archive Online Today

#### PICTURES, From El

Geographic is officially unveiling its big new online commercial digital archive in an alternyt to triple its revenue in the next three to five years.

The task of choosing, scanning, cataloging and masketing the initial 10,000 pictures was a business occessity. Competitors Getty Images Inc. and Corbis have been selling their images digitally for about four years, and the compredit Netsonal Geographic was falling behind the times. Since 1995, it had been selling its instates commercially, but it was done the old-fashioned way, through a glossy print catalogue. Puttingthose high-resolution inverse online makes sales to ad agencies, magazines, comprations, letthook firms, and billocard makers faster and easier, Right now, the archive fills a large cellar in the basement of the society's headmarters at the corner of 17th and M Streets in Northwest Washington, as well as a smaller rebrigerated room that preserves original film of more facaous works. Mulvihil's division is chanted with selling the works of 140 full-time and freelance photographers that work for National Geographic. The society currently sells 600 to 1,500 images a month, said Mulvihill, who declined to disclose specific revenue futures.

The potential profit is high for images with corporate salue. Microsoft Corp., for example, reportedly bought the image of clouds for its new international ad campaign from Corbie, an image database owned by Microsoft founder Bill Gates, for a couple knotned thousand dollars. It's hurd to put a price on consnercial art, broasse what seeks as art in of necessarily effective as a corporate message. But National Goographic's prices are based primarily on the number of times the image will appear.

If an image appears on a quarter page in an English-language textbook that circulates only in North America, that is likely to cost the publisher about \$200. But if the same image is used as a two-year, worldwide campaign for a pharmacentical company on bellboards, magazines and newspapers, it usight



BY MICHAEL WILLIAMSON- THE MILTING TOXIPOST

Bill Bornner, archivist of the Hational Geographic's image collection, looks over files in a temperature-controlled room that houses 10 million images, including some paintings and ploategraphic paints.

cost \$20,000 to \$50,000. In addition, if the company wants the coclusive rights to an image, they pay a premium.

Others are more expensive simply because they are harder to obtain, such as shots of the sunkern Titanic, which required a boat crew, lighting equipment and divers.

Most of the images in National Geographic's massive archives are those commissioned by the magasine for certaic projects such as living with arctic stolescor expeditions to the Congo. But because Mulvihil acts as an agent to those photographers, they may land assignments to shoot a series intended for a United Airlines advertisement campaign or for Balah Lauren clothing.

One of the bigger challenges in categorizing the image database is being able to allow businesses to search for certain images by a concept. So they request images that convey "first to market," or "statility," or "competitive edge." A search under "power" would yield them pictures of actual power lines, an electric generator, a bald eagle, and a picture of a kayaker's strong-looking hands grasping a paddle. When they can't find something to suit their message, businesses can also doctor most of the images they buy. The sun can be altered to look more purple, or a boat may be digitally removed from the original.

Purists may ask: Does a commercial sale corrupt the artistic value of an image? "Nothing we do ever drives the

robuing we do ever arrest are production of what we do for the magazine," said William D. Perry Jr., sales manager for National Geographic. "We're just repositioning existing photography, and the journalistic process is insulated from our process."

"We really icel that our efforts go to the goals of the society," of ancreasing and diffusing geographic knowledge, because the revenue generated from the sales goes back into preservation of the images, Perry said.

Photographer Jael Sarture is confortible with the re-use. The more ways the Geographic can think of to get my photos of endangered species and vanishing habitats out there, the chance that they will survive is that much greater," said Sartore, who has been photographing in North and

South America for the society for a dozen years.

"From a commercial point of view, INational Geographic tel entitled to sell those photographic, provided itter own the oppright" or have made arrangements with the photographers' consent, said Peter Skinner, a spokesman for the American Society of Media Photographere, which represents about 5,500 magazine and advertisement photographers, including some freelance photographers that work for National Geographic. "In these times of economic crutch, you try to generate revenue any way you can."

Beaides, the sale of photos sa shock art is also potentially increative for the photographers themselves. A star photographer like William A. Allard may make between \$60,000 and \$80,000 a year in stock photo sales, while a newcomer might make \$7,000 to \$15,000, Midstehill said.

National Geographic started installing the images on their new system in mild-summer this year, and in accuring them in at a rate of about 500 a week. It plans to have the initial crop of 10,000 in the system by Thackagiving.

U.

® N Jerry:

A friend of mine from New York sent me this. I thought you would be interested.

Feb. 18, 2004

National Geographic Society - Contradictory Decisions by Joel Hacker, Esq.

As I previously reported, Jerry Greenberg won a \$400,000 verdict in Mlami against National Geographic Society for copyright infringement arising out of the reproduction of National Geographic Society's back tasues in its complete National Geographic Society CD. That decision was confirmed on appeal to the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals in Atlanta, which upheld the determination that such use was not a revision of the original issues but rather a new product in a new medium for a new market.

In additional litigation against National Geographic Society concerning the same National Geographic Society CD products, Judge Lewis Kaplan of the Southern District of New York has just decided that, in his opinion, the 11th Circuit was wrong and as a result he rejected similar arguments presented by Douglas Faulkner, David Hiser, Fred Ward and other photographers.

A Unites States District Court Judge Is only required to follow a decision rendered by its own Circuit Court of Appeals (New York is the Second Circuit). However, since the 11th Circuit decision involved the exact same

usage in the same CD product, normally National Geographic Society would not be permitted to re-litigate the issue already decided by another federal court even though the plaintiffs were not the same parties.

Nevertheless, Judge Kaplan, on procedural grounds, determined that the intervening New York Times v. Tasini decision by the United States Supreme Court raised questions as to the continued validity of the reasoning behind the Greenberg decision. Accordingly, the Judge ruled that he had the authority to consider the merits of the cases before him even though the same issues had already been determined adversely to National Geographic Society.

The Judge then reached a different result from the 11th Circuit on the merits, concluding that the National Geographic Society search engine was just a technological improvement, similar to a compilation of back issues in a stiff-bound volume with a searchable index, and therefore a permissible use of the photographs at issue.

Want to read more of this article? Go to:http://www.photosim.com/legal107.html



FAXRAY FISHER<br/>PHOTOGRAPHER<br/>10700 SW 72 COURT<br/>MIAMI, FL 33156-3820<br/>TEL (305) 665-7659<br/>FAX (305) 665-8668

To: Jerry & Idaz Fax #: (305) 667-3572 Date: March 7, 2003 Pages: 1

idaz & Jerry:

I got this E-Mail from a friend of mine in New York, he received it on his E-Mail. I imagine Fred sent this out everywhere. You may have received it. But here it is anyway. I have cleaned it up.

Ray

Date: Fri, 7 Mar 2003 09:47:38 -0700 Comments: To: STOCKPHOTO@onelist.com Subject: Fwd: A great win for copyright holders To: PHOTOPRO@PHOTONEWS.COM

Forwarded with permission of the author, Fred Ward.:

From: Fred Ward << fward@erols.com> Subject: A great win for copyright holders Date: Wed Mar 5, 2003 9:50 pm

Deer Friends,

In an ocean of bad news it is a great pleasure to report some terrific news today:

I have been in Miami for the past week and a half observing and assisting in my lifelong friend Jerry Greenberg's jury trial for damages against National Geographic Society.

In 2001 Jerry prevailed in his appeal in Atlanta's 11th Federal Court of Appeals where the court found that National Geographic's Complete National Geographic set of CDS were infringing products. Jerry's case was remanded to Miami (where it began) for damages. Geographic massive team of attorneys, stacks of oversized graphics, and a parade of notable witnesses (including the Geographic's CEO John Fahey and Board member and astronaut Michael Collins) did not impress the jury whose eight members found unanimously that National Geographic was guilty of willfully infringing Jerry's copyrights. The jury awarded Jerry the maximum allowed under the law...... \$100,000 each for four infringements, \$400,.000.

Jerry's motion for a permanent injunction against the infringing products and a motion for his legal fees will be heard shortly.

For now, the message is clear. With copyright and resolve, anything is possible.

Fred Ward

03/07/2003 20:03 3056658668

Subject: A great win for copyright holders Dear Friends,

In an ocean of bad news it is a great pleasure to report some terrific news today:

I have been in Miami for the past week and a half observing and assisting in my

lifelong friend Jerry Greenberg's jury trial for damages against National Geographic Society.

In 2001 Jerry prevailed in his appeal in Atlanta's 11th Federal Court of Appeals where the court found that National Geographic's Complete National Geographic set of CDs were infringing products. Jerry's case was remanded to Miami (where it began) for damages. Geographic massive team of attorneys, stacks of oversized graphics, and a parade of notable witnesses (including the Geographic's CEO John Fahey and Board member and astronaut Michael Collins) did not impress the jury whose eight members found unanimously that National Geographic was guilty of of willfully infringing Jerry's copyrights. The jury awarded Jerry the maximum allowed under the law..... \$100,000 each for four infringements, \$400,.000. Jerry's motion for a permanent injunction against the infringing products and a motion for his legal fees will be heard shortly. For now, the message is clear. With copyright and resolve, anything is possible. Fred Ward

Howard M. Paul Phone: (303) 829-5678 Fax: (303) 871-8356 hmpaul@ecentral.com Photography for Communication & Commerce http://howardpaul.photofolio.com Emergency!Stock http://www.emergencystock.photofolio.com "We take photographs with our hearts and we take photographs with

our minds. The camera is nothing more than a tool." -- A. Newman

PhotoPRO is a feature of (PNN) Photo News Network @

DogBark.com: Reliable, personable and sometimes a little comical. Web hosting with photographers in mind. http://dogbark.com/pnn

This message is copyrighted 7 Mar 2003 by the author and PNN

03/07/2003 20:51 3056658668

Idaz & Jerry: Here is a new 'More Stuff on Greenberg' Ray

Subj:[Fwd: Greenberg vs. National Geographic Update] Date:3/7/03 8:29:08 PM Eastern Standard Time From:alfrance@earthlink.net To:sueray624@aol.com Sent from the Internet (Details)

More stuff on Greenberg.

#### Al

Return-Path: <memberupdate@asmp.org> Received: from hall.mail.mindspring.net ([207.69.200.60]) by emu (EarthLink SMTP Server) with ESMTP id 18RpsN3cA3NZFnx0 Fri, 7 Mar 2003 13:32:59 -0800 (PST) Received: from user-2ivef5v.dsl.mindspring.com ([165.247.60.191]) by hall.mail.mindspring.net with esmtp (Exim 3.33 #1) id 18rPNx-00009c-00; Fri. 07 Mar 2003 16:28:09 -0500 Mime-Version: 1.0 X-Sender: egoertz@mail.mindspring.com Message-Id: <v04210102ba8eb0600e75@[165.247.60.37]> Date: Fri, 7 Mar 2003 16:27:09 -0400 To: memberupdate@asmp.org From: ASMP Member Alert <memberupdate@asmp.org> Subject: Greenberg vs. National Geographic Update X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000

To: ASMP Membership From: Eugene Mopsik Re: Greenberg vs. National Geographic

The U.S. District Court in Miami, Florida in the matter of Greenberg vs. National Geographic has awarded the maximum statutory damages of \$100,000.00 for each of 4 works infringed. The trial took seven days ending on March 5th. The possibility of appeal by both parties still exists. ASMP has been a major supporter of the photographer in this case, providing financial assistance to Greenberg, consultations with him and his attorney, and an amicus curlae ("friend of the court") brief on his behalf.

This is a big win for photographers, and both Jerry Greenberg and his attorney, Norman Davis, of Steel Hector & Davis in Miami, Florida, are to be congratulated. Greenberg also deserves thanks from all photographers for having the determination to keep going with this case in spite of all that it has cost him in terms of money, time and energy. Thanks are also due to Victor Perlman of ASMP, and to Patricia Felch, attorney in Chicago, for writing ASMP's amicus curiae brief and to all those who have supported Jerry Greenberg and ASMP's other legal efforts through their contributions to the Legal Action Fund.

Some months ago, the US Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit had reversed the District Court in Florida and ruled in favor of ASMP member Jerry Greenberg. Greenberg contended that the CD-Rom was a new work that contained substantial elements in addition to the republication of Geographic's

Return-Path: <owner-photopro@PHOTONEWS.COM> Received: from fido.photonews.com ([216.183.105.121]) by sparrow (EarthLink SMTP Server) with ESMTP id 18R10P4Dq3NZFjV0 Fri, 7 Mar 2003 08:47:56 -0800 (PST) Received: from fido.photonews.com (localhost [127.0.0.1]) by fido.photonews.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id E1B045B22A; Fri. 7 Mar 2003 10:47:55 -0600 (CST) Received: from PHOTONEWS.COM by PHOTONEWS.COM (LISTSERV-TCP/IP release 1.8e) with spool id 81524 for PHOTOPRO@PHOTONEWS.COM; Fri, 7 Mar 2003 10:47:55 -0600 Delívered-To: photopro@photonews.com Received: from stan sublimemail.com (stan sublimemail.com [66.45.27.200]) by fido.photonews.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 1C5B35B121 for <PHOTOPRO@PHOTONEWS.COM>; Fri, 7 Mar 2003 10:47:55 -0600 (CST) Received: by stan sublimemail com (Postfix, from userid 777) id 114ED7A69FD; Fri, 7 Mar 2003 10:47:55 -0600 (CST) Received: from pop.ecentral.com (emu.ecentral.com [216.87.92.5]) by stan.sublimemail.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 5B95B7A69EC for <PHOTOPRO@PHOTONEWS.COM>; Fri, 7 Mar 2003 10:47:54 -0600 (CST) Received: from localhost (localhost.ecentral.com [127.0.0.1]) by pop.ecentral.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id C6D5C579B; Fri, 7 Mar 2003 09:47:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from pop.ecentral.com ([127.0.0.1]) by localhost (emu.ecentral.com [127.0.0.1:10024]) (amavisd-new) with SMTP id 86184-09; Fri, 7 Mar 2003 09:47:43 -0700 (MST) Received: from powerspec (p124.dialup.ecentral.com [216.38.223.124]) by pop.ecentral.com (Postfix) with SMTP id 9656E5833; Fri, 7 Mar 2003 09:47:41 -0700 (MST) X-Sender: hmpaul@pop.ecentral.com X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.0.1 MIME-Version: 1.0 Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" X-Virus-Scanned: by amavisd 2003.02.05 on emu X-Filtered-BY: SublimeMail (http://sublimemail.com) Message-ID: <20030307164741.9656E5833@pop.ecentral.com> Date: Fri, 7 Mar 2003 09:47:38 -0700 Reply-To: PhotoPro@PHOTONEWS.COM Sender: photopro@PHOTONEWS.COM From: "Howard M. Paul" < hmpaul@ECENTRAL.COM> Subject: Fwd: A great win for copyright holders Comments: To: STOCKPHOTO@onelist.com To: PHOTOPRO@PHOTONEWS.COM Precedence: list X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000

\*\*FOTOCARE\*\* The little shop grew into a big store with an at home feel. It's staffed by people who listen and care. http://www.fotocare.com 212-741-2990

Forwarded with permission of the author, Fred Ward.: From: Fred Ward << fward@erols.com> Date: Wed Mar 5, 2003 9:50 pm

i





Gem Book Publishers 7106 Saunders Court Bethesda, MD 20817 Tel (301) 983-1990 FAX (301) 983-3980 fward@erols.com http://www.erols.com/fward/

March 6, 2003

#### Dear Jerry,

Here is what Andy and I have worked our so far in answer to David Walker's queries. If this is OK with you, I think I'll send it along to Walker and also to Pickerell and see if this meets their needs.

Let me know either way.

Congratulations again. I spoke to Garrett about it on my way to the airport and told him what to tell the Old Farts Club at noon for the monthly meeting, which I missed. He agreed to give what is usually my report to the group.

I just got back in and am looking at a lot of snow still on the road and in our yard, and mud everywhere. Messy messy.

I'd rather be in Bayfront Park.

After a six-day jury trial conducted by Magistrate Judge Simonton in the United States. District Court for the Southern District of Florida, the jury found that the National Geographic Society had willfully infringed the 4 works at issue in the case, which had been included in Geographic's popular CD-ROM set entitled the "Complete National Geographic, 108 years of National Geographic Magazine on CD-ROM." The works consisted of 4 sets of photographs originally published in the National Geographic Magazine. The jury awarded Mr. Greenberg \$100,000 in statutory damages for each work. National Geographic had argued that they had not willfully infringed but had instead relied on oral and written opinions from a number of lawyers, including Robert Sugarman of New York, Judge Kenneth Starr from Chicago, the late Judge Leon Higginbotham, the former chief judge of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals and Geographic's general counsel, Terry Adamson. Each of these lawyers had opined that the National Geographic Magazine had the right under copyright law to reproduce the Greenberg photographs in the CD-ROM set The jury apparently rejected the reliance of counsel defense in determining that National Geographic had willfully infringed. This is the first time in Geographic's history that it had been found liable for willful infringement. National Geographic faces additional litigation in New York and elsewhere arising from its sale of this same set and related products.




Gem Book Publishers 7106 Saunders Court Bethesda, MD 20817 Tel (301) 983-1990 FAX (301) 983-3980 fward@crols.com http://www.erols.com/fward/

March 6, 2003

P.01

Dear Jerry,

Here is what Andy and I have worked our so far in answer to David Walker's queries. If this is OK with you, I think I'll send it along to Walker and also to Pickerell and see if this meets their needs.

Let me know either way.

Congratulations again. I spoke to Garrett about it on my way to the airport and told him what to tell the Old Farts Club at noon for the monthly meeting, which I missed. He agreed to give what is usually my report to the group.

I just got back in and am looking at a lot of snow still on the road and in our yard, and mud everywhere. Messy messy.

I'd rather be in Bayfront Park.

ᄈ우 방가 우주 방문은 옷 방소 프라트루 백 명 바 전 또 난 시지 가 다 내 위 위 유 아이들은 방식 성격 프리프트로 한 가 참 당 방상 프리프트로 위 위 위 당신 방 관리프 프로프

After a six-day jury trial conducted by Magistrate Judge Simonton in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, the jury found that the National Geographic Society had willfully infringed the 4 works at issue in the case, which had been included in Geographic's popular CD-ROM set entitled the "Complete National Geographic, 108 years of National Geographic Magazine on CD-ROM." The works consisted of 4 sets of photographs originally published in the National Geographic Magazine. The jury awarded Mr. Greenberg \$100,000 in statutory damages for each work. National Geographic had argued that they had not willfully infringed but had instead relied on oral and written opinions from a number of lawyers, including Robert Sugarman of New York, Judge Kenneth Starr from Chicago, the late Judge Leon Higginbotham, the former chief judge of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals and Geographic's general counsel, Terry Adamson. Each of these lawyers had opined that the National Geographic Magazine had the right under copyright law to reproduce the Greenberg photographs in the CD-ROM set The jury apparently rejected the reliance of counsel defense in determining that National Geographic had willfully infringed. This is the first time in Geographic's history that it had been found liable for willful infringement. National Geographic faces additional litigation in New York and elsewhere arising from its sale of this same set and related products.

Subj: More about NGS lawsuits in Photo District News Date: Thursday, August 1, 2002 1:21:39 PM From: fward@erols.com bcc:

Photo District News has some material both in the monthly magazine (which I haven't seen yet) and online.

You can see two different pieces of the PDN material online by logging on as you see below.

You can log onto:

www.pdnonline.com/features/lawsuit/

to get to the overall page about NGS. David Walker wrote this piece mainly from the viewpoint that NGS was willful in its infringement because of all the internal memos and letters that we got during discovery. It then lists many of the memos and what they contained. Conclusion, they're guilty of willful infringement because they were advised by outside attorneys and by their top staff department heads not to publish the CDs without paying.

There is a second piece to the article that you reach by logging onto:

http://pdn-pix.com/features/lawsuit/weingrad\_sec\_v.pdf

The pair will give you a good insight into what's been happening in the case.

Fred

Return-Path: <fward@erols.com>

Page 1

## D GEOGRAPHIC SELLS PICTURES

llu saiu

The **National Geo**graphic Society is putting thousands of its culture and wildlife photos online for sale. With the launch of its digital archive on Tuesday (**www.ngsimages.com**), the society is responding to stronger demand for computer accessible images from its clients — including ad agencies, magazines and textbook publishers — which use them to sell their products.

BUSH SIGNS
INSURANCE BILL
Eresident Bush signed a bill

HENDIA 1/27/02

Subj:The TERMS from NGS on their new Website for selling<br/>picture rightsDate:Saturday, November 30, 2002 11:25:47 PMFrom:fward@erols.comTo:lulukiku@aol.com

Jerry,

Here's the NGS TERMS as stated on their Website.

Fred

------

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF USE

THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF USE ARE A LEGAL AND BINDING AGREEMENT BETWEEN YOU, YOUR

COMPANY, YOUR EMPLOYER AND YOUR CORPORATE CLIENT, AS THE CASE MAY BE, (COLLECTIVELY "YOU")

AND NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY ("NGS"). Please review these Terms and Conditions fully

before You continue to use this website or download any image. By using this site or

downloading an image You agree to be bound by these Terms and Conditions. If You do not

agree, please discontinue using this site. NGS reserves the right to change the Terms and

Conditions at any time without prior notice. Your continued access or use of the website

and/or the images that appear on the website after such changes indicates Your acceptance

of the Terms and Conditions as modified. It is Your responsibility to review the Terms and

Conditions regularly. The Terms and Conditions were last updated on April 18, 2002.

Ownership. This website is owned by NGS. All of the content featured or

displayed on this

website, including, but not limited to, text, graphics, photographs, images, illustrations

and software ("Content") is owned by NGS or its licensors. Such Content may not be used in

any manner without express permission from NGS.

You may obtain express permission, in the form of a license, to use the

images on this

website (the "Images"). The Images are not sold, they are licensed as described in the

written documents that accompany the Image (delivery memo, rights release and payment

invoice). All Images are licensed under the Terms and Conditions as specified at time of

purchase. All rights not specifically granted are retained by NGS.

No Assignment. No licenses may be sublicensed, transferred or assigned. You agree not to

make, authorize or permit any use of any Image on this site except as specifically set

forth here and on the delivery documents.

No Trademark License. A license of Image(s) through this website does not include any

license to use the National Geographic name or trademarks, and You expressly agree not to

use the National Geographic name or trademarks except pursuant to a separately negotiated

and written trademark license signed by NGS.

Comp Usage. NGS grants You the non-exclusive and non-transferable right to copy Image(s)

from this site onto one computer for one user at a time for Comp Usage and browsing only.

Comp Usage means the creation of a rough layout or comprehensive rendering of a proposed

work for client review, internal company review or testing. The Image may not be used in

any materials distributed outside of Your company or to the public, including any online

or other electronic distribution system (except You may transmit comps digitally or

electronically to Your clients for their review) and may not be sold or sublicensed alone

or as part of any product. Images You receive on CD-ROM or transparency are subject to the

same limits for Comp Usage unless and until You pay for a use license.

Other Usage. Permission to use Image(s) is only granted for the use specified in the

documents transmitted to you with the Image (delivery memo, rights release and payment

invoice) and for no other purpose, including promotional and/or electronic usage.

Permission is only effective after You pay the invoice and no rights are granted by Your

possession of the Image(s) or the delivery documents.

Exclusive rights may only be granted by NGS subject to the availability of such rights and

subject to additional fees. Exclusive rights cannot be obtained through the website. You

must contact NGS directly to inquire about exclusivity. All licenses are non-exclusive

unless otherwise expressly noted by NGS on the delivery documents.

Limits on Use. You agree not to use the Image(s) in any way that could be considered

defamatory, pornographic or fraudulent, either by making physical changes to it, or in the

juxtaposition to accompanying text.

No model release or other releases exist on any images unless we so specify in writing.

You agree to indemnify NGS against all claims arising out of the use of any images where

the existence of a model release has not been specified in writing by NGS. In any event,

the limit of liability of NGS shall be the sum paid to it per the invoice for the use of

the particular photograph involved. You will hold NGS harmless from all claims for the use

of the Images, including defamatory use. NGS reserves the right to deny any use of an

Image which it determines to be inappropriate.

NGS gives no right or warranties with respect to the use of names, trademark, logo types,

registered or copyrighted designs or works of art depicted in any picture, and You must

satisfy Yourself that all necessary rights, consents or permission as may be required for

reproduction are secured.

Return/Destruction of Images. If You request delivery of an Image(s) by CD-Rom or 35 mm

dupe, the Image(s) must be returned to NGS within fourteen (14) days after the invoice

date. Unless a longer period is requested and granted by NGS, You agree to pay NGS a

holding fee of \$1 per day per Image after the fourteen (14) day period until You return

the Image(s) to us.

You further agree to pay \$100 for each lost or damaged duplicate Image or transparency, to cover NGS' shipping, handling and laboratory processing costs. This stipulated damage provision is a material part of this contract and NGS agrees to release the Images to You only if You agree to be bound by this stipulated damage provision. You agree to assume full liability for Your employees, agents, assigns, messengers, and freelance researchers for any loss damage or minuce of the Image(a)

for any loss, damage or misuse of the Image(s).

After Your license has expired, or after You have evaluated any copy made for Comp Usage,

all reproducible copies of the Image must be erased or destroyed to prevent unauthorized

use of the Image.

Tear Sheets. In the event You use any Image(s) in publications, You must provide NGS with one (1) free copy or tear sheet of such publications immediately upon their production.

Additional Uses. You agree to advise NGS in writing of any additional extended use of the

Image(s) prior to publication. If You fail to advise NGS PRIOR to publication, You agree

that the fair stipulated damage for the additional use of the Image is triple the original

fee.

Duplication Fees. If You do not use any of the Image(s) You agree to be responsible for all duplication fees.

Credits. Images used editorially must bear the credit line specified by NGS on the

delivery documents. Regardless of use You must provide copyright protection to the

copyright holders of all Images.

Cancellation. If You license an Image but then choose not to reproduce it in any way for any purpose, You are required to send NGS a written request for cancellation of the license within thirty (30) days of the date of the license, and a full credit or refund will be given. There will be no credit or refund given after 30 days. Disclaimers. This Website and its content are provided "as is" and NGS excludes to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law any warranty, express or implied, including,

without limitation, any implied warranties of merchantability, satisfactory quality or

fitness for a particular purpose. NGS will not be liable for any damages of

arising from the use of this site, including, but not limited to, direct, indirect. incidental, punitive and consequential damages. This disclaimer of liability applies to any damages or injury caused by any failure of performance, error, omission, interruption. deletion, defect, delay in operation or transmission, computer virus, communication line failure, theft or destruction or unauthorized access to, alteration of, or use of records. whether for breach of contract, tortious behavior, negligence or under any other cause of action. You, not NGS, assume the entire cost of all necessary servicing, repair or correction due to Your use of this website. There may be links to other websites from this website; however, these other websites are not controlled by NGS and NGS is not responsible for any content contained on any such website or any loss suffered by You in relation to Your use of such websites. You waive any and all claims against NGS regarding the inclusion of links to outside websites or Your use of those websites. Some jurisdictions do not permit the exclusion or limitation of implied warranties or liability for certain categories of damages. Therefore, some or all of the limitations above may not apply to You to the extent they are prohibited or superseded by state or national provisions. CAUTION: ANY ATTEMPT BY ANY PERSON TO DELIBERATELY DAMAGE ANY WEB SITE IS A VIOLATION OF CRIMINAL AND CIVIL LAWS. SHOULD SUCH AN ATTEMPT BE MADE, NGS **RESERVES THE RIGHT TO SEEK** DAMAGES FROM ANY SUCH PERSON TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY 12/1/02 America Online : Lulukiku Page 6

any kind

#### LAW.

Dispute Resolution and Governing Law Any and all disputes arising out of, under or in

connection with this Agreement, with the exception of copyright claims, including without

limitation, its validity, interpretation, performance and breach, shall be settled by

arbitration in Washington, DC pursuant to the rules of the American Arbitration

Association. Judgment upon any award rendered may be entered in the highest court of the

forum, state or federal, having jurisdiction. This agreement, its validity and effect,

shall be interpreted under and governed by the laws of the District of Columbia, and You

agree that the arbitrators shall award all costs of arbitration, including legal fees,

plus legal rate-of-interest to the successful party. Copyright claims shall be brought in

the Federal court having jurisdiction.

If NGS is obligated to go to court, rather than arbitration, to enforce any of its rights,

or to collect any fees, You agree to reimburse NGS for its legal fees, costs and

disbursements of NGS is successful.

No Waiver. No action of NGS, other than an express written waiver, may be construed as a

waiver of any clause of this contract. In the event NGS does waive any specific part of

this contract, it does not mean NGS waives any other part. Should any of the above

paragraphs be unenforceable, the other paragraphs will remain in full force and effect.

UCC. You agree that the terms of this agreement are made pursuant to Article 2 of the

Uniform Commercial Code and agree to be bound by same.

Return-Path: <fward@erols.com> Received: from rly-xd05.mx.aol.com (rly-xd05.mail.aol.com [172.20.105.170]) by airxd03.mail.aol.com (v89.21) with ESMTP id MAILINXD31-1130232547; Sat, 30 Nov 2002 23:25:47 -0500 Received: from smtp-hub2.mrf.mail.rcn.net (smtp-hub2.mrf.mail.rcn.net [207.172.4.76]) by rlyxd05.mx.aol.com (v89.21) with ESMTP id MAILRELAYINXD56-1130232530; Sat, 30 Nov 2002 23:25:30 1900 Received: from smtp01.mrf.mail.rcn.net ([207.172.4.60]) by smtp-hub2.mrf.mail.rcn.net with esmtp (Exim 3.35 #4) id 18ILfe-000590-00 for lulukiku@aol.com; Sat, 30 Nov 2002 23:25:30 -0500 X-Info: This message was accepted for relay by smtp01.mrf.mail.rcn.net as the sender used SMTP authentication X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVa9qjizqVj3Ub+cVCv96F9zLgScsANLSZXf5H4NCnPGyzFVu0TDp9TK Received: from pool-141-156-188-198.esr.east.verizon.net ([141.156.188.198] helo=erols.com) by smtp01.mrf.mail.rcn.net with asmtp (Exim 3.35 #4) id 18ILfe-0001MJ-00 for lulukiku@aol.com; Sat, 30 Nov 2002 23:25:30 -0500 Message-ID: <3DE98F7C.4050707@erols.com>

User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; PPC; en-US; rv:0.9.4) Gecko/20011022 Netscape6/6.2

Subject: The TERMS from NGS on their new Website for selling picture rights

-- Headers --

Date: Sat, 30 Nov 2002 23:26:36 -0500 From: Fred Ward <fward@erols.com>

To: "Greenberg, Idaz & Jerry" <lulukiku@aol.com>

Content-Type: text/plain: charset=us-ascii: format=flowed

Organization: Gem Book Publishers

Reply-To; fward@erols.com

X-Accept-Language: en,pdf

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

MIME-Version: 1.0

12/1/02 America Online : Lulukiku Page 8



### Geographic Gets in the E-Picture Society to Sell Its Images Online

By YUEL NGOVAHI Washington Post Staff Writer

Sinewy cheetahs leap across the savannah in pursuit of prey. Grizzly bears awipe greedily at homewardbound salmon. Dusk casts orange light over a vivid panorama of the Grand Canyon.

Since its founding in 1888, the National Geographic Society has documented the world's natural marvels through 10.6 million images like these, culled mostly from photographs, but also from sketches, paintings, glass plates and daguerreotypes.

This is a repository for the 20th century, says Maura Mulvihili with a grand gesture, as if encompassing the sweep of history, from cave drawings to images of laser eye surgery.



Maura Mulvihili heads National Geographic's online effort to soli the society's images to businesses.

Mulvihil's mission is to make art work for commerce. As vice president of the society's image collection, her job is to sell those images to businesses, which in turn use them to sell their own products. Today, National

See PICTURES, E5, Col. 1

### Society to Unveil Archive Online Today

#### PICTURES, From El

Geographic is officially unveiling its big new online consumerial digital archive in an attempt to triple its revenue in the next three to five years.

The task of choosing, scanning, cataloging and marketing the initial 10,000 pictures was a business necessity. Competitors Getty Images Inc. and Corbin have been selling their images digitally for about four years, and the nonneulit National Geographic was felling behind the times. Since 1995, it had been selling its images commercially, but it was done the old-fashioned way, through a glossy print catalogue. Puttingthose high-resolution images online makes sales to ad avencies, magazines, corporations, textbook firms and billboard makers faster and easier. Right now, the archive fills a large cellar in the basement of the society's headquarters at the corner of 17th and M Streets in Northwest Westington, as well as a smaller retricerated room that preserves original film of more tamous works. Mulvibil's division is charged with setting the works of 1.40 Juli-time and freelance photographers that work for National Geographic. The society cornentis sells 600 to 1,500 images a month, said Mulvihill, who declined to disclose specific revenue figures

The potential profit is high for images with corporate salue. Microsoft Corp., for example, reportedly bought the image of clouds for its new international ad comparing from Corbis, an image database owned by Microsoft founder Bill Gates, for a couple hardred thousand dollars. It's hard to put a price on commercial art, because what sells as art is not necessarily effective as a corporate message. But National Geographic's prices are tased primarily on the number of times the image will appear.

If an image appears on a quarter page in an English-language textbook that circulates only in North America, that is likely to cost the publisher about \$200. But if the same image is used as a two-year, worldwide campaign for a pharmacentical company on billhoards, magazines and newspapers, it might



ST MONAL WILLINGSON- DE VERMINTER

Bill Conner, activist of the National Geographic's langer collection, looks over files in a temperature-controlled room that houses 10 million images, including some paintings and photographic paints.

cost \$20,000 to \$50,000. In addition, if the company wonts libe exclusive rights to an image, they pay a premium.

Others are more expensive simply because they are harder to obtain, such as abots of the sonken Titanie, which required a boat crew, lighting ensignment and divers.

Most of the images in National Geographic's massive archives are those commissioned by the magazine for certain projects such as living with archic wolves or expeditions to the Congo. But because Mulvinill acts as an agent to those photographers, they may load assignments to shoot a series intended for a United Aritizes arise intended for a United of Ralph Lauren clothing.

One of the higger challenges in estegorizing the image challenges in starge able to allow businesses to search for certain images by a concept. So they request images that convey "first to market," or "stability," or "competitive edge." A search under "power" would yield theor pictures of actual power lines, an electric generator, a hald eagle, and a picture of a kayaber's strong-looking hands grasping a packle. When they cas't find something to suit their message, businesses can also doctor most of the images they buy. The sun can be altered to look more purple, or a boat may be digitally removed from the original.

Purists may ask: Does a commercial sale commpt the artistic value of an image?

"Nothing we do ever drives the production of what we do for the magazine," said William D. Perry Jr., sales manager for National Geographic. "We're just repositioning existing photography, and the journalistic process is insulated from our process."

"We really feel that our efforts go to the goals of the society," of increasing and diffusing geographic knowledge, because the revenue generated from the sales gues back into preservation of the images, Perry said.

Photographer Joel Sartore is confortable with the re-use. "The more ways the Geographic can think of to get my photos of endangered species and vanishing habitats out there, the chance that they will survive is that guach greater," said Sartore, who has been photographing in North and South America for the society for A dozen years.

"From a commercial point of view, Dational Geographic isl entitled to sell those photographs, provided they own the copyrights" or have made arrangements with the photographers' consent, said Peter Skinner, a spokesman for the American Society of Media Photographers, which represents about 5,500 magazine and advertisement photographers, including some freelance photographic. The these times of economic erunch, you by to generate revenue any way you can."

Besides, the sale of photos as stock art is also potentially increative for the photographers themselves. A star photographer like William A. Allard may make between \$60,000 and \$90,000 a year in stock photo sales, while a newcomer might make \$7,000 to \$15,000, Mulwhill said.

National Geographic started installing the images on their new system in mid-summer this year, and is scanning them in at a rate of about 500 a week. It plans to have the initial crop of 10,000 in the system by Thackagiving.

# eMediaweekly www.emediaweekly.com

Volume 12, No. 45 + December 14, 1998 THE NEWSWEEKEY FOR DIGITAL MEDIA MANAGERS

#### Design Tools Graphics Scanners/Digital Cameras

#### Heidelberg sues Adobe

Heidelberger Druckmaschinen AG of Heidelberg, Germany, recently sued San Jose, Calif.-based Adobe Systems Inc., alleging patent infringement. The patent, which Heidelberg acquired when it merged with Linotype-Hell AG, covers color retouching. In a statement, Heidelberg said the complaint was filed in an effort to defend its techno-. logical developments and "is not meant as an offensive move against Adobe per se." Peter Dyson, online editor at Seybold Publications of Media, Penn., said the technology in question is used by Adobe's Photoshop image editing software and "would affect any developer with a color retouching package." Adobe said it believes the case has no merit and intends to vigorously defend itself.

#### **FontXpress 4.0 collects** fonts faster, squashes bugs

Morrison SoftDesign this month refreshed its utility for collecting fonts from QuarkXPress documents. FontXpress for Macintosh 4.0 will collect PostScript fonts more quickly because it no. longer searches entire storage volumes, Morrison said. The update also fixes incompatibilities with Mac OS 8.0's Appearance Manager and Easy Open control panels. Version 4.0's prepress error checking is now in sync with XPress 4.0, the company. said; the software no longer reports error messages that are irrelevant in XPress 4.0. A single-user copy of FontXpress 4.0 has a street price of \$70; a site license is \$350. Updates are free to registered FontXpress users. A Windows version is due in January. Morrison SoftDesign of Charlotte, N.C., is at (704) 597-3789 or (800) 583-2917; www.morrisonsoftdesign.com.

#### **PhotoAlto ships collections**

Stock image company PhotoAlto this month released five new CD-ROMs depicting business and nature themes.

The CDs, \$299 each, are Building Industry, Panoramic Landscapes, and Business and Teamwork, each from James Hardy; Children's Life from Corinne Malet, and Symbols & Signs from Isabelle Rozenbaum. Compatible with Mac OS, Windows, Sun Solaris and Irix, each CD contains 120 images (except for Panoramic Landscapes, which has 50). The A4-size images, in 300-dpi and 75-dpi resolutions, are IPEG files in RGB mode, the company said.

# **PhotoGraphics 1.0 exposed**

### **Photoshop plug-in from Extensis offers vector** drawing, text handling

#### BY DANIEL DREW TURNER

Extensis this week pulled the veil off PhotoGraphics 1.0, its plug-in that adds vector drawing and text handling features to Adobe Photoshop 4.x and later. Set to ship on Jan. 25 for Mac OS and Windows 95, 98 and NT, PhotoGraphics will cost \$150, Extensis said.

Ted Alspach, Extensis senior product marketing manager, said that Photo-Graphics was conceived not to replace Adobe Illustrator or Macromedia Free-Hand, but more as a workflow system . for graphic designers using Photoshop who find themselves launching drawing applications in order to complete a

#### CASE STUDY

# **National Geographic scans** a century of issues for CD

#### Society scans its archives for digital consumption

#### BY ERIC A. TAUB

With their bold yellow spines, striking photography and magnificent maps, National Geographic magazines are collector's items in many homes; stacks lie dusty in thousands of attics, too cherished to be thrown away. Now the National Geographic Society has brought its archives to life by putting the contents of every issue since its 1888 inception on a set of CD-ROMs.

More than 190,000 pages and 109 years of history are reproduced and indexed in The Complete National Geographic, including every article, photograph, page map and advertisement.

"We code-named this project 'Ever-



Going in circles. Extensis' **PhotoGraphics** 1.0 plug-in can create vector transparencies and place and format multiple blocks of text along a path or object.

DANUTA OTFINOWSKI

few simple tasks, such as placing text along a path.

PhotoGraphics grew out of Extensis' PhotoText plug-in, Alspach said, and retains all its text layout and effects functions, with additions such as super- and subscript.

The vector drawing tools in Photo-Graphics are intentionally reminiscent of Illustrator's, Alspach said, complete with Illustrator-like keyboard shortcuts. Artists will be able to use Bezier curves to create vector shapes and to See PHOTOGRAPHICS, page 10 .

Setting up base camp The National Geographic Society, based in Washington, D.C., decided in 1995 to archive its magazine content as a resource for students and educators. National Geographic sought a product that would be as faithful to the print product as possible, without any extraneous bells "We were not going to introduce video or delete any Man about town. Larry Lux led the effort to digitize content," Lux said. "We more than 100 years of National Geographic magazines. knew that we couldn't im-

prove the print version of the magazine." the primary concern: Stanton said

One of the first tasks was deciding team members feared that if they rehow to format the content. The team arranged information in any way, they'd have to reacquire certain copyrights. So they reproduced the content in such a way that consumers can't cut and paste information.

Phil's Fonts Inc. of Silver Spring, Md., the U.S. distributor of Paris-based PhotoAlto (www.photoalto.com), is at (301) 879-0601 or (800) 424-2977; www.philsfonts.com.

est,' partially because we had not realized the enormity of this undertaking," said Larry Lux, senior vice president and managing director at National Geographic Interactive, a for-profit division of the National Geographic Society.

considered converting the pages to Adobe Acrobat PDF or coding the text in HTML, but it decided against both options, according to Tom Stanton, National Geographic Interactive vice president of operations. Copyright was

and whistles.

Although they said they wanted to See ARCHIVES, page 11 ►

#### EMEDIAWEEKLY 12.14.98

# imaging

### **Archives**

#### Continued from page 8

include everything in the bound magazines, they soon realized it wasn't feasible to include the many two-sided gatefold maps because the scanning process was too complex.

#### Beginning the ascent

In August 1996, National Geographic commissioned Dataware Technologies of Cambridge, Mass., to design a familiar and easy-to-use interface for the product.

"Our focus group testing told us that people wanted to be able to use this product without having to read any manuals," Lux said.

Each disc's opening screen displays thumbnails of the magazine covers; clicking on a cover opens the table of contents for that issue.

Indexing was the next decision. Lux and his associates said they ruled out using OCR to generate full text searches.

"We didn't think the value would justify the resources to put it into place," he said.

National Geographic had long indexed its issues for internal purposes, so much of the search data, such as article titles, dates and photographers, was available. Dataware incorporated

that information into a searchable index using its CD Author Development System, manually indexing the magazine's advertisements as well.

National Geographic and Dataware chose Document Automation Development of Overland Park, Kan., to scan the magazine's pages. That company's propriety software, DocuTrak, indexes and tracks images and information, which helps automate the workflow.

For internal quality control, DAD indexed each page it scanned, entering the page number, volume and year into a database; identifying whether content was editorial or advertising; and noting where editorial ended and ads began.

DAD worked from three sets of magazines: a master and two backups. Two sets also went to Dataware. Short on some issues, Lux's staff canvassed garage sales and used bookstores, and contacted individual collectors to acquire missing copies.

DAD used Hewlett-Packard ScanJet 4C scanners; DocuTrak automatically prompted the scanner operator to place the correct page on the glass. The company added two manual levels of quality control: Each day, staff members checked the previous day's work to make sure all the pages were scanned. Later they checked the CDs and com-

pared the scanned images with the original pages.

Color correction was modest. National Geographic wanted to replicate the original look of each page as closely as possible. If originals were saturated or washed out, the image was kept that way. If a page was printed off-center in the magazine, it was scanned off-center. Older issues with damaged covers were elecin Adobe Photoshop.

A year's issues — about 2,000 pages - were scanned each day. Every evening, DocuTrak compressed the images and moved the files to a Panasonic CD-ROM burning station, clearing the Windows NT server for the next day's input. DAD burned two sets of CDs: a set containing JPEG images that was sent to Dataware to be incorporated into the product, and a noncompressed backup disc.

#### **Reaching the summit**

Lux said he and his development partners struggled to maintain the quality of the scanned images and



tronically restored by copy- Birds of paradise. This cover from September 1995 is one ing and pasting from others' of more than 190,000 pages scanned.

limit the number of CDs.

"We didn't know how many discs most people could deal with," he said.

Apparently, 31 isn't too many. National Geographic has sold 300,000 of the \$150 CD-ROM sets since the package was released in the fall of 1997, making it the best-selling reference product in North America, according to Lux. (A \$199 four-disc DVD version, not included in these figures, was released this fall.) Yearly updates are available on disc. National Geographic hopes that by the end of 1999, customers will be able to download updates from the Internet.





Server

• Use NT Workstation as an AppleShare File Server Share NT Files, Drives, and Volumes with AppleTalk Networks



www.miramarsys.com/emw.htm

ÉMEDIAWEEKLY 12.14.98 🔳 🚺

Nov 06 07 11:47a

#### FrinkStudio/KeyLargoTrave

305-451-5147

Selling Stock » Publishers Side With NGS Over Photog In Reuse Case

http://www.selling-stock.com/?/p=2238



« <u>Getty\_Stock\_Ballish</u> <u>Veer Receives Top Marks from Bayers</u> »

#### Publishers Side With NGS Over Photog In Reuse Case

Posted November 4th, 2007 by Julia Dudnik Stem

In addition to entirely unauthorized image use, reuse of images beyond the scope of the original licensing agreement is a prominent issue in the business of steck licensing. The best-publicized, precedent-setting case of this nature is 1997's Tasini v. *The New York Times.* After a series of appeals, the newspaper lost to the freelance writer, with the court deciding that republishing copyrighted work in a database, outside of the original publication's context and without permission or compensation, constituted infringement.

Another landmark case that will affect how imagery is reused. Greenberg v. National Geographic Society, is currently moving closer to final resolution. The general counsel of the National Press Photographers Association, Mickey H. Osterreicher, has penned an <u>in-depth but phan-language outline</u> of the issues. In this tawsuit, photographer Jerry Greenberg sucd the magazine publisher in 1997 for reproducing his images on a compilation CD. Greenberg says he heensed the images for use in the print edition, and the CD is an entirely new product. The publisher argues that the CD is a revision, hence does not constitute either a new product or an infringement.

The U.S. courts' decisions in this case can only be described as vacillating. Thus far, various divisions have ruled for both sides and subsequently vacated these decisions. Currently, the case is pending a new, supposedly final hearing by all 12 judges of the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals. Not surprisingly, publishing giants including Gannett, Hearst, Time, Newsweek, Forbes and a long list of others have sought permission to file amicus (friend-of-the-court) briefs arguing the case of NGS.

"It is also unfortunate to note that as of this date no one has sought leave to file an amicus brief on behalf of the Plaintiff/Appellee (Mr. Greenberg), and the time may have passed to do so," comments Osterreicher. It is indeed surprising that in an industry that stands to lose revenue, should the court side with the publisher, neither leading companies nor advocacy groups have gotten involved.

This entry was posted on Sunday, November 4th, 2007 at 10:30 pm and is filed under <u>Commentary</u>. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>RSS 2.6</u> feed. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>RSS 2.6</u> feed. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>RSS 2.6</u> feed. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>RSS 2.6</u> feed. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>RSS 2.6</u> feed. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>RSS 2.6</u> feed. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>RSS 2.6</u> feed.

#### 0 Comments >>

No comments yet.

RSS feed for comments on this post TrackBack URI

#### Leave a comment

Name (required)

Mail (will not be published) (required)

Website

p.4

# PDNEWS

### Photogs Ask Supreme Court To Hear NGS Case

Three photographers have separately petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review of their failed copyright infringement claims against the National Geographic Society.

Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos are all seeking judgment against the publisher over *The Complete National Geographic*, a CD compilation that includes all past issues of the magazine.

Earlier this year, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled that the NGS CD doesn't infringe the photographers' copyrights because it is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Under copyright law, publishers can issue revisions of existing works without permission from the owners of articles or photos included in the original works.

But the photographers insists that the NGS CD is a new work rather than a revision of an existing work because it includes a search engine, advertising and other elements that distinguish it from the original magazines.

The Second Circuit ruling against the pho-

tographers, contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which concluded that the NGS CD was a new product rather than a revision. The plaintiff in that `case, photographer Jerry Greenberg, won a \$400,000 jury award for copyright infringement.

"[The Supreme Court's] review is urgently, needed to resolve the conflict between the Second and Eleventh Circuits," Ward's lawyers argued in their petition to the high court. "Unless NGS is held accountable for the engine of infringement it has created, copyright will soon mean nothing in the digital world and the incentives on which our copyright system rests will be severely diminished."

NGS spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says the publisher will not oppose the requests for a Supreme Court hearing, in order to get the conflict between the appellate court rulings resolved.

"It is a virtually impossible position for any [publisher] to know that one federal appellate court holds a single product perfectly appropriate and lawful under federal law in three states and another federal appellate court to hold precisely the same product an improper infringement of federal copyright law in three other states," Jacobsen says.

# **PDNEWS**

### Photogs Ask Supreme Court To Hear NGS Case

Three photographers have separately petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review of their failed copyright infringement claims against the National Geographic Society.

Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos are all seeking judgment against the publisher over *The Complete National Geographic*, a CD compilation that includes all past issues of the magazine.

Earlier this year, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled that the NGS CD doesn't infringe the photographers' copyrights because it is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Under copyright law, publishers can issue revisions of existing works without permission from the owners of articles or photos included in the original works.

But the photographers insists that the NGS CD is a new work rather than a revision of an existing work because it includes a search engine, advertising and other elements that distinguish it from the original magazines.

The Second Circuit ruling against the pho-

tographers, contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which concluded that the NGS CD was a new product rather than a revision. The plaintiff in that case, photographer Jerry Greenberg, won a \$400,000 jury award for copyright infringement.

"[The Supreme Court's] review is urgently needed to resolve the conflict between the Second and Eleventh Circuits," Ward's lawyers argued in their petition to the high court. "Unless NGS is held accountable for the engine of infringement it has created, copyright will soon mean nothing in the digital world and the incentives on which our copyright system rests will be severely diminished."

NGS spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says the publisher will not oppose the requests for a Supreme Court hearing, in order to get the conflict between the appellate court rulings resolved.

"It is a virtually impossible position for any [publisher] to know that one federal appellate court holds a single product perfectly appropriate and lawful under federal law in three states and another federal appellate court to hold precisely the same product an improper infringement of federal copyright law in three other states," Jacobsen says.

# **PDNEWS**

### Photogs Ask Supreme Court To Hear NGS Case

Three photographers have separately petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review of their failed copyright infringement claims against the National Geographic Society.

Fred Ward, Douglas Faulkner and Louis Psihoyos are all seeking judgment against the publisher over *The Complete National Geographic*, a CD compilation that includes all past issues of the magazine.

Earlier this year, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ruled that the NGS CD doesn't infringe the photographers' copyrights because it is a revision of existing works, rather than a new work. Under copyright law, publishers can issue revisions of existing works without permission from the owners of articles or photos included in the original works.

But the photographers insists that the NGS CD is a new work rather than a revision of an existing work because it includes a search engine, advertising and other elements that distinguish it from the original magazines.

The Second Circuit ruling against the pho-

tographers, contradicted an earlier ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, which concluded that the NGS CD was a new product rather than a revision. The plaintiff in that case, photographer Jerry Greenberg, won a \$400,000 jury award for copyright infringement.

"[The Supreme Court's] review is urgently needed to resolve the conflict between the Second and Eleventh Circuits," Ward's lawyers argued in their petition to the high court. "Unless NGS is held accountable for the engine of infringement it has created, copyright will soon mean nothing in the digital world and the incentives on which our copyright system rests will be severely diminished."

NGS spokesperson M.J. Jacobsen says the publisher will not oppose the requests for a Supreme Court hearing, in order to get the conflict between the appellate court rulings resolved.

"It is a virtually impossible position for any [publisher] to know that one federal appellate court holds a single product perfectly appropriate and lawful under federal law in three states and another federal appellate court to hold precisely the same product an improper infringement of federal copyright law in three other states," Jacobsen says.

PDN Sh. Th 1.57 Dr. Sha Library represe develor accordi sees the for disa source ( an expl With th disaster earthqu of Africa photojo

**PDN:** A justice **Shahid** is effect and the point of money-i





There has been yet another decision in the seemingly endless litigation against National Geographic Society ("NGS") by photographers and writers in connection with NGS's reproduction of the content of its print magazines in the CD-ROM products entitled The Complete National Geographic. Once again, NGS has-been victorious.

As 1 previously reported, prior District Court and Circuit Court of Appeals decisions in three New

York actions culminated in dismissal of the copyright infringement claims on the grounds that, pursuant to the intervening U.S. Supreme Court decision in New York Times v. Tasini, the NGS uses constituted a revision of its magazine and not a new work.

The remaining contract claims now alleged that the plaintiffs had

Page 1

#### National Geographic Society Continued from page 1

evidence in the form of prior dealings among the parties to determine what the parties meant. The Court explained that a course of dealing between parties throughout the fife of a contract is highly relevant to determine the meaning of the terms of the contract. Moreover, the practical interpretation of the contract by the parties for any considerable period of time before it became the subject of controversy is of great, if not controlling, influence.

The undisputed evidence, according to Judge Kaplan, was that NGS paid plaintiffs for use of the work in filmstrips, books, slide presentations, and promotional materials, but not for use in microform, microfiche, or bound compilations of the Magazine, From this, the Court concluded that NGS made additional payments when it reused plaintiff's contributions in a context different than the magazine, but not when it used these works in a context identical to the Magazine,

Since the Court had previously decided, in rejecting the copyright claims, that the works were not used in a "different" context, it concluded that there was no "further use" made under the contract provisions. Therefore, the contract claims were dismissed.

At least one, and perhaps all, at these plaintiffs plan to appeal, so there will undoubtedly be additional chapters to be written in this ongoing saga.

Attorney Joel 1. Hecker lectures and writes extensively on issues of concern to the photography industry. His office is located at Russo & Burke, 600 Hurd Ave. New York NY H016 Phone, 1 212 557-9600 E-mail. HeckerFsepauol com granted only limited rights to publish their works in National Geographic Magazine, and that NGS was contractually obligated to make additional payments to them for any further promotional, advertising, or editorial use of their work.

#### AMBIGUOUS LANGUAGE

Judge Kaplan first ruled that the language of the various contracts was ambiguous because the "further use" provisions did not compet acceptance of the interpretation advanced by either side.

The Court then looked to ex-

Continued on page 2



There has been yet another decision in the seemingly endless litigation against National Geographic Society ("NGS") by photographers and writers in connection with NGS's reproduction of the content

•••

of its print magazines in the CD-ROM products entitled The Complete National Geographic. Once again, NGS has been victorious.

As I previously reported, prior District Court and Circuit Court of Appeals decisions in three New

York actions culminated in dismissal of the copyright infringement claims on the grounds that, pursuant to the intervening U.S. Supreme Court decision in New York Times v. Tasini, the NGS uses constituted a revision of its magazine and not a new work.

The remaining contract claims now alleged that the plaintiffs had

#### Page 1

### National Geographic Society Continued from page 1

evidence in the form of prior dealings among the parties to determine what the parties meant. The Court explained that a course of dealing between parties throughout the life of a contract is highly relevant to determine the meaning of the terms of the contract. Moreover, the practical interpretation of the contract by the parties for any considerable period of time before it became the subject of controversy is of great, if not controlling, influence.

The undisputed evidence, according to Judge Kaplan, was that NGS paid plaintiffs for use of the work in filmstrips, books, slide presentations, and promotional materials, but not for use in microform, microfiche, or bound compilations of the Magazine. From this, the Court concluded that NGS made additional payments when it reused plaintiff's contributions in a context different than the magazine, but not when it used these works in a context identical to the Magazine.

Since the Court had previously decided, in rejecting the copyright claims, that the works were not used in a "different" context, it concluded that there was no "further use" made under the contract provisions, Therefore, the contract claims were dismissed.

At least one, and perhaps all, of these plaintiffs plan to appeal, so there will undoubtedly be additional chapters to be written in this ongoing saga.

Attorney Joel L. Hecker feetures and writes extensively on issues of concern to the photogruphy industry. His office is located at Rosso & Burke, 600 third Ave. New York NY 10016 Phone 1 212 557-9600 L-mail. HeckerFsephaol com granted only limited rights to publish their works in National Geographic Magazine, and that NGS was contractually obligated to make additional payments to them for any further promotional, advertising, or editorial use of their work.

#### AMBIGUOUS LANGUAGE

Judge Kaplan first ruled that the language of the various contracts was ambiguous because the "further use" provisions did not compel acceptance of the interpretation advanced by either side.

The Court then looked to ex-

Continued on page 2



There has been yet another decision in the seemingly endless litigation against National Geographic Society ("NGS") by photographers and writers in connection with NGS's reproduction of the content

•••

of its print magazines in the CD-ROM products entitled The Complete National Geographic. Once again, NGS has been victorious.

As 1 previously reported, prior District Court and Circuit Court of Appeals decisions in three New

York actions culminated in dismissal of the copyright infringement claims on the grounds that, pursuant to the intervening U.S. Supreme Court decision in New York Times v. Tasini, the NGS uses constituted a revision of its magazine and not a new work.

The remaining contract claims now alleged that the plaintiffs had

#### Page 1

#### National Geographic Society Continued from page 1

evidence in the form of prior dealings among the parties to determine what the parties meant. The Court explained that a course of dealing between parties throughout the life of a contract is highly relevant to determine the meaning of the terms of the contract. Moreover, the practical interpretation of the contract by the parties for any considerable period of time before it became the subject of controversy is of great, if not controlling, influence.

The undisputed evidence, according to Judge Kaplan, was that NGS paid plaintiffs for use of the work in filmstrips, books, slide presentations, and promotional materials, but not for use in microform, microfiche, or bound compilations of the Magazine. From this, the Court concluded that NGS made additional payments when it reused plaintiff's contributions in a context different than the magazine, but not when it used these works in a context identical to the Magazine.

Since the Court had previously decided, in rejecting the copyright claims, that the works were not used in a "different" context, it concluded that there was no "further use" made under the contract provisions. Therefore, the contract claims were dismissed.

At least one, and perhaps all, of these plaintiffs plan to appeal, so there will undoubtedly be additional chapters to be written in this ongoing saga.

Attorney Juel L. Hecker lectures and writes extensively on issues of concern to the photogrupby industry. His office is located at Russo & Burke, 600 third Ave. New York NY 10016 Phone 1/212/557-9600 L-mail. Heckerbsepärad com granted only limited rights to publish their works in National Geographic Magazine, and that NGS was contractually obligated to make additional payments to them for any further promotional, advertising, or editorial use of their work.

#### AMBIGUOUS LANGUAGE

Judge Kaplan first ruled that the language of the various contracts was ambiguous because the "further use" provisions did not compel acceptance of the interpretation advanced by either side.

The Court then looked to extrinsic

Contained on page 2



There has been yet another decision in the seemingly endless litigation against National Geographic Society ("NGS") by photographers and writers in connection with NGS's reproduction of the content of its print magazines in the CD-ROM products entitled The Complete National Geographic. Once again, NGS has been victorious.

As 1 previously reported, prior District Court and Circuit Court of Appeals decisions in three New

York actions culminated in dismissal of the copyright infringement claims on the grounds that, pursuant to the intervening U.S. Supreme Court decision in New York Times v. Tasini, the NGS uses constituted a revision of its magazine and not a new work.

The remaining contract claims now alleged that the plaintiffs had

Page 1

graphic Magazine, and that NGS was contractually obligated to make additional payments to them for any further promotional, advertising, or editorial use of their work.

granted only limited rights to pub-

lish their works in National Geo-

#### AMBIGUOUS LANGUAGE

Judge Kaplan first ruled that the language of the various contracts was ambiguous because the "further use" provisions did not compel acceptance of the interpretation advanced by either side.

The Court then looked to extrinsic Continued on page 2

#### National Geographic Society Continued from page 1

evidence in the form of prior dealings among the parties to determine what the parties meant. The Court explained that a course of dealing between parties throughout the life of a contract is highly relevant to determine the meaning of the terms of the contract. Moreover, the practical interpretation of the contract by the parties for any considerable period of time before it became the subject of controversy is of great, if not controlling, influence.

The undisputed evidence, according to Judge Kaplan, was that NGS paid plaintiffs for use of the work in filmstrips, books, slide presentations, and promotional materials, but not for use in microform, microfiche, or bound compilations of the Magazine, From this, the Court concluded that NGS made additional payments when it reused plaintiff's contributions in a context different than the magazine, but not when it used these works in a context identical to the Magazine.

Since the Court had previously decided, in rejecting the copyright claims, that the works were not used in a "different" context, it concluded that there was no "further use" made under the contract provisions. Therefore, the contract claims were dismissed.

At least one, and perhaps all, of these plaintiffs plan to appeal, so there will undoubtedly be additional chapters to be written in this ongoing saga.

Attorney Juel L. Hecker fectores and writes extensively on tissues of concern to the photography industry. His office is located at Russo & Burke, 600 (Inref Ave. New York NY 10016) Phone 1/212/557-9600; E-mail HeckerEspicaal com



WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008

DailyBusinessReview.com

VOL. 83, NO. 93

An incisivemedia publication

Financial

REVIEW

director sells shares to raise

cash for capital fund ... A3

Insider Trading: Bioheart

## Inside

- **Real Estate / Finance** A2 Bankers want more oversight over
- accounting rules A2 Fed sets up new program to buy money-fund assets
- A4-Toyota lends dealer \$14 million
- Two Palm Beach A4 County lots sold by same seller
- **Residential parcel** A4 sold for \$410,000
- Deeds & Mortgages B2

#### **Legal Review**

- A9 Bailout fixes leave plenty of questions
- Α9 Does YouTube exchange shed light on copyright law?

#### **Classified / Directories**

- A7 Business Services
- A14 Employment
- A12 Legal Practices
- A13 Legal Services
- A7 **Real Estate** Marketplace

Should you have delivery questions, call 1-877-256-2472



Freelance photographer seeks U.S. Supreme Court review of his fight with National Geographic over use of his photos

In 1997, when National

Geographic developed "The

a new publication contract based

on the CD library. But National

by R. Robin McDonald robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com

Florida photographer is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight with the National Geographic Society over

its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine. From 1962

to 1990. National Geographic

Davis published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that made the cover. National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to Greenberg in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney,

not infringe on Greenberg's copyright. Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York Norman Davis of Squire Sanders &

**CORPORATE FINANCE** 

adio station owner-operator Spanish

Broadcasting System was unable to

Miami-based Spanish Broadcasting said it

rew down S15 million on Oct. 8 after reques

ing the \$25 million maximum under its revolv-

ing credit agreement with Lehman Commercial

Paper, Merrill Lynch, Wachovia Bank and other



National Geographic's use of photos in a CD compilation of every edition of its magazine has sparked an 11-year battle in court with a freelance photographer from Florida. Dempsey.

and the 11th in Atlanta, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases — brought Complete National Geographic," a by freelancers in New York and CD archive of its magazine library, Greenberg in Florida against the Greenberg attempted to negotiate National Geographic over the CD library — the appellate courts have held the CDs do not infringe on the Geographic claimed the CD set did copyrights of its freelance contributors.

> Supreme Court to clarify Justice See Publication, Page A6

Greenberg's appeal asks the

**CAPITAL** SOURCES Banks fail to communicate with customers amid crisis Ray Ruga, principal of a Coral Gables communication and market intelligence firm,

says he knows of a person flying from Argentina to Miami to pull their money out of a bank account to put it in a safedeposit box.



"That to me was absolutely startling," Ruga said. "They just fear for their savings. If this occurred on a mass scale, the banking system would collapse. It is important that our [banking] system now react in a way that

takes the concerns and fears of the stakeholders into account, and that they address them." Ruga is seeing little evidence that banks are doing effective

crisis communication. 'I've tried to call my bank. I

can't get in touch with anybody. No one really knows what's going on.' What you sense is frustration," he said of recent discussions.

His firm, CVOX Group, which specializes in the financial ser-See Capital Sources, Page A5

ON THE WEB Multimedia: Ray Ruga uiscusses customer concerns Bank study DailyBusinessReview.com

See Page A8



Radio station owner-operator Spanish **Broadcasting System was \$10 million short** of getting its \$25 million credit line.



1103





Broadcasting's \$25 million drawdown request after the giant investment banker filed Sept. 14 for Chapter 11 bankruptcy.

a filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission that it would use the \$15 million sum together with other funds to repay an \$18 million earing note que in January The company had \$39 million in cash as of June 30.



lenders.

Spanish Broadcasting also disclosed in

See Spanish, Page A6



'Pre-event' money Squire Sanders trio completes bond issue so Citizens can react to disaster

#### A2 • WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008 • DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW





### **BUSINESS BRIEFS**

Bankers want more oversight over accounting rules

#### Bloomberg News

The U.S. banking industry, which blames accounting rules for exacerbating the financial crisis, said Congress should consider increasing government control over the independent board that writes the measures.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board lacks "accountability" and doesn't ensure that benefits of its rules outweigh the costs, American Bankers Association president Edward Yingling said in congressional testimony Tuesday. The ABA criticism echoes former House Speaker Newt Gingrich, who says FASB "tends to be too academic and too rigid."

The assault on FASB follows failed efforts to suspend fair-value accounting standards, which require companies to review their holdings each quarter and report losses if values have declined. Some lawmakers say the provision is eroding banks' capital by forcing them to write down to fire-sale prices illiquid securities they have no intention of selling.

The Securities and Exchange Commission has authority to review FASB's funding, approve its status as the drafter of accounting rules and nominate its members.

### Fed sets up new program to buy money-fund assets

#### Bloomberg News

The Federal Reserve will help finance purchases of up to \$600 billion in assets from money-market mutual funds rolled by redemptions from investors seeking the safety of government debt.

"The short-term debt markets have been under considerable strain in recent weeks as money market mutual funds and other investors have had difficulty selling assets to satisfy redemption requests," the Fed said in a statement Tuesday. About \$500 billion has flowed out of prime moneymarket funds since August, a central bank official said.

JPMorgan Chase will run the five special units that will buy certificates of deposit, bank notes and commercial paper with a remaining maturity of 90 days or less. The Fed will lend up to \$540 billion to the five funds, an official told reporters on a conference call on condition of anonymity.

The new effort is called the Money Market Investor Funding Facility, the Fed said. Each unit will by paper from up to 10 separate issuers.

#### **Regions Financial profit drops** 80 percent on housing losses

#### Bloomberg News

Regions Financial, the Alabama-based bank with dozens of branches in Florida, said it will sell preferred shares to the federal government after third-quarter profit plunged 80 percent on losses from loans to home builders and borrowers.

Net income fell to \$79.5 million, or 11 cents a share, from \$394.2 million, or 56 cents, a year earlier, the Birmingham-based bank said Tuesday. Excluding merger-related charges and discontinued operations, profit was 15 cents a share, missing the 27-cent average estimate of 19 analysts surveyed by Bloomberg:

Regions said it plans to sell as much as \$3.5 billion in preferred shares as part of the government's plan to inject capital into banks. Region is among the first regional banks to confirm plans to participate in the Treasury Department's \$250 billion effort, which includes \$125 billion in mandatory injections in nine large institutions.

#### National City, Fifth Third, KeyCorp report losses

#### Bloomberg News

National City, Fifth Third Bancorp and KeyCorp, Ohio's largest banks, reported third-quarter losses amid the worst housing slump since the Great Depression.

National City announced plans to cut about 4,000 jobs, or 14 percent of its workforce, over the next three years after posting a net loss of \$729 million, or 85 cents a share, the Cleveland- based company said in a statement. Fifth Third in Cincinnati reported a quarterly loss of \$56 million, or 61 cents, and Cleveland-based KeyCorp's loss was \$36 million, or 10 cents.

The losses add to pressure on the managements of National City, Fifth Third and KeyCorp after shares of the companies fell more than 50 percent this year.

#### McClatchy saw worst ad drop of the year in September

The Associated Press

Newspaper publisher McClatchy, owner of the Miami Herald, said Tuesday that declines in its advertising revenue picked up pace in September as the ad market took a beating from the ongoing credit crunch and other economic woes.

McClatchy had its worst advertising month all year as combined revenue for print and online ads dropped 19.9 percent in September compared with last year. It fell 18 percent in August, a slight reprieve from June and July, when year-over-year declines exceeded 19 percent, including 19.5 percent in June.

McClatchy has seen double-digit declines all year on weak classified and national advertising

#### U.S. retailers' weekly sales rise least since May

#### Bloomberg News

U.S. retail sales rose at the slowest pace since May last week as discouraged Americans cutback on spending.

Sales at stores open at least a year increased 0.9 percent last week from a year earlier, the International Council of Shopping Centers and Goldman Sachs Group said Tuesday in a joint statement. The ICSC reiterated its October same-store sales growth forecast of 1 percent to 2 percent. The slowdown signals that consumers have grown increasingly concerned that an economic recovery will be delayed.

### American Express third-quarter profit beats estimates

Bloomberg News American Express, the biggest U.S. credit-card company by purchases, reported third-quarter profit that beat analysts' estimates.

Profit from continuing operations declined 23 percent to \$861 million, or 74 cents a share, beating the 59-cent average estimate of 15 analysts surveyed by Bloomberg. Revenue at the New York-based lender rose 3 percent to \$7.16 billion, American Express said Monday.

American Express lost more than half its market value this year as rising U.S. unemployment forces consumers to spend less and default on loans more. ■

Financial Review

#### **INSIDER TRADING**

### Bioheart director sells shares to raise cash for capital fund

by Mike Seemuth Special to the Review

rivate equity investment firm Astri Group sold shares of cardiovascular therapy developer Bioheart at depressed prices to raise capital.

Coral Gables-based Astri has sold more than \$250,000 of stock in Sunrise-based Bioheart at share prices ranging from \$3.99 in early September to \$1.90 this week.

Mike Tomas, president of Astri and a director of Bioheart, reported in Form 4 filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission that most of the selling was done "in order to generate capital required by the Astri Group for reasons unrelated to Bioheart."

Tomas did not return a phone call for comment. He has served since 2001 as president of Astri, a specialist in financing early-stage companies.

Nine-year-old Bioheart is testing an experimental therapy that involves extracting muscle cells from a patient's thigh and injecting them into the scar tissue of the patient's heart to improve cardiovascular function.

Tomas has been a director of Bioheart since 2003 and serves on the boards of several other companies.

In June, he was named chairman of the board of advisors of the Eugenio Pino & Family Global Entrepreneurship Center at Florida International University.

Tomas shares voting and investment power over the 224,738 Bioheart shares that Astri owned as of Monday, compared to 354,340 shares on Sept. 3, or 36 percent fewer.

SEC records show that almost all of the indirect sales of Bioheart stock by Tomas since early September were conducted under a preset trading plan he adopted Aug. 26 to comply with Rule 10b5-1 of federal securities law. Insiders attest they have no material, non-public information about their companies when they adopt such plans.

Bioheart shares (Nasdag: BHRT) traded late Tuesday at \$1.84, well below the price of the company's initial public offering of stock earlier this year.

The company issued 1.1 million shares to the public for the first time in February at \$5.25 per share.

The IPO was downsized in price and volume

Late last year, the company had planned an initial offering of 3.5 million shares priced from \$14 to \$16.

Howard Leonhardt, chairman, chief executive officer and largest shareholder of Bioheart, bought shares Feb. 19 at the \$5.25 public offering price and bought more the same day at lower open-market prices averaging \$5.13 per share.

But since then, no insiders have purchased Bioheart stock despite its sharply lower price, according to securities database Yahoo Online.

Incorporated in 1999, Bioheart has a lead product candidate called MyoCell, a

### Bioheart Inc. at a glance

#### Headquarters: Sunrise

Major operations: A biotechnology company, Bioheart focuses on discovering, developing, and commercializing autologous cell therapies for the treatment of heart damage.

Stock listing: BHRT (Nasdaq)

#### Late **Tuesday**

Price . \$1.84 Change.... \$\$0.06 / \$3.37% 

#### The last year

Source: Bloomberg News

impact of scar tissue on damaged hearts. More than 300 patients are involved in ongoing Phase II and Phase III clinical trials of the MyoCell therapy regimen for possible approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

The MyoCell therapy regimen is promising, but Bioheart is a money-losing company that generates little revenue and often sells stock or borrows money to cover research and other expenses.

Bioheart's net loss in the six months ended June 30 widened to \$7.1 million, or 51 cents per share, from \$5 million. or 39 cents per share, in the first half of last year. Revenues were negligible in the January-June period, falling to about \$42,000 from about \$208,000 during the same period last year.

While insiders have avoided buying stock in Bioheart, several shareholders have guaranteed repayment of part of the company's debt. In June, former Miami Dolphins football players Dan Marino and Jason Taylor and another Bioheart shareholder, board member Samuel Ahn, pledged collateral valued at \$1.85 million to secure a loan from Bank America to the company,

#### **SBA Communications president** reports involuntary stock sale

Jeffrey Stoops, president and chief executive officer of SBA Communications. reported an involuntary sale of \$1,28 million of SBA stock to satisfy margin-loan collateral requirements.

Stoops disclosed in a Form 4 filing with e SEC that an unidentified lender conducted the involuntary sale of 100,000 SBA shares on his behalf. SBA owns and operates wireless communications towers. Stoops previously had pledged the 100,000 shares to the lender as security

for a margin loan to purchase SBA shares and pay associated withholding taxes.

The involuntary sale reduced his direct ownership of SBA stock to 990,079 shares a 9 percent decrease. Stoops indirectly owns 919,863 shares through a limited partnership and 5,800 shares through a cell-based therapy designed to minimize the trust for the benefit of his four children.

The lender completed the involuntary sale Oct. 10 at a price of \$12.82 per share.

Shares of SBA (Nasdag: SBAC) closed Tuesday at \$18.25. The highest price in the last year was \$38.50 last November and the lowest was \$11.28 on Oct. 10.

Eleven analysts covering SBA recommend buying its stock, three have neutral hold ratings, and one expects better returns on shares of other companies in the communications tower business, Thomson Financial reported.

#### Lennar director invests \$32,000 in home-building company

Sidney Lapidus, a director of Lennar, invested \$32,000 in the Miami-based home building company's super-voting Class B common stock.

Lapidus, a retired partner of private equity investment firm Warburg Pincus, has been a Lennar director since 1997. He also serves as a director of two other publicly held companies, Pennsylvania-based office and home furnishings producer Knoll and Dallas-based retailer Neiman Marcus.

Lapidus bought 5,000 Class B common shares of Lennar on Oct. 10 for \$6.40 each. The purchase boosted his ownership of the Class B stock to 32,000 shares, an 18 percent increase.

He also owns 187,842 of Lennar's more widely traded Class A common shares. Each Class B common share of Lennar entitles its owner to 10 votes on proposals requiring shareholder approval, and each Class A common share carries one vote.

Lennar's Class A shares (NYSE: LEN) closed Tuesday at \$9.016. The shares have ranged from \$25 about a year ago to \$7.83 on Oct. 16.

Lennar's Class B shares (NYSE: LENB) closed Tuesday at \$6.34. The 52-week price range extends from \$23.21 about a year ago to \$5.29 on Oct. 10.

Six analysts covering Lennar recommend. investing in the company, eight have hold ratings on the stock, and three expect better investment returns on other homebuilding stocks, Thomson Financial reported.



Sidney Lapidus, a Lennar director since 1997, bought 5,000 Class B common shares of Lennar on Oct. 10 for \$6.40 each. The purchase boosted his ownership by 18 percent.

### **Events**

#### Today Latin American Business

Association: Networking event with Miami-Dade County Schools Superintendent Alberto Carvalho, 5:30 p.m., La Palma, 116 Alhambra Circle, Coral Gables. Free. Call (786) 280-7330.

North Dade South Broward Estate Planning Council: Meeting, 5:45 p.m., Sheraton DCOTA Hotel, Wine Room Restaurant, 1825 Griffin Road, Dania Beach. Cost: \$40. E-mail: aalsopp@cbiz.com

#### Oct. 23

Greater Hollywood Chamber of Commerce: Business Seminar with Orlando Herrera of Anthony Robbins Companies, 7:30 a.m., Hollywood Beach Marriott, 2501 N. Ocean Drive, Hollywood. Cost: \$25 members, \$40 future members. Call (954) 923-4000.

See Events, Page A13

People



**Berkowitz** Branch

· Richard A. Berkowitz, managing director of Berkowitz Dick Pollack & Brant, has been named chairman of the statewide board of directors for Take Stock in Children.

· Gloria S. Branch, a private banker for the Palm Beach County market at Colonial Bank in Boca Raton, has been named chairman of the Florida Atlantic University's National Alumni Association board of directors.

• Bob Rubin, a former senior vice president of Wachovia Wealth Management, and Charles Herrington III, a former vice president and senior trust officer with Merrill Lynch, have opened their own wealth management firm, Rubin Herrington. The firm will be located at 1200 N. Federal Highway, Suite 200, Boca Raton. They will specialize in wealth preservation and distribution solutions for families and businesses of multigenerational wealth. Rubin will be president and Herrington will be vice president of the firm

• Debbie Abrams has joined Rubin Herrington as vice president. She was vice president of Sabre Technical Services, a joint venture with Giuliani Partners.

• Mercantile Bank has been recognized by the Latin Builders Association as "Bank of the Year" for its outstanding quality, good customer service and innovation in the financial world.

A4 • WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008 • DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW

### <u>ON REAL ESTATE</u>

### **Miami-Dade property** picked up for \$460,000

Address: 15665 SW 13th Terrace, Miami-Dade County Property type: 5,000-square-foot parcel zoned for residential use Price: \$460,000, or \$92 per square foot Seller: Bella Villas Homes Inc., Jose Rieumont, president Buyer: Oscar Silva and Erica Silva

### **Doral residential parcel** sells for \$405.000

Address: 8750 NW 111th Court. Doral Property type: 2,120-square-foot parcel zoned for residential use Price: \$405,000, or \$191.04 per square foot Seller: Tousa Homes Inc., Larry Streib, division president Buyer: Mikel Aizpura

### Lauderdale mixed-use building goes for \$425,000

Address: 1409 SE First Ave., Fort Lauderdale

Property type: 1,903-square-foot mixeduse building completed in 1947 on a 0.15-acre lot

Price: \$425,000, or \$223.33 per square foot

Sellers: James Litrides and Dina Litrides Buyer: AYDA Weiss LLC, Ayda Weiss, managing member Past sales: \$170,000 in 1995

# Deal of the Day Auto dealer gets \$14M loan from Toyota



Corp. for a Royal Palm Beach showroom. Address: 9205 Southern Blvd., Royal Palm Beach

Property type: 35,434-square-foot auto showroom on four parcels totaling 34 acres Loan Value: \$13.95 million

Lender: Toyota Motor, Credit Corp., Borrower: West Paim Nissan LLC, Robert O'Shaughnessy, executive vice president of Finance .

### **Two Palm Beach County** lots sold by same seller

<u>by Eric Kalis</u>

Address: 11687 Rock Lake Terrace, Palm Beach County

Property type: 0.13 acre parcel zoned for residential use

Price: \$ 422,061, or \$74.53 per square foot

Seller: Boynton Beach Associates XVII LLLP, N. Maria Menendez, vice president Buyers: Charles Barker and Lisa Barker

Address: 8148 Emerald Winds Circle, **Canyon Springs** Property type: 0.18-acre parcel zoned for residential use

Price: \$490,570, or \$62.39 per square foot

Seller: Boynton Beach Associates XVII LLLP, N. Maria Menendez, vice president Buyers: Kerry Sokalsky and Sharon Sokalsky

### **Residential parcel** purchased for \$410,000

Address: 10945 Deer Park Lane, Palm **Beach County** Property type: 0.14-acre parcel zoned for residential use Price: \$410,000, or \$69.26 per square foot Seller: G L Homes Of Boynton Beach Associates XI Ltd., Steven Helfman, vice president Buyers: Philip Greenberg and Bonita Greenberg

These reports are based on public records filed with the clerks of courts. Building area is cited in gross square footage, the total area of a property as computed for assessment purposes by the county appraiser.



prior to publication • Publishes ev



#### Postmaster: Send address changes to Daily Business Review, P.O. Box 010589, Miami, FL 33101.

KEVIEW Published dally Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, by Incisivemedia publications, 1 SE Third Ave., Suite 900, Miami, FL 33131, (305) 377-3721. © 2008 incisivemedia, Daily Business Review (USPS 344-300) (ISSN 1538-1749) Miami Subscription Rates: One year (259) issues — basic (individual and small firms) \$328 plus tax; corporate (large firms) \$570 plus tax; with discounted group rates available. Single copies (M-F) — \$2. Back issues when available (M-F) — \$6. Periodicals postage paid at Miami, FL

### CAPITAL SOURCES From Page A1

vices sector, produced a study to see how well 40 South Florida banks and credit unions and we just finished a letter to the stockholdwere using their Web site's home pages to communicate with customers, employees and investors about the financial crisis. The study concluded Oct. 12 and does not include messages added to Web sites since that time. [BankAtlantic, for example, added a message from chairman Alan Levan dated Oct. 16.1

"Obviously in this volatile crisis environment, stakeholders are going to be seeking information of events and how they affect their interest," Ruga said. "One of the first stops for customers of financial institutions is the Web site. It's the first line of communications defense. We wanted to study and see how they were utilizing this. It's not a tool anymore; it's a necessary component to have."

He said that if banks are not effectively using their Web sites to communicate with customers, "it spoke systemically of some other communication problems they are going to be facing."

And what the study found was that strongest institutions generally did the best job of communicating, while the weakest banks did not

"That's one of the most interesting parts of it, is that the firms that probably needed to do it less are the ones who did it the most." Ruga said. "That was the correlation we were seeking to identify. I don't know if it's a cause and effect, but obviously they felt confident enough in their standing that they could reach out and explain their strength and their outlook to their stakeholders, while the weaker ones either did not feel that they had a story to tell or were so involved in the internal management of this crisis that they may have developed more of a bunker mentality, and by definition are not as good communicators.'

Of the 40 institutions, 28 did not have any information posted on their Web pages addressing the crisis, concerns of customers or the institution's current stability and outlook. Only eight in any way addressed the downturn. Of these, only four directly address the crisis, "and yet, even these do not make their effort as easily available to visitors to the Web sites as they could, minimizing the impact of their effort."

Of the 12 institutions that posted information, 33 percent of those highlighted their ratings by BauerFinancial, a Coral Gables-based bank-rating service. These firms all received five stars, the highest rating. An analysis of the BauerFinancial ratings for all 40 institutions found that of the 12 that communicated on the crisis, eight received a five-star rating, reflecting that the banks with the more effective communications tended to be higher rated.

The study found that many banks are using third-party validators such as BauerFinancial. fit's not us saying that we are strong; it's someone else saying that we are strong,

Ruga said. One of the highest-rated banks in the

study was Sun American Bank, where chief executive Michael Golden has placed a brief audio message on the bank's home page assuring customers of the bank's financial health.

"Our bank is not highly leveraged, it has no exposure to subprime lending, no negative amortization mortgages and only nominal exposure to residential lending in general," Golden said in his message.

Three credit unions also scored high in the study: Miami Federal Credit Union for its chief executive's letter to stakeholders. and both Miami Firefighters Federal and University credit unions for their letters to customers.

"We did a mail out to all of the customers. ers. I'm going to do a separate letter to the customers just outlining specifically how solid the bank is," said Charles Schuette, chairman of Coconut Grove Bank, which already touts its five-star BauerFinancial rating on its Web site. "For those who use the Internet, it will be communicated on the Internet."

Rick Kuci, Coconut Grove's chief lending officer, said the bank's concern is what to do when the market changes daily.

"One day the market drops 700 points, the next day its up 900," he said. "We're just trying to get a consistent theme out to our customers that we do have the five star rating; we're safe, sound and secure. We are fielding phone calls from them every day as they see other news out there, another bank in trouble .. just reassuring them. Not everyone uses the Internet. We're using bank statements because we know everyone gets those."

One struggling bank that did well in the study was Miami-based Ocean Bank, which has labored under a two-star "problematic" rating from BauerFinancial.

"I think Ocean Bank did a relatively good job in their letter to the stakeholders, and they had a two-star ranking," Ruga said. Their CEO in this case is really taking it to the forefront. Firms that communicate effectively on top of managing their firm effectively have the opportunity to re-establish a relationship and start rebuilding the trust and strengthening the brand with consumers for the long run."

Some institutions that have chosen not to address the crisis on their home pages. among them Coral Gables-based BankUnited, have opted to communicate through other channels.



Ray Ruga, principal of CVOX Group, said, 'It is important that our [banking] system now react in a way that takes the concerns and fears of the stakeholders into account.

"We've been using our employees to talk to our customers," said Melissa Gracey, director of marketing for Coral Gables-based BankUnited. "Our customer base is so diverse, from business owners to elderly sav ers to investor types, our goal since everything started getting bumpy is to make sure our employees were the highest trained that they could be."

Gracey said the majority of BankUnited's customers have relationships with many banks.

"If they walk into Bank X, and the teller

doesn't know anything about FDIC insurance, and our teller can tell them everything, when we get past this, they're going to go where they felt the most comfortable," she said.

Communication plays a crucial role in the banking world because the current financial meltdown is as much a crisis of confidence as it is a balance sheet problem, Ruga said.

"Confidence usually is implied in the stability of the market," Ruga said. "People usually leave it into the background. What the banks tend to focus on communication-wise is really the value proposition and the benefits and really more communicating in a marketing perspective, 'Why we are better, why we offer more,' etc. This crisis is a global financial crisis, and a lot of these firms really are not equipped with the type of communications team that they need to handle this type of challenge. Many have been caught, if not off-guard, at least they've lost some of their footing, and I think their teams are not really up to the task."

Ruga said in some cases there is a logical explanation.

Their focus has been on the banking side. This is doing what they do best," he said. "Which is trying to shore up their balance sheets and navigating the institution through the crisis as opposed to viewing the communications as an integral part of this.

The work that these banks are doing internally to shore up their balance sheets? "Those very efforts are what need to be communicated," Ruga said. "The work that you are putting in is the story."

Wayne Tompkins can be reached at wayne. tompkins@incisivemedia.com or at (305) 347-6645.



### PUBLICATION From Page A1

Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, which sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers over the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts federal appellate copyright rulings by the two circuits citing Tasini have "warped" the Ginsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [federal appellate] circuits in the Tasini decision," Davis said. "The Supreme Court, I think, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasini, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to federal copyright laws after the technological revolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for freelance writers who could negotiate new permissions and contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

Greenberg's case began in Miami, where U.S. District Magistrate Judge Andrea Simonton found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded

the case, finding the magazine infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th Circuit opinion was released shortly before Tasini was handed down.

Simonton subsequently found National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. The magazine appealed, and a new 11th Circuit panel, citing the intervening Tasini decision, last year reversed the first panel's ruling. In June, the 11th Circuit sitting en banc split 7-5 in favor of National Geographic. The decision was compatible with the 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit on virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate court majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in Tasini — while restricting the publish-

ers from selling freelancers work to online databases. such as Lexis and Westlaw without in the opinion that does not directsecuring the authors' permission allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works were reproduced in the collections.

The 11th Circuit's latest decision determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version," publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating freelance contracts.

The majority also decided new elements such as the operating software and search engines on

the CDs — even if they carry copyrights --- were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic," a new collective work, subject to copyright privilege..

a collective work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege," the majority opinion stated.

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by Tasini. Publishers including National Geographic have acknowledged their arguments are not based on specific language in Tasini but rather on dicta, the

Jerry Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case 'presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works.'

> explanatory commentary included ly address the facts of the case under review.

In Tasini, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues, such as revised editions of an encyclopedia contributed or as part of any 'revior multiple editions of a daily news- sion' thereof.' paper. Therefore, publishers may not sell the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors.

In deciding the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the

articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics, formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication.

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revi-"The addition of new material to sion' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote. "We would reach the same conclusion if the [New York] Times sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain

continuous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film," Ginsburg wrote. "Accordingly, articles appear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely

the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context. Ginsburg wrote, In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context. ... In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles as part of the

collective work to which the author

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because the 1976 copyright revisions were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freelance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication," according to the petition. That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts.

Greenberg's petition said both circuits "have held that a publisher" can avoid paying the artist anything under Tasini by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"Yet the artist receives nary a penny," the petition said. "Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless."

The petition challenges the court to "clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer."

Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case. He said the magazine is evaluating whether to respond.

R. Robin McDonald reports for the Fulton County Daily Report, an IncisiveMedia affiliate of the Daily Business Review.

### SPANISH From Page A1

A spokeswoman for Spanish Broadcasting declined to comment on the impact of the Lehman Brothers' bankruptcy — the largest in history - on SBS. The bank's downfall accelerated the continuing global credit crisis.

**Despite Spanish Broadcasting's** financing problems, a major shareholder sees no evidence of a serious cash shortage at the company.

The good news for shareholders is there is no liquidity crunch here," said Daniel Donoghue, managing director of Chicago-based investment firm Discovery Group, which owns about one-tenth of Spanish Broadcasting. "They have enough on that credit facility to pay that note. That's a seller note that they have from when they bought the television business."

In 2006, Spanish Broadcasting paid \$37.6 million, including \$17 million in cash and \$18.5 million of non-interest bearing notes, to acquire the licenses and other operating assets of television stations WSBS-Channel 50 in Miami and Channel 22 in Key West. The stations operate under the MegaTV brand.

He is not a happy shareholder, though. In several SEC filings this year, Donoghue has disclosed letters he has written to Spanish Broadcasting blaming management for a steep plunge in the company's stock price.

'Sometimes bad guys do the right things, and they are sort of blessed with a really great debt structure," Donoghue said. "They don't have balance sheet problems or a debt problem. I almost wish they did, because that would result in them doing what I think are smarter things with their business principally not spending on television the way they have been.

Spanish Broadcasting's Class A common shares, listed under Nasdag ticker symbol SBSA, closed Tuesday at 27 cents each, down from \$1.85 at the end of last year. Founded in 1983, Spanish Broadcasting completed its initial public offering in 1999 at a price of S20 per share.

"It's a hard pill to swallow. There has been such massive destruction of value there," Donoghue said.

Spanish Broadcasting owns and operates 20 radio stations aimed at Hispanic listeners in such major U.S. metropolitan markets as Miami, New York, Chicago and Los Angeles. The company's four FM stations in Miami are WRMA "Romance" (106.7), WXDJ "El Zol" (94.7), WCMQ "Clasica" (92.3) and WRAZ "La Raza" (106.3).

Under the Mega TV brand, the company also offers original programming through a two-station operation to South Florida viewers who subscribe to satellite television service DirecTV.

Spanish Broadcasting disclosed in August that weak business conditions led the company to reduce the asset value of "certain individual stations" in Los Angeles, San Francisco, Puerto Rico, Miami and New York by \$396 million as of June 30.

The non-cash asset impairment charge was the major cause of the company's net loss of \$300 million in the first half, a reversal from net income of \$3,4 million during the same six-month period last year. Consolidated revenue from

January through June fell to \$81.6 million from \$86.8 million in the same period last year. Revenue from radio stations in the first half declined to \$74 million from \$82 million in last year's first half, down 10 percent, and investor fears of further declines have eroded the company's share price.

The revenue decline is a symptom of industrywide problems in the radio business. Radio station revenues in the top 100 U.S. markets fell in each month from January through August this year, compared sheds its publicly held status in a with the same months last year, the going-private transaction. Going Radio Advertising Bureau reported.

Whether Spanish Broadcasting can avoid liquidity problems may depend on its ability to conserve cash in the current harsh climate for radio broadcasters.

"Their situation is becoming more tenuous. It's one of the reasons I dropped coverage of Spanish Broadcasting about a month ago," said David Joyce, an analyst with New York City-based investment firm Miller Tabak.

Asked if Spanish Broadcasting would be able to repay its \$18 million note due in January, Joyce said "conceivably, yes," but the company's ability to repay may depend on "what their cash-burn rate is for the third guarter and fourth guarter." The company's cash shrank to \$39 million as of June 30 from \$61 million at the end of last year.

The Nasdag stock market may de-list Spanish Broadcasting if its stock fails to trade consistently at prices of \$1 or more by early next year. The issue may become moot, however, if Spanish Broadcasting private would require the approval of chairman of the board, president and chief executive officer Raul Alarcon, who controls about 70 percent of the company's shareholder voting power.

Donoghue said some of Spanish Broadcasting's lenders have asked him if Discovery Group would sell its stake in the company in a goingprivate transaction. "There is a price at which we would support it,' he said without elaboration, but "if they are going to go private at 50 cents [per share], forget it."





**Click on "Blogs" at DailyBusinessReview.com** 

#### A8 • WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008 • DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW

Deal makers details the players behind recent significant business transactions. Deals that qualify include real estate purchases, stock or debt transactions, M&A work, investment banking or privatizations. An expanded Dealmaker of the Week highlights a person involved in a transactions.

transactions, M&A work, investment banking or privatizations. An expanded Dealmaker of the Week highlights a person involved in a transaction of special interest — selected either because of its size or scope or because of the details of the underlying deal. To suggest candidates for Dealmakers, contact Jay Rees at jay.rees@incisivemedia.com. Dealmakers should be based in South Florida, but transactions need not involve local companies or properties.

#### Equity One relationship a factor in Publix land buy

Dealmakers: Rafi Zitvar, Doron Valero, Greg Kessel and Joe Valls

The deal: Publix Supermarkets paid \$14.25 million, or about \$180 per square foot, for four properties totaling 1.82 acres at the intersection of Flagler Street, Douglas Road and Ponce de Leon Boulevard in Miami-Dade County. Portions of the site are located in the cities of Coral Gables and Miami.

**Details:** Publix was looking for a site for a new 50,000-square foot store with rooftop parking that would allow the supermarket chain to close a nearby store it is leasing. Zitvar and Valero represented Publix, while Kessel and Valls represented seller Ponce Medical Plaza LLC, a Miami company managed by Carlos Ortega and Leonardo Ortega. The deal closed Oct. 8 after six months of negotiations, Zitvar said.

Global Fund obtained site plan approvals from both the Coral Gables and Miami city commissions before closing the deal. Construction — beginning with the demolition of four small buildings on the properties — is to begin in the fourth quarter of 2009, Zitvar said.

The sellers bought the site in August 2006 with the intention of building a residential complex, but the housing market soured, Zitvar said. A grocery store makes the most sense in today's market because of the high-profile location and the demographics of nearby residents, Zitvar said.

"I do not need to tell anyone how busy Ponce and Flagler are," he said. "This is probably the most dense location in Miami-Dade and one of the most dense sites in all of Florida. The average household income for families living near the site is \$100,000."

An existing relationship between Valero, a former president and chief operating officer of shopping center investor Equity One, and Publix made the deal possible, Zitvar said. Zitvar is a former director of capital markets for Equity One, the largest landlord for Publix in Florida.

Publix's second quarter financial report shows the supermarket chain is weathering the economic storm. Sales in the second quarter of 2008 were \$5.9 billion, a 3.5 percent increase from the same period in 2007.

**Background:** Zitvar is principal of Global Fund Investments. Valero is managing partner at Global. Kessel and Valls are brokers with the firm.

#### Owner sells farmer's market after it grew too big for him

**Dealmakers:** Peter Berg and Steve Aminov **The Deal:** Berg brokered the \$8.45 million sale of Gennaro's Farmer's Market, a Fort Lauderdale wholesale and retail produce operation.

The business, incorporated as Gennaro's Produce Inc., was owned by John "Gennaro" Mugnano of Coral Springs. The buyer was Armando Romero of North Miami, whom Berg described as "somebody coming out of the [commercial] real estate business" and looking for a new opportunity.

The deal closed Sept. 2

Details: Mugnano hired Berg to find a buyer assisted in the deal.

# Squire Sanders trio completes bond issue so Citizens can react to disaster

**Dealmaker:** Attorneys Albert del Castillo, Pedro Hernandez and Lori Smith-Lalla

The deal: The Squire Sanders & Dempsey attorneys represented Citizens Property Insurance on a S1.75 billion bond issue for "pre-event" financing of its coastal high-risk account, to cover future storm damage claims.

Details: When the \$330 billion auction rate securities market became a victim of the credit crunch in January, the collapse of demand for variable-rate debt instruments sent issuers of such bonds scrambling to find alternative financing as the typically low interest rates offered by auction rate securities spiked to historic levels. Citizens, the state's property and casualty insurer of last resort, was among many issuers caught in the downdraft. "They needed to get out of that type of debt instrument, and they did," del Castillo

said. Citizens had to replace its auction rate debt with other types of debts to continue to maintain its liquidity and be able to pay policyholder claims after a catastrophic hur-

ricane. "What Citizens always tried to do is to help them always maintain an adequate liquidity position so that Citizens can meet its claims paying obligations," del Castillo said.

"It was very difficult to price and sell the bonds at the interest rates that made sense for Citizens," del Castillo said. "It was a big challenge. Ultimately Citizens was able to access the capital markets, but only after

for the farmer's market he built and opened in 2005. "The business had grown rapidly and, in all honesty, he was working too hard," Berg said of Mugnano.

Located on U.S. 441 just north of Commercial Boulevard, the two-acre property includes a 12,500-square-foot retail market, which Mugnano converted from a warehouse when he bought the property in 2005, plus a 25,000-square-foot refrigerated warehouse that he built and is the base of operations for the company's wholesale business.

Gennaro's sold produce to retail customers and hundreds of area restaurants. Gennaro's had gross revenue of \$10 million to \$15 million in 2007, Berg said.

The buyer "had some very good ideas about how to grow the business," Berg said of Romero.

The deal, which took a year to complete, was financed by TotalBank of Miami, Berg said. Miami attorney Vivian de los Cuevas of Broad and Cassel represented the buyer while lan Pesses of Bezel Long of Boca Raton represented the seller, Berg said.

**Background**: Berg, a certified business intermediary, is managing director of Transworld Business Brokers in Fort Lauderdale. Transworld broker Steve Aminov assisted in the deal.



Pedro Hernandez, Albert del Castillo and Lori Smith-Lalla work for Squire Sanders, which represents Citizens as bond counsel.

some delays and restructuring the financing."

Citizens restructured the financing so that instead of going with a three to five-year maturity, the debt was offered almost wholly with a one-year maturity and a smaller piece was done as a three-year obligation.

"Instead of having \$1.5 billion or \$2 billion of one- to five-year debt, Citizens issued

### Lawyers work health care subsidiary sale to Humana

**Dealmakers:** David Wells, Carrie Levine and Roberto Palenzuela

The Deal: Wells, Levine and Palenzuela represented West Palm Beach-based

Metropolitan Health Networks in a \$14 million deal to sell its Metcare Health Plans subsidiary to Louisville, Ky-based Humana Medical Plans. The deal, in which Humana purchased all of Metcare Health Plans' common stock, closed Aug. 29.

**Details:** Metcare Health Plans operates Advantage Care, an HMO that provides health care coverage to Medicare patients in Florida. As/part-of the deal, Metropolitan will continue to serve Advantage Care members through its provider service network business, Metcare of Florida.

"Humana focuses on what it's good at, the marketing to members, and Metropolitan focuses on what it's good at and what most of it's business is, which is providing services," Wells said.

Wells said the deal is designed to improve a firm's operations and is not dependent on inexpensive debt.

"I think there's going to be a refocusing on operational efficiencies and few deals driven

\$1.5 billion of one-year notes and then \$250 million of three-year bonds," he said. Yields range from 2.5 percent to 4.37 percent. The deal closed in June. "Citizens will have more than \$20 billion of claims-paying capacity," said John Forney, managing director with Raymond James, Citizens' financial adviser, who called the financing "a reasonable deal under completely unreasonable market

circumstances." In addition to the \$1.75 billion in bonds for its high risk account, in mid-May and early June Citizens obtained a \$1.6 billion line of credit from a banking syndicate for its personal lines and commercial lines accounts.

"We have completely restructured the liquidity program, which will benefit all Floridians if a disaster were to strike. Florida," Bruce Douglas, then-chairman of Citizens Property Insurance's board of governors, said at the time.

**Background:** Del Castillo is Squire Sanders' Florida practice coordinator and has an extensive public finance practice, working on bond issues throughout the country with particular emphasis in Florida and Puerto Rico. The firm represents Citizens as bond counsel. Smith-Lalla is a senior associate, and Hernandez is an associate at the firm.

The law firm Bryant Miller Olive represented Citizens as disclosure counsel. The Tallahassee law firm of Nabors Giblin & Nickerson represented Merrill Lynch as underwriters counsel.

by how they're financed," Wells said. "The M&A activity over the last few years was clearly driven by cheap debt. When cheap debt disappeared, the big deals stopped. What I think isn't going to stop are the deals designed to improve the businesses."

The deal will add 7,000 customers to Humana's portfolio. Metcare Health Plans' Advantage Care serves beneficiaries in 13 Florida counties.

Metropolitan Health Networks will also expand its relationship with CarePlus, a Humana-owned health plan for Medicare Advantage beneficiaries, to include patients in those 13 counties.

Metropolitan is a publicly traded company that offers comprehensive health care services for Medicare Advantage customers in South and Central Florida. Humana is one of the country's largest publicly traded health and supplemental benefits companies with 11.4 million members.

The Florida Office of Insurance Regulation and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services approved the deal.

Background: Wells is a partner in the business practice group at Hunton & Williams' Miami office. Levine is a Hunton & Williams associate. Palenzuela is general counsel of Metropolitan. ■

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008 • DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW • A9



#### Today Palm Beach County Bar

Association: Networking & Learning luncheon for Solo & Small Firm Practitioners, 11:45 a.m., Bar Association Office, 1601 Belvedere Road, Suite 302 E., West Palm Beach. Cost: \$10 members, \$20 nonmembers. Call (561) 687-2800. South Palm Beach County Bar Association: Civil Practice CLE

See Events, Page A12





In the community

• Carlos Loumiet, a partner with Hunton & Williams in Miami, has been named chairman of the board of the New America Alliance.

On the move

• Karina Benitez has been named associate with Cordero & Associates in Miami. She was a law clerk with the firm. Benitez has a law degree from St. Thomas University and a bachelor's degree from Florida International University.

• Natalia Gari has also been named associ-

ate with Cordero & Associates in Miami. She was also a law clerk with the firm. Gari has a law degree from St. Thomas University and a bachelor's



Gari degree from the University of Miami.

• E. Lennon Kraus has been named associate with Aronfeld Trial Lawyers in Coral Gables. He was a law clerk with the firm. Kraus has a law degree from the University of Miami and a bachelor's degree from Michigan State University.

• Bruce E. Shemrock has joined Aronfeld Trial Lawyers in Coral Gables as an associate. He was a litigation case management specialist with Lawex Corp. Shemrock has a law degree from Nova Southeastern University and a bachelor's degree from Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University. He also attended the University of Saskatchewan, Canada.

#### Correction

An article published Oct. 21 titled "Baptist Health loses fight for trust money" incorrectly listed Andrew Lamb's law firm affiliation. He is a name partner with Hall Lamb & Hall in Miami. 🔳

Exclusively on the Web Go to DailyBusinessReview.com

And read these stories for FREE:

**BUSINESS OF LAW** 

# **Bailout questions**

**Supreme Court appoints Stanford** 

professor as special master

Banking clients balance need for cash infusions with fear of having tighter government controls

#### by Lynne Marek lynne.marek@incisivemedia.com

egulatory, banking and cap-Rital market attorneys have a new calling: interpreting for worried clients how the \$700 billion financial industry rescue package will help or harm them.

Client questions are focusing on the terms of participating in the new capital, short-term debt and asset-relief programs spawned by the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act. There are potential conflicts of interest, executive-compensation restrictions and oversight issues that are giving companies pause.

"Things are happening so fast that people are having trouble reacting in an efficient and concerted manner," said Jeff Taft, a partner in the Washington office and the financial services. regulation and enforcement group of Chicago's Mayer Brown. "Everybody's strategy is just kind of constantly evolving.

Nine of the largest U.S. banks that agreed to participate in the federal government's initial \$125 billion capital infusion into their companies have little leeway to negotiate terms of the loans.

But thousands of other small and mid-sized banks will want

LAW & TECHNOLOGY



Just the thought of having to answer questions at one of U.S. Rep. Henry Waxman's oversight committees is enough to scare some banking clients away from government cash.

to weigh legal terms linked to accepting any of the additional \$125 billion in direct capital investment the government is offering. The same goes for participating in the related program that will allow financial institutions to sell devalued mortgage-backed securities or other so-called, "troubled assets" to the government.

The biggest concern for most clients considering the programs would be the legal implications of having the U.S. government as a potential shareholder by virtue of the preferred shares the government will receive in exchange for capital, which could be converted

There may be corporate govinvestment, attorneys said.

action, attorneys said.

#### it sufficiently to accept the conditions that have been imposed?" Clients are particularly eager to avoid increased government oversight following the public grilling and denunciations some executives involved in the financial meltdown endured recently before congressional committees, such as the House Committee

"Each of these programs

Duane Wall, a banking industry partner in White & Case's New

York office. "You're really going

to have to decide: Do you need

the assistance, and do you need

comes with some controls," said

Supreme Court takes on

**identity theft case** 

"I don't think a lot of people envy the idea of being called up to Capitol Hill to one of [U.S. Rep.



Henry] Waxman's oversight hear-

ings," Wall said. Clients will want to understand how assets to be sold to the government under the troubled-asset program will be assigned a price, whether through an auction or some other mechanism, because

#### the monetary benefits of such sales may be undercut in some cases by conditions of the trans-

For instance, if the government

See Bailout, Page A10

### Does YouTube issue show McCain's stance on copyright law?

#### by Nate Raymond nate.raymond@incisivemedia.com

o a series of letters between John McCain's presidential campaign and YouTube suggest how the senator would deal with copyright enforcement if elected president?

That's the question after a back-and-forth between the campaign's general counsel, Caplin & course of the campaign, our Drysdale partner Trevor Potter, and lawyers at YouTube last week.

The exchange began Monday

#### YouTube counsel said it cannot perform a substantive legal review of every takedown notice due to the sheer volume and the site's scale.

when Potter wrote to complain about what he saw as an excessive number of requests by TV networks for the Web site to take down videos the campaign posted.

"Numerous times during the advertisements or Web videos have been the subject of [Digital Millennium Copyright Act] takedown notices regarding uses

that are clearly privileged under the fair use doctrine," Potter wrote.

> The disputed material were news reports reproduced either in part or in their entirety. YouTube removed these videos "despite the complete lack of merit in these copyright claims," Potter said. By removing the videos, he said YouTube "chilled" the campaign's political speech.

"We recognize that YouTube has said it adheres to the noticeand-takedown procedures established by the Divica," Potter wrote. "But nothing in the DMCA requires a host like YouTube to comply automatically with takedown notices, while blinding itself to their legal merit (or, as here, their lack thereof)."

The McCain campaign understood YouTube might get too many videos and takedown notices to conduct a full fair use review on each, Potter said. But he proposed YouTube "com--See Law & Technology, Page A10

into common shares, attorneys said. ernance requirements, federal reporting duties or a limited loss of autonomy that could accompany the government's "passive"

·生产的无限的研究的问题。

### BAILOUT From Page A9

decides to publish the name of a financial institution selling tainted assets alongside the other transaction information, such as the price, the stigma of being associated with the troubled assets may hurt the company and outweigh the value of the sale, lawyers said.

#### **Conflicts of interests**

For companies that earlier this month considered, during a short 48-hour window, whether to apply to become a federal contractor that would help the government run the programs or manage assets, lawyers fielded many calls about potential conflicts of interest.

The conflicts might arise for companies that sought to act as a contractor for the government in managing assets purchased by the U.S. Treasury Department and also tried to act as a participant in selling their own soured mortgage loans or securities to the government.

Government guidelines dictating separation of those interests will have to be made clear, given the sheer number of financial contractors - perhaps as many as 30 needed to run the programs, lawyers said.

"Any time you're contracting with the government, it raises all sorts of issues, conflicts of interest being just one of them," Taft said.

Lawyers found clients particularly concerned about restrictions on executive compensation that will be imposed if a company receives a direct capital infusion or more than \$300 million under the assetsale program. Taft said that clients could avoid those restrictions at least in the saleof-assets program by not selling more than \$300 million to the government.

The new law prohibits companies generally from offering or paying senior executives certain "golden parachute" benefits that might be paid after they leave the company. It also prohibits the company from taking tax deductions of more than \$500,000 for a given executive. For some companies, the tax aspect won't matter because they wouldn't be taking the deductions for executive pay in any case, said George Simon, a partner in the Chicago office of Foley & Lardner.

Still, it's an important condition that companies should carefully consider because it could affect their ability to recruit top executives in the future or pursue a particular succession plan, said Cynthia Lance, the corporate secretary and general counsel for First Midwest Bancorp, an Itasca, III. based bank with a \$9 billion market capitalization.

For companies on the fence as to whether to participate in the government programs, they should consider creating an independent committee to make the decision to avoid creating conflicts of interest for executives, said Meg Tahyar, a transactional and capital markets partner in the Paris office of New York's Davis Polk & Wardwell who has been shuttling to New York during the crisis. Executives trying to decide whether a given program might be a sound choice for the health of their company could be conflicted if they know participation might affect their own compensation, lawyers said.

Companies that have considered trying to skirt the compensation restrictions by setting up special entities that would take the government's capital infusion are unlikely to be successful, Taft said.

"Treasury is going to look very dimly on that type of approach," he said.



Other clients, including those that aren't financial companies, also want to understand how they may be able to participate in the new federal programs or benefit from regulatory changes associated with them, lawyers said.

Specifically, municipalities, nonprofits, universities, labor unions and other organizations that hold the tainted mortgage-backed securities and other now-devalued assets in endowments, pension funds and other portfolios would also like the opportunity to sell assets to the government, Simon said.

While the Treasury Department could extend the program to these other companies, that possibility appears to be waning with the government's shift toward direct. investment in financial institutions, he said.

#### Hospitals and funding

One program that will be offered to nonfinancial companies starting Monday is the Federal Reserve's offer to buy commercial paper, or short-term debt issued by companies, as a means to increase access to funding for businesses. Some clients such as hospitals that have big short-term funding needs are eager to take the government up on the offer amid the difficulty in accessing credit, Simon said.

"These hospital systems have huge short-term financing needs for receivables, Simon said. "They're constantly rolling over commercial paper to finance the receivables they have for bills."

Aside from government restrictions that will be attached to participation in the new federal programs, companies are prodding their lawyers for information on increased federal regulation that will follow the financial debacle, which had its roots in unrealistic

home mortgage lending practices and the sale of mortgages securities to investors.

The increased federal regulation will likely reach beyond banks to include the hedge funds and private-equity funds that have played significant roles in financing businesses during the past decade, the lawyers say. It will also ripple through to the businesses that have been dependent on the financing streams and the trading of securities on financial exchanges.

Clients want to know what the Securities and Exchange Commission is going to do to "prevent my stock from getting hammered" for reasons not related to the fundamentals of the company, Simon said. The agency may have to reconsider permanent restrictions on short-selling, among other things, he said.

"When you go through a crisis, you tend to get overregulation," Wall said.

Some clients are suggesting that a more dramatic, wholesale overhaul of the U.S. financial regulatory system to better streamline the work of disparate federal regulators and separate state regulators may be needed, Tahyar said.

The new regulatory framework will be evolving just as government enforcement agencies and prosecutors strike at the companies and individuals that may have fueled the financial meltdown by engaging in fraudulent or other improper business activities, the lawyers said, Last month, the FBI opened inquiries into possible mortgage fraud at mortgage lenders Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, Lehman Brothers Holdings and insurer American International Group.

Lynne Marek reports for the National Law Journal, an Incisivemedia affiliate of the Daily Business Review.

### LAW & TECHNOLOGY From Page A9

mit to a full legal review" of videos from accounts controlled by political candidates, what a McCain administration might do to and campaigns.

He didn't have to wait long for a response.

YouTube chief counsel Zahavah Levine responded Tuesday by in essence saying, sorry, but no.

Levine said YouTube cannot perform a substantive legal review of every takedown notice due to the sheer volume and the site's scale. For The disputed

material were news

reports reproduced

either in part or in

their entirety.

instance, while the site might deem McCain's videos permissible under the fair use doctrine, others might contest such a finding.

"Lawyers and judges constantly disagree about what does and does not consti-

tute fair use," Levine wrote. "No number of lawyers could possibly determine with a reasonable level of certainty whether all the videos for which we receive disputed takedown notices qualify as fair use."

enough information about all of the videos it gets, she said. It's not the one uploading the videos, nor is it the one holding the copyright.

As to limiting the review to political candidates. Levine said no way.

"While we agree with you that the U.S. presidential election-related content is invaluable and worthy of the highest level of protection, there is a lot of other content on our global site that our users around the world find to be equally important," she said.

Levine signed off with a suggestion for resolve the issue.

"We look forward to working with Senator (or President) McCain on ways to combat abuse of the DMCA takedown process on YouTube, including by way of example strengthening the fair use doctrine so that intermediaries like us can rely on this important doctrine with a measure of business certainty," Levine said.

So, if elected, would McCain want to, as YouTube suggests, strengthen the fair use doctrine? The Electronic Frontier Foundation, reacting on its blog to the letters, called it "heartening to see a presidential campaign recognize the importance of fair use and 'remix culture."

But Peter Simmons, a partner at Fried Frank Harris Shriver & Jacobson who represented EMI Group in its litigation against Bertelsmann over Napster, doesn't think More importantly, YouTube doesn't have the letters show so much a desire to change copyright law as a desire to win an election.

> "I'd be hesitant to read any message in terms of McCain's views on IP law development," Simmons said. "This strikes me more as an expedient or opportunistic campaign just trying to get their message out during the time remaining before the elections."

Nate Raymond reports for The American Lawyer, an Incisivemedia affiliate of the Daily Business Review.



### LAW BRIEFS

**Repairs begin to shore up Florida** Supreme Court

#### National Law Journal

The Florida Supreme Court has erected a safety fence outside its Tallahassee. building and is beginning repairs to its basement, where water seepage has destroyed about 12,000 books during the last two years.

The basement houses the court's law library. The rare book room, which includes books dating to the 1500s such as law

### EVENTS From Page A9

on "Effective Courtroom Strategies," with former Palm Beach County Circuit Judge William Berger, 11:45 a.m., Marriott Boca Raton, 5150 Town Center Circle, Boca Raton. Cost: \$35 members, \$55 nonmembers. Call (561) 482-3838.

The Broward County Chapter of the Federal Bar Association: "GTMO — A view from inside," with Paul Rashkind, supervisory assistant federal public defender and chief of appeals for the Office of the Federal Public Defender, Southern District of Florida, noon, Riverside Hotel, 620 E. Las Olas Blvd., Fort Lauderdale. Cost: \$30 members, \$35 nonmembers, \$15 judiciary, \$5 more at the door. E-mail: rharrod@bergersingerman.com

**Voluntary Bar Speed-Networking** Event: Asian Pacific American Bar

books from Spanish rule and some written in Cherokee on tribal law, was moved upstairs without damage.

The courthouse built in 1948 has cracks in the basement walls, probably due to heavy truck traffic nearby, said Florida Supreme Court spokesman Craig Waters. Water seepage over the years forced the court to discard 11,646 library books worth about \$12,000.

"We thought we had solved the problem a few years ago by fixing the basement floor," he said.

Cuban American Bar Association, Miami

Bar Association, Wilkie D. Ferguson Bar

com

Oct. 23

Beach Bar Association, Dade County Bar

Association, Florida Muslim Bar Association,

Puerto Rican Bar Association, T.J. Reddick

Association, 6 p.m., Historical Museum of

Southern Florida, 101 W. Flagler St., Miami.

Cost: \$20. E-mail: nydia@menendezlawfirm.

Florida Association for Women Lawyers,

Miami-Dade Chapter: Dinner with FAWL

Commodore Plaza, Coconut Grove. Cost:

Wilkie D. Ferguson Jr. Bar Association:

Black Judicial Forum, noon, The Bankers

director Laura Wendell for members, 7

p.m., Cita's Italian Chop House, 3176

\$40. E-mail: lwendell@wsh-law.com.

Part of the basement will be closed during construction, but the law library will remain open after books were moved to other parts of the basement.

"We are taking every step possible to ensure that the public has access to the building and that any inconvenience is minimized to the full extent that public safety permits," Chief Justice Peggy A. Quince said in a statement.

The destroyed books will not be replaced since the information can now be found online. 🔳

Miami. Cost: \$25 members, \$30 nonmembers, \$15 law students. E-mail: RacoleHenry@gmail.com

Florida Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, Miami Chapter: Judicial luncheon with Judges Bertila Soto and Reemberto Diaz, noon, Biscayne Bay Marriott, 1633 N. Bayshore Drive, Miami. Cost: \$20 members; \$30 nonmembers. Call (305) 670-9919.

**Broward County Bar Association Young** Lawyers Section: Luncheon, noon, Tower Club, 100 SE Third Ave., Fort Lauderdale. Cost: \$25. Call (954) 764-8040. **Broward County Crime Commission:** 2008 Broward County Prosecutor of the Year gala, 6 p.m., Palm-Aire Country Club & Resort, 2600 Palm-Aire Drive North. Pompano Beach. Cost: \$25 members, **\$75** nonmembers. Call (754) 423-1976.



©2008 incisivemedia. All rights reserved

#### No reproduction of any portion of this issue is allowed without written permission from the publisher. DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW - MIAMI-DADE SE Third Avenue, Suite 900, Miami, Florida 33131 Main switchboard: (305) 377-3721 Main Fax: (305) 374-8474 Newsroom Fax: (305) 347-6626 Display Advertising: Law Firm Marketing: Classified: (305) 347-6625 (305) 347-6655 (305) 347-6670 Court Information: (305) 347-6664 Public Notices/Legals: Public Notices/Legals Fax: (305) 347-6614 (305) 347-6636 **Circulation:** (877) 256-2472 DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW - BROWARD 633 South Andrews Avenue, Fort Laude dale, Florida 33301 Main switchboard: (954) 468-2600 (954) 468-2630 Main Fax: (advertising/admin (954) 468-2628 (954) 468-2611 stration) Newsroom Fax: Display Advertising: Law Firm Marketing: (954) 468-2621 Classified (800) 777-7300. xt 6670 Public Notices/Legals: Circulation: (877) 256-2472 DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW - PALM BEACH tura Street, Suite 140, West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Main switchboard: (561) 820-2060 (561) 820-2077 Main Fax: Display Advertising: Law Firm Marketing: (561) 820-2064 (954) 468-2621 Classified: (800) 777-7300, Public Notices/Legals: (561) 820-2060 Circulation: (877) 256-2472 (800) 777-7300, ext 6670 (561) 820-2060 To reach any of these numbers from anywhere in Florida, dial (800) 777-7300 and ask for the extension, which is the last four digits To Purchase: Administrative Orders ..... (305) 347-6656 Business Leads ..... (305) 347-6621 (305) 377-3721 Corporate Kits. (305) 347-6656 Judicial Practice Surveys ..... Reprints. (305) 347-6621 Subscriptions ..... (877) 256-2472 . (877) 256-2472 Back Issues. ..... (\$6 per back issue) GENERAL ADMINISTRATION Chris Mobley (305) 347-6612 Publisher chris moblev@incisivemedia.com Jeff Fried (305) 347-6615 Associate Publisher / Chief Financial Officer: jeff.fried@incisivemedia.com EDITORIAL Editor-in-Chlef: David Lyons david lyons@incisivemedia.com (305) 347-6694 Eddie Dominguez Executive Editor: eddie.dominguez@incisivemedia.com (305) 347-6639 **Executive News Editor:** David Kaminski (305) 347-6628 david.kaminški@incisivemedia.com Catherine Wilson Law Editor: cathy.wilson@incisivemedia.com (305) 347-6611 Jay Rees **Business Editor:** (305) 347-6627 jay.rees@incisivemedia.com **Creative Director:** John Michael Rindo (305) 347-6622 iohn.rindo@incisivemedia.com John P. Hernandez Web Administrator: john.hernandez@incisivemedia.com (305) 347-6642 Vala Issa **Research Director:** (305) 347-6646 vala issa@incisivemedia.com Editorial Assistant: Send information fo People on the Move and Calendar Event items to Deborah España deborah españa@incisive deborah.espana@incisivemedia.com BUSINESS Director of Client Development: Stephanie Hemmerich stephanie.hemmerich@incisivemedia.com (305) 347-6623 Annette Martinez **Director / Classified Advertising:** (305) 347-6670 anette.martinez@incisivemedia.com Carlos Curbelo (305) 347-6647 **Director of Products:** carlos.curbelo@incisivemedia.com Guillermo Garcia Director / Operations & MIS: guillermo.garcia@incisivemedia.com (305) 347-6658 s: Sookie William Vice President/Miami Legal & Court Belatio (305) 347-6664 sookie, williams@incisivemedia.com Vice President/Miami Legals: **Octelma Ferbevre** miamilegals@incisivemedia.com (305) 347-6614 Vice President / Broward & Paim Beach Legals: Deborah Mullin deborah.mullin@incisivemedia.com 1-(800) 777-7300, ext. 2560

**Resignations:** Official Court Newspaper, by the chief judges of the County and Circuit courts in Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida by local rule 5:2c.

Affinations: American Court & Commercial Newspapers Board of Directors, Florida Press Association (FFA), FPA Government Affairs Committee, Professional & Business Forum of Palm Beach. County and the Public Notice Resource Center.



120 Broadway, New York, NY 10005 · (212) 779-9200 President/CEO: William L. Pollak Senior Vice President: Jack Berkowitz Senior Vice President: George Dillehay Senior Vice President/General Counsel: Allison C. Hoffmar Senior Vice President/CFO: Eric Lundberg Senior Vice President/ Conferences and Tradeshows: Kevin J. Vermeulen Vice President/Real Estate Media: Michael Desiato Vice President/Books & Information: Sara Diamond Vice President/Marketing: Patricia Crocker France Vice President/Digital Media: Alex Kam Vice President/Finance: Robert Kondrack Vice President/Operations: John Mason President/Florida Division: Chris Mobley

#### Association, Caribbean Bar Association, Club, One Biscayne Tower, 14th Floor, IRECTORY EGAL PRACTICES Intellectual Property **Investment Fraud** Bar Complaint Defense & Admissions Bankruptcy MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A. **RICHARD B. MARX** DAVID R. CHASE - AV Rated **JORDAN E. BUBLICK** Practice Limited to Intellectual Property Former United States Securities and Practice limited to Personal and Bar Disciplinary Proceedings "Since 1959" Exchange Commission Prosecutor Business Bankruptcy, including real Bar Grievance Investigations and Special Assistant United States Patents estate mortgage issues. Board Certified · Bar Reinstatement/Readmission Attorney - Economic Crimes Division Trademarks Specialist in Consumer Bankruptcy Law Investigative and Formal Hearings •Representation of defrauded investors Copyrights before the Florida Board of Bar Examiners (American Board of Certification). Stockbroker fraud and misconduct US & Int'l Applications Florida Bar Application process SEC and securities regulatory Chapter 13, Chapter 7, and Chapter 11. Trade Secrets Unauthorized Practice of Law investigations/enforcement actions Licenses, Agreements LL.M. (Masters in Law), New York **Representation of securities** • IP Litigation AV rated trial lawyer for ethical University School of Law. professionals in industry controversies standards and legal ability, Martindale Hubbell Bar Register of Preeminent AV Rated No charge for the initial consultation. Board Certified in IP\* DAVID R. CHASE, ESQ. Lawyers, over 40 years of experience. **JORDAN E. BUBLICK, P.A.** Former Board Member of Florida 2800 SW Third Avenue David R. Chase, P.A. Lawyers Assistance: Inc. 11645 Biscayne Blvd, Suite 305 Miami, FL 33129\*\* 1700 East Las Olas Blvd., Penthouse 2 Phone: (305) 858-8000 Miami, FL 33181 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 **RICHARD B. MARX** Ph: (954) 920-7779 • Fax: (954) 923-5622 www.malloylaw.com Tel. (305) 891-4055 66 W. Flagler Street, 2nd Floor E-mail: david@davidchaselaw.com \*Florida Board of Legal Specialization. jbublick@bublicklaw.com Miami, FL 33130 Jennie Malloy, Peter Matos, Website: www.davidchaselaw.com www.bublicklaw.com Phone: (305) 579-9060 John Cyril Malloy III, John J. Fulton Jr., Fax: (305) 377-0503 Referring attorneys paid in "Miami Florida Bankruptcy Law Blog" Andrew Ransom, David Gast accordance with Florida Bar Rules \*\* Satellite Office, Fort Lauderdale www.jbublick.blogspot.com E-mail: crimlawmarx@aol.com Stockbroker Misconduct Labor/Employment & Administrative To advertise in this section call **BLUM & SILVER, LLP NEIL FLAXMAN** Nationally recognized law firm MIAMI-DADE: (305) 347-6655 Education: focused on recovering investment New York University, B.S. losses due to stock and commodity or (800) 777-7300, Ext. 6655 University of Miami, J.D. broker misconduct in stocks, bonds, Board Certified Labor and commodifies, hedge funds and other Employment Lawyer. investments. The firm has handled over \$500 million of investor claims Florida Bar Board of Legal Specialization and Education.

Supreme Court of Florida Certified Circuit Court Mediator. smaller firms. United States District Court S. D. Certified Mediator. Brickell Bayview Centre 80 SW 8th Street Suite 3100

Miami, Florida 33130 Phone: 305-810-2786 Fax: 305-810-2824 Email: flaxy@bellsouth.net www.neilflaxman.com

recovering investors' losses from every major Wall Street firm and many

**BLUM & SILVER, LLP** www.stockattorneys.com 12540 W. Atlantic Boulevard Coral Springs, Florida 33071 Tel: (954) 255-8181 Fax: (954) 255-8175 Toll Free: 1-877-STOCKLAW

Email: inquiry@stockattorneys.com \*Satellite office: Wall Street, New York Blum & Silver happily pays referring attorneys in accordance with Florida Bar rules.

BROWARD & PALM BEACH: (954) 468-2621 or (800) 777-7300, Ext. 2621

For more information go to DailyBusinessReview.com

### EVENTS From Page A3

#### Women's Council of Realtors, **Greater Palm Beach Chapter:** Meeting with state Sen. Dave

Aronberg on "Issues Impacting Florida's Economy," 7:45 a.m., Aberdeen Country Club, 8251 Aberdeen Drive, Boynton Beach. Cost: \$30. Call (561) 573-0557. Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce: Bagels with the Boss, 8 a.m., Chamber office, 1601 Biscayne Blvd., Ballroom level, Miami, Free, Call (305) 577-5446. **Realtor Association of Greater** Miami and the Beaches: Code of Ethics Training, 9 a.m., The Miami Herald Building, 2010 NW 150th

Ave., Pembroke Pines. Free for members, \$25 nonmembers. Call (305) 468-7000. **Broward County Chamber of** Commerce: Executive Business After Hours, 5 p.m., Charley's Crab

Restaurant, 3000 NE 32nd Ave., Fort Lauderdale. Cost: \$10. Call (954) 565-5750. **Commercial Real Estate Women.** 

Fort Lauderdale/Palm Beach Chapter: Cosmetics and Wine, 6 p.m., Neiman Marcus, 5860 Glades Road, Boca Raton. Free. Call (561) 733-2259.

The Environmental Coalition of Miami Beach: Fundraiser to benefit a greener and more sustainable Miami Beach, 7 p.m., Shore Club Hotel, Red Room, 1901 Collins Ave., Miami Beach. Cost: \$50 donation. E-mail: ecomb@ecomb. org

**South Florida Foreclosure Experts. Real Estate WNC** Meetup: Foreclosures and Real Estate monthly update and networking, 7 p.m., Denny's Conference Room, 3151 Powerline Road, Fort Lauderdale. Free. Call (954) 696-3624.

#### Oct. 24

#### **Realtors Commercial Alliance** of Greater Miami and the

Beaches: Commercial Super Expo and Conference, "The Evolution of Office," 8 a.m., Miami Mart Airport Hotel, 711 NW 72nd Ave., Miami. Cost: \$59 members, \$79 nonmembers. Call (305) 468-7060. Junior Achievement of South Florida: Fall bowl-a-thon, 7 p.m., Sparez at Davie, 5325 S. University Drive, Davie. Cost: \$100 team donation, \$15 bowling fee. Call (954) 788-8422

The Loan Committee: Monthly meeting, noon, Westin Hotel, 400 Corporate Drive, Fort Lauderdale. Cost: \$25. E-mail: sgfenvironmentl@jangomail.com

#### Women's Council of Realtors:

Charity Golf Tournament, 1:15 p.m., The President Country Club, 2300 Presidential Way, West Palm Beach. Cost: \$125 single, \$450 four players, \$50 nongolfers. Call (561) 523-5526.

#### Oct. 25

Stiles Corporation: Family Fun Day, 10 a.m., Village Shoppes of Pine Plaza, 4261 Pine Island Road, Sunrise. Free. Call (954) 627-9377. Junior Achievement of South Florida: Fall bowl-a-thon, noon and 3 p.m., Brunswick Margate Lanes,

2020 N. State Road 7, Margate. Cost: \$100 team donation, \$15 bowling fee. Call (954) 788-8422. Junior Achievement of South Florida: Fall bowl-a-thon, noon and 3 p.m., AMF Davie, 8200 W. State Road 84, Davie. Cost: \$100 team donation, \$15 bowling fee. Call

(954) 788-8422. The YMCA of Greater Miami: Annual gala, 7 p.m., Mandarin Oriental, 500 Brickell Key Drive, Miami. Cost: \$500. Call (305) 357-4000.

#### Oct. 27-30

Urban Land Institute: Fall meeting and Urban Land Expo, 8 a.m., Miami Beach Convention Center, 1901 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach. Cost varies. Call (800) 321-5011.

Oct. 27

#### **Realtor Association of Greater** Miami and the Beaches:

Breakfast and seminar on "Upstage the Competition, Certified Home Marketing Specialist Designation," 8:30 a.m., RAMB headquarters, 700 S. Royal Poinciana Blvd., Suite 400, Miami Springs. Cost: \$199 members, \$239 nonmembers. Call (305) 468-7000. Broward Days: Kick-off reception, 5:30 p.m., Riverside Hotel, 620 E. Las Olas Blvd., Fort Lauderdale.

Free for members, \$100 membership for nonmembers. Call (954) 383-0654.

#### Oct. 28 **Realtor Association of Greater**

Miami and the Beaches: Advanced Short Sales Seminar, 8:30 a.m., RAMB headquarters, 700 S. Royal Poinciana Blvd., Suite 400, Miami Springs. Cost: \$99 members, \$149 nonmembers, Call (305) 468-7000. Florida Association of British

Business: British Networking, 6:30 p.m., American Legion Hall, Harveys, 6447 NE Seventh Ave., Miami. Free. Call (305) 371-9340.

#### Oct. 28-29

**Realtor Association of Greater** Miami and the Beaches: "Real Estate Assistants — Intensive Quick Start Program," 9 a.m., RAMB headquarters, 700 S. Roval Poinciana Blvd., Suite 400, Miami Springs. Cost: \$179 members, \$239 nonmembers, Call (305) 468-7000.

#### Oct. 29-30

Professional Pricing Society: Fall Conference, 7 a.m., Intercontinental Warrior Project. Call (305) Hotel, 100 Chopin Plaza, Miami. Cost: \$1,695 members, \$1,995 nonmembers. Call (770) 509-9933. Rachlin: Annual Governmental Symposium on "Updates on Accounting Practices for Governmental Employees," 9 a.m., Signature Grand, 6900 W. State Road 84, Davie. Free. Call (954) 525-1040

#### Oct. 29

**Realtor Association of Greater** Miami and the Beaches: Advanced Short Sales Seminar,

8:30 a.m., Residence Inn Marriott, 19900 W. Country Club Drive, Aventura. Cost: \$99 members, \$149 nonmembers. Call (305) 468-7000.

#### **Realtor Association of Greater** Miami and the Beaches: Code of Ethics Training, 9 a.m., Intracoastal Mall. 3577 NE 163rd St., North Miami. Free for members, \$25 nonmembers. Call (305) 468-7000. **Greater Miami Chamber of** Commerce: Dueling Diagnosis: A Debate Around Healthcare Reform, 11:30 a.m., Chamber office, 1601 Biscayne Blvd., Ballroom level, Miami. Cost: \$35 members, \$45 nonmembers. Call (305) 577-5491. **Greater Hollywood Chamber of** Commerce: Business After Hours, 5 p.m., Tuscany Italian Bistro and Lounge, 5800 Seminole Way,

Hollywood. Cost: \$7 members, \$12 future members. Call (954) 923-4000. **Staples and Greater Fort** Lauderdale Chamber of

Commerce: Small Business Bailout networking forum, 5 p.m., Staples Fort Lauderdale Store, 1701 E. Commercial Blvd., Fort Lauderdale. Free. Call (305) 572-1390.

#### Nov. 2-4

#### **Realtors Association of Greater** Miami and the Beaches: International Congress, 9:30 a.m., Miami Beach Resort & Spa, 4833 Collins Ave., Miami Beach. Cost: \$249 members, \$299 nonmembers. Call (305) 468-7000.

#### Nov. 5

**Broward County Chamber of Commerce and South Florida** Chamber of Commerce: Along with many world class partners, alliances and chambers of commerce. South Florida Business-to-Business Expo, International Trade Show, Hospitality/Tourism and Travel Expo, Business Card Exchange, 4 p.m., Broward County Convention Center, 1950 Eisenhower Blvd., Fort Lauderdale. Cost: \$10. Call (954) 565-5750.

Florida Institute of CPAs Gold Coast Chapter: Meeting, 4:15. p.m., Tropical Acres Restaurant.

2500 Griffin Road, Dania Beach. Cost: \$38 members, \$48 nonmembers. Call (954) 962-6397. South Florida Hedge Fund Managers: "Opportunities in the Credit Space," 6 p.m., The Conrad Hotel, 1395 Brickell Ave., Miami. Cost: \$50 donation to The Community Partnership for Homeless in Miami or The Wounded 379-4200.

**Broward Real Estate Investors** Association: Monthly meeting, 6 p.m., IGFA Fishing Hall of Fame, 300 Gulf Stream Way, Dania Beach. Cost: \$20. Call (954) 424-3008.

#### Nov. 6

South Florida Hispanic Chamber of Commerce: Annual Sunshine Awards luncheon, noon, Conrad Hotel, 1395 Brickell Ave., Miami. Cost: \$60 members, \$75 nonmembers. Call (305) 534-1903.



WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008 • DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW • A13
#### OFFICE HOURS

Monday - Friday, 9 a.m. -5 p.m. **TEL:** (305) 347-6670 • (800) 777-7300 x 6670 **FAX:** (305) 347-6675 **PUBLISHES DAILY:** Monday - Friday

#### **OFFICIAL DESIGNATIONS**

Official Court Newspaper, by the chief judges of the County and Circuit Courts in Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach Counties and the U.S. District Court Southern District of Florida by local rule 5.2c.

#### **ADVERTISING CONTRACTS**

Advertisers may sign an advertising purchase agreement for a frequency discount that will entitle the advertiser to be billed initially at a lower rate. If the agreement is not fulfilled, the advertiser will be charged back the unearned discount. Agreements terminated due to late payment will be considered as violation of the agreement by the customer and appropriate rate adjustments will be made.

#### BOX REPLY NUMBER

Confidential box reply numbers are available to all

#### DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW

advertisers at an extra charge. Replies are

All property rights and copyright interest in advertisement, materials and proofs produced are

retained by the publisher. Advertisers may not

reproduce, copy or republish advertisements, materials, or proofs, nor permit such without

prior written permission of the publisher.

forwarded confidentially on a daily basis.

COPYRIGHTS

#### COPY CONDITIONS/POLICY

The Daily Business Review reserves the right to reject any copy and/or advertisement. While every effort is made to ensure ads run correctly, typographical errors may occur. Please check your ad the first day it appears to verify for accuracy. In the event of an error, compensation for correction will be given in the form of credit toward future advertising. Liability for errors shall not exceed the cost of the space occupied by the error.

#### DEADLINES

Deadline for placing or correcting an ad is 1:30 p.m. each day for the next day's paper except for ads with photos, logos or special typesetting. The deadline for ads with special handling is 2 p.m. two days prior to publication. Deadline for cancelling an ad is 10 a.m. each day for the next day's paper.

Visa, Mastercard and American Express accepted.

### 

Office Space To Share	A7
Paralegals	A15
Positions Wanted	A15
Real Estate	A7
Recruitment Employment	A15
Secretaries	A15



See these listings and others at www.lawjobs.com, select state: Florida



A16 • WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2008 • DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW

A message from Leonard Abess, Chairman and CEO, City National Bank of Florida

## We have nothing new to say.

But today, it's well worth saying.

We thought this was the perfect moment to tell you that our story is the same as it's been since we welcomed our first depositor in 1946.

It's a tale of steady, sound banking practices shaped by prudence, stability, trust. Values that are scarcely original, but in which we take pride. And in which our customers, more importantly, take comfort.

"Same old, safe old," some might say. And, these days, that's a positive compliment.

Please call us if you're interested in hearing more of the same. 305 349 5454



citynational.com · Member FDIC



### **Photographer takes** copyright fight to **U.S. high court**

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover.



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to

See Copyright, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

#### **Photographer takes** copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright, from page 1

Greenberg in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney, Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geographic," a CD archive of its entire magazine library, Greenberg attempted to negotiate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright, Davis said.

Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlanta, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance writers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held that publishing the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers over the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits citing Tasini have "warped" the Ginsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [federal appellate] circuits in the Tasini decision," Davis said. "The Supreme Court, I think, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasini, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 201(c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological revolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for freelance writers who could then negotiate new permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

Circulation:4,450

#### Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before Tasini was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel-citing the intervening Tasini decision-reversed the first panel's

ruling in favor of National Geographic. In June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7-5 in favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in Tasiniwhile restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission-allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en banc majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version," publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.

rbd fuduic keistions



The Supreme Court, I think, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

—Norman Davis, photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library—even if they carry copyrights—were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege. "The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege." the majority opinion stated.

#### Interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tasini*. Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini* but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In *Tasini*, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not sell the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics, formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication. 2/4

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote. "We would reach the same conclusion if the [New York] Times sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continuous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film,"

Ginsburg wrote. "Accordingly, articles appear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context, Ginsburg wrote. In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context. ... In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles as part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."

rbb Public Relations

#### Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 201(c) were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freelance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits, Greenberg's petition says, "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tasini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function," the petition continues, "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny. ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case, Greenberg's petition concludes, "[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freelance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer." 3/4

AART READ FOR

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the Daily Report, he said, "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in Tasini v. The New York Times et al. and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com or (404) 419-2835.



4/4

October 17, 2008



Jerry Greenberg sued the National Geographic Society for the use of this shark photo and others in a CD archive of its entire magazine library. He has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to hear his case.



### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover,



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to

See **Copyright**, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

#### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright, from page 1

Greenberg in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney. Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geographic." a CD archive of its entire magazine library. Greenberg attempted to negotiate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright, Davis suid.

Since 2005, we federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlanta, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance wriers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held hat publishing the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 483. which also sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers over the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits citing *Tasini* have "warped" the Ginsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [federal appellate] circuits in the *Tastini* decision," Davissaid. "The Supreme Court. I think, will agree that the *Tastini* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasini, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 201(c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological revolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for freelance writers who could then negotiate new permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

#### Circulation:4,450

#### Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before *Tasini* was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel—citing the intervening *Tasini* decision—reversed the first panel's

ruling in favor of National Geographic. In June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7-5 in favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in *Tasini* while restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission—allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en banc majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version," publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.



I think, will agree that the *Tasini* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

----Norman Davis, photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library—even if they carry copyrights—were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege.

"The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege." the majority opinion stated.

#### Interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tasini*. Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini* 

but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In Tasini, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not sell the rights to reproduce those articles to computer on online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics, formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication.

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote. "We would reach the same conclusion if the [New York] Times sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continuous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film,"

Ginsburg wrote. "Accordingly, articles appear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context, Ginsburg wrote. In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context. ... In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles as part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."



#### Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 201(c) were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freelance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits, Greenberg's petition says, "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tasini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function," the petition continues, "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny. ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case, Greenberg's petition concludes, "[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freelance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer."

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the Daily Report, he said, "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in Tasini v. The New York Times et al. and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com or (404) 419-2835.





• EARY GREENBOX/ALL NOATS RESERVED. Jerry Greenberg sued the National Geographic Society for the use of this shark photo and others in a CD archive of its entire magazine library. He has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to hear his case.

### lay, C21-C27

# Business Day <sup>2/2</sup>

### The New York Times

### Justices to Review Copyright Extension

#### By LINDA GREENHOUSE

WASHINGTON, Feb. 19 — The Supreme Court agreed today to decide whether the 1998 law that extended the duration of existing copyrights by 20 years was constitutional. The court's action took the world of copyright holders and users by surprise and held the potential of producing the most important copyright case in decades.

A challenge to the law, the Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act, which many had regarded as a fanciful academic exercise, suddenly looked very different once the Supreme Court declared its interest.

The issue is whether the Constitution's grant of authority to Congress to issue

The Supreme Court's decision to hear the copyright case is likely to focus attention on which works should be in the public domain, legal experts said. Page C7.

SPOTLIGHT THROWN ON PUBLIC DOMAIN

copyrights and patents "for limited times" to "promote the progress of science and useful arts" contains any real limitation on how that power is to be exercised. That question has implications for future cases as the battle over the ownership of intellectual property focuses on the Internet.

As a practical matter, the consequences could be enormous, both for those with stakes in copyrights that are running out and for the growing community of people - represented by the plaintiffs in this case - trying to use the Internet to expand the boundaries of the public domain. If the 20year extension was unconstitutional, early Mickey Mouse depictions would no longer belong exclusively to the Walt Disney Company — although Disney would retain trademark protection for the character.

Two lower federal courts here had rejected arguments by a coalition of publishers and individuals that the latest extension of copyright protection — the 11th in the last 40 years — defeated the original intent of the Copyright Clause, in which the framers sought to grant a limited monopoly that would encourage and reward the creation of works while ensuring eventual

Continued on Page 6

### The Extension Of Copyrights

Continued From First Business Page

public access. The initial Copyright Act, which Congress amended only once in the next 150 years, provided for a 14-year term, with a 14-year renewal only if the author was still alive.

The plaintiffs had argued unsuccessfully that extending copyright protection for existing works did nothing to promote new creativity while subverting the concept of "limited times." They had also argued that the extension restricted free speech in violation of the First Amendment. They lost in a 2-to-1 ruling by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit one year ago.

After the plaintiffs filed their Supreme Court appeal last October, the Bush administration urged the Supreme Court to reject the case, Eldred v. Ashcroft, No. 01-618. The administration pointed out that there were no conflicting rulings on the validity of the 1998 law — with lower court disagreement being the most important criterion for Supreme Court review — and "no decision of any court holding that Congress cannot, consistent with the Copyright Clause, enact legislation that extends the term of existing copyrights."

The 1998 extension was a result of intense lobbying by a group of powerful corporate copyright holders, most visibly Disney, which faced the imminent expiration of copyrights on depictions of its most famous cartoon characters. Mickey Mouse, first copyrighted in 1928, would have been the first to go under the old law, which gave a 75-year copyright to works created for hire and owned by corporations. That became 95 years under the new law, both prospectively and for existing works; material created by individuals, previously protected for the life of the artist or author, plus 50 years, also received 20 more years.

Support for the extension also came from those who argued that it was necessary to match the copyright term granted by the European Union.

The plaintiffs' Supreme Court appeal, filed by Prof. Lawrence Lessig of Stanford Law School, garnered support from concerned groups including the American Library Association and other libraries. Now that the court has agreed to hear the case, with arguments to be held in the fall, priefs will undoubtedly pour into the court from copyright holders' as well as from public domain advocates.

The libraries' brief accused 'Congress of ''transforming a limited mohopoly into a virtually limitless one." 'rof. Peter Jaszi, a copyright expert it American University, whose law tudents wrote the brief, said today hat he was ''flabbergasted and deighted'' that the justices had accepted the case.

While "copyright is good," he said, the challenge was "based on the proposition that constitutionally, you can have too much of a good thing." He said that while the court had interpreted Congress's exercise of its copyright authority many times, it had never before taken on a direct challenge to that authority.

The plaintiffs' direct challenge to Congress in fact may have made their case attractive to justices who might otherwise not have been interested in a copyright dispute. The court is in the midst of its most active and skeptical scrutiny of Congressional action in more than 50 years. In his appeal, Professor Lessig cited recent decisions curbing Congress's exercise of another of its powers under Article I, Section 8, of the Constitution, the power to regulate interstate commerce.

He also argued that the 20-year extension would block "an extraordinary range of creative invention" from entering the public domain "just at the time that the Internet is enabling a much" broader range of individuals to draw upon and develop this creative work."

### HOME ELECTRONICS

SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1998 THE HERALD

National Geographic on DVD, CD-ROM

#### By ROY BASSAVE

Herald Staff Writer

Visit different cultures, peruse award-winning articles and photographs, and peek into the nature of rare plants and animals. With *The Complete National Geographic: 109 Years of National Geographic Magazine* on DVD and CD-ROM, all this and more is as close as your desktop.

This collection includes every page, article, page map, advertisement and magazine cover from every issue from 1888-1997. The DVD version holds all 190.000 pages on four discs; the CD-ROM version fills 31 CDs. Despite the breadth of the collection, the pull-out maps for which National Geographic is famous aren't included here. Not to worry, though. The release of National Geographic Maps (\$79, for Windows), an eight CD-ROM set of every fold-out map ever published by the magazine, is scheduled for release later this month.

But this set provides an example of DVD's high storage capacity and demonstrates a good reason to invest in the latest PCs featuring the technology. *The Complete* 

### NEW ON CD-ROM

National Geographic is the first of many DVD titles due for release by the holidays.

The Complete National Geographic is searchable using a number of criteria, including date, issue or cover subject, topic, title, or keyword; explorer, writer, or photographer; advertiser; or photograph or map. Printing capabilities allow users to print any article or photo in color or black and white.

The DVD set also features compelling video productions from National Geographic in fullscreen format with broadcastquality sharpness. A collector's version of the CD-ROM set, packaged in an elegant wooden case, is available for an estimated retail price of \$199.

The Complete National Geographic: 109 Years of National Geographic Magazine on CD-ROM and DVD-ROM. Category: Educational.

Recommended ages: 6 and up. Phone: 1-800-234-3088



#### Web site: www.mindscape.com

Computers used: HP 8960 400 MHz Pentium II MMX with 96 megabytes RAM with DVD (\$2,300 with monitor).

Printer Used: HP DeskJet 1120 Cxl Color Printer (\$200).

PC minimum system requirements: Pentium 100 MHz or better, 16 megabytes of RAM, SVGA color monitor, 8X CD-ROM drive, DVD-ROM drive, sound card and speakers, color or black and white printer, SAVING ATTIC SPACE: Forget hanging on to issues of National Geographic; every single page is available in this set for home computers.

28.8 modem, mouse, Windows 95. Macintosh system requirements: Performa or better, 33Mhz, 16 megabytes of RAM, color monitor with 256 colors, 8X CD-ROM drive, DVD-ROM, sound card and speakers, color or black and white printer, 28.8 modem, mouse, QuickTimé 1.6.1 or higher, System 7.0.1 or higher.

Estimated selling price, manufacturer: \$179 for CD-ROM, \$199 for DVD; Mindscape.

#### Subject: Seeking Nat. Geo CD plantiffs Date: Fri, 20 Feb 1998 12:10:46 -0700 From: "Howard M. Paul" < hmpaul@ecentral.com> To: STOCKPHOTO@PEACH.EASE.LSOFT.COM Newsgroups: bit.listproc.stockphoto

Anyone know how to contact the photographers that are suing the National Geographic Society over re-use of their work (on the CD set) without compensation? I would like to learn if they are seeking, or would accept, a friend-of-the-court brief from a national photography organization.

Home of the NFL Champion Denver Broncos

"...what a privilege it is to be a photographer, to stand mid-stream in life and feel it swirl around you, as real as the prairie wind." --- Jim Richardson

For Information About Stock Photography Software Visit The STOCKPHOTO Network Web Site => <u>http://www.stockphoto.net</u> PAGE 01

03/13/1998 18:31

GEM BOOK PUBLISHERS

PAGE 01

erry - Here is a positive out come

Subject: NAFP PRESS RELEASE-LAWSUIT SETTLED Date: Fri, 13 Mar 1998 11:11:11 -0700 From: "KLarkin440 (by way of \"Howard M. Paul\" < hmpaul@ecentral.com>)" <KLarkin440@AOL. To: STOCKPHOTO@PEACH.EASE.LSOFT.COM Newsgroups: bit.listproc.stockphoto

Associated Press Settles Lawsuit With Freelance Photographers; Admits Photographers Own Copyright

(March 13, 1998) NEW YORK--The Associated Press agreed late Wednesday to settle a lawsuit brought by three freelance photographers and the National Association of Freelance Photographers. As part of the settlement, AP recognizes the claims of copyright ownership by the three photographers.

In exchange, the photographers have agreed to permit AP's continued use of the five images contested in the litigation. Both sides further agree to drop all remaining charges, and to cover their own expenses.

The settlement comes on the heels of AP's admission in Federal Court, that it cannot make a legal claim of copyright ownership on all images created by freelance photographers which are currently held in its archive.

The AP's admission came in response to a copyright infringement complaint filed by Kevin Larkin, president of the NAFP. The AP admitted it could not claim copyright ownership to photographs of Wayne Gretzky which were created by Mr. Larkin. The admission was based on the fact that Larkin had crossed out, and thus nullified, a legend on a check which AP issued to pay Larkin for the assignment.

The AP maintains that the check legend, which is printed on the face of all its freelancers paychecks, transfers copyright ownership in all images created while on assignment for the news agency. The NAFP argued that by crossing out, or altering the legend, there was no copyright transfer. The AP admission confirms the NAFP's contention.

"We are pleased we were able to force the AP to admit that their legend is not an ironclad transfer of all rights," said Larkin. "And, although we did not achieve the grand victory we had hoped for, we have proven their unrelenting claims to our copyrights to be without merit."

"We were able to achieve something most photographers only dream of, much less fight for. We reclaimed that which is rightfully ours -- our photographs."

The NAFP agreed to the settlement because the AP's admission proves that freelance photographers can maintain control of their copyrights, Larkin said.

The individual photographers agreed to settle their individual claims because they achieved substantially all that was necessary to prove the purpose of the litigation, Larkin added.

"Rather than risk further delays, and spend more money with little more to be gained, we got a quick and decisive victory, and have set a precedent we will build upon in the future," Larkin said.

"Any freelance photographer can now keep their copyright safe from the AP. All they need is a pen."

GEM BOOK PUBLISHERS

#### CONTACTS:

President

718 965 1372

NAFP

KEVIN J. LARKIN PAUL HURSCHMANN JOEL L. HECKER, Esq. Exec. Vice-President NAFP 313 528-1630 KLARKIN440@aol.com HURSCHMANN@aol.com

Attorney-At-Law Russo & Burke 212 557-9600

For Information on Market Lead E-mail & Fax Services Visit The STOCKPHOTO Network Web Site => http://www.stockphoto.net --------

#### Subject: copyright infringement update Date: Fri, 3 Apr 1998 13:28:21 -0500 From: Joe McGovern <joemn@lori.state.ri.us> To: STOCKPHOTO@PEACH.EASE.LSOFT.COM Newsgroups: bit.listproc.stockphoto

Thought this might interest the group: A state of the sta

Playboy said Thursday a federal judge in southern California has awarded the media company what it believes to be the largest Internet- related damages award to date. The award was made against a commercial Internet service that used almost 7,500 Playboy-owned pictures on its Web site without authorization. The \$3.74 million award, plus attorneys' fees and court costs, was assessed against San Diego-based Five Senses Productions and its owner. Francesco Sanfilippo, Playboy said. It said the judgment is a landmark legal victory for the company, which spends millions of dollars annually on photography and design to produce its images. See http://www.infobeat.com/stories/cgi/story.cgi?id=2553593080-cb9

Joe McGovern Photography by Joe McGovern Joe McGovern Photography By Joe McGovern joemn@lori.state.ri.us

For Information on Subscribing or Unsubscribing from this Listserv Visit The STOCKPHOTO Network Web Site => <u>http://www.stockphoto.net</u>

Here is an interesting to refer to Norman -

#### **LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS**

#### Infringement In The Garden Of Good And Evil?

SAVANNAH, Georgia—Photographer Jack Leigh has sued Warner Brothers in federal district court here, charging that the studio copied a photo he shot for the cover of the best-selling book, *Midnight in the Garden of Good and Evil*, then used the photo without permission to promote the movie version. Warner Brothers denies the allegations.

Leigh's photo depicts a small statue, which he photographed so as to make it appear lifesize. In April, 1997, he wrote to Warner Broth-



ers asking the studio how it planned to use his photo in connection with the film adaptation of the book. Warner Brothers responded that it had no intention of using Leigh's image to promote the movie, Leigh claims.

But by late 1997, a similar picture to Leigh's was appearing on posters, billboards and film trailers. A cover for a movie soundtrack also features the photo, and the film itself contains one or more scenes that contain "copies and/or derivatives" of the photo, Leigh claims.

Leigh is alleging that Warner Brothers re-created the photo from Leigh's original image, according to his attorney, Todd Deveau.

Leigh, who registered the work prior to the alleged infringement, is seeking statutory damages from Warner Brothers. He's also charging the studio with violating the Lanham Act, which prohibits someone from crediting a work to someone other than the copyright holder. That charge is based on the studio's use of its own copyright notice on posters and Web sites which feature the disputed photo.

Attorneys for Warner Brothers did not return repeated calls for comment. Left: Leigh's photograph. Right: A Warner Brothers online promotion for the film with similar image. WARNER BROTHERS

Θ

The 'Tasini' decision gives publishers powerful

Free-lanced

rights to freely recycle writers' works on databases,

### FOR YOUR INFORMATION COURTESY WESTLIGHT

# Freebies

#### CD-ROMs and the Internet

#### **By SIMON J. FRANKEL**

ast December, I wrote an article for this publication about an important decision from the Sixth Circuit /U.S. Court of Appeals concerning photocopying and the fair use defense under the Copyright Act. When I provided the piece to an editor at *The Recorder*, we did not discuss ownership of the copyright. To the extent I considered

Simon J. Frankel is an associate with Howard, Rice, Nemerovski, Canady, Falk & Rabkin in San Francisco.

the matter, I assumed and understood that I was giving the paper an implied license to print my article in its *Intellectual Property* supplement, since that was all we discussed. The *Recorder* did not pay me for the article.

Within a month or two, I learned from a friend that, while searching the Web for articles on photocopying and copyright law, she had run across my article on the *Intellectual Property* Web site. I was a bit surprised, as my editor had never mentioned such reproduction, and I did not think *The Recorder* had an implied license to include my piece on its



The Recorder • November 1997

Web site. (Of course, it was difficult to see what additional compensation I had lost.)

Now, in a case of first impression, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York has suggested that *The Recorder* had the right to do so. That is, it was free to reproduce my article on its Web site without seeking my permission or paying me any consideration. The decision by Judge Sonia Sotomayor (who was recently nominated to the Second Circuit) may yet be reversed by the Second Circuit or superseded by Congress. But it has important implications for free-lance writers and many publishers.

#### **PERMISSION REQUIRED?**

At issue in *Tasini v. The New York Times Co.* was whether publishers are entitled to place the contents of their periodicals into electronic databases and onto CD-ROMs without first securing the permission of the free-lance writers whose contributions are included in those periodicals. The plaintiffs were six free-lance writers, who had sold their works to *Newsday, The New York Times* and *Sports Illustrated.* These publications had entered into agreements with Mead Data Central Corp. whereby they transferred the text of the stories in each issue to Nexis, which then made them available to subscribers. In addition, pursuant to an agreement with University Microfilms Inc. (UMI), the contents of *The New York Times* are distributed on CD-ROM by UMI.

Of course, the authors had given the publisher defendants ---Newsday, The New York Times and Sports Illustrated --- the right to reproduce their articles in those publications. Did that authorization somehow include the right to authorize the electronic defendants — Nexis and UMI — to include the authors' articles in their databases? That was the important question before the court.

The court first rejected the elaborate arguments of Newsday and Sports Illustrated that the plaintiff authors had in fact transferred the electronic rights to their articles. Newsday contended that the transfer was effected by the fact that the backs of the payment checks for the articles stated that Newsday received the "right to include" the articles "in electronic library archives." But there was no evidence the authors had agreed to such terms when the publishers sent their articles to Nexis — the checks only came later — and the terms did not necessarily transfer rights encompassing Nexis. The court similarly rejected Sports Illustrated's half-hearted contention that its contract, giving it the right "first to publish" an article, somehow gave it the right to publish the article first in any medium.

The parties agreed that the publications at issue, such as individual issues of *Newsday*, constituted "collective works" under the terms of the Copyright Act — that is, a work "in which a number of contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole." The decision therefore turned on the interpretation of one provision of the 1976 Copyright Act. Section 201(c) provides:

Copyright in each separate contribution to a collective work



Intellectual Property

is distinct from copyright in the collective work as a whole, and vests initially in the author of the contribution. In the absence of an express transfer of the copyright or of any rights under it, the owner of copyright in the collective work is presumed to have acquired only the privilege of reproducing and distributing the contribution as part of that particular collective work, any revision of that collective work, and any later collective work in the same series.

#### **REVISION OR REPRODUCTION?**

As the court noted, if this provision ended with its first sentence, the plaintiffs would have prevailed. But the second sentence then gives the publishers "only the privilege" to reproduce and distribute "any revision of that collective work." Is electronic reproduction of articles on Nexis and on CD-ROMs simply a "revision" of the collective work in which an author's article appeared, and therefore allowed by S201(c)? Or is it really the reproduction of the original articles themselves — in a new collective work (a Nexis database, for example) and in a new medium — beyond the privilege accorded the publishers by S201(c)?

Dwelling on the language of \$201(c) — which was all it had to go on — the court emphasized that the statute "contains no express limitation upon the medium in which a revision can be created. To the contrary, 'any revision' of a collective work is permissible, provided it is a revision of 'that collective work.'"

The court then turned to the term "revision," as applied to collective works, and concluded that "Congress plainly intended to prevent publishers from reshaping or altering the content of individual articles. With this limitation in place, Congress apparently was willing to permit publishers significant leeway, i.e., the leeway to create 'any revision' of their collective works." The court based this conclusion in part on scattered excerpts from the legislative history of the 1976 act suggesting that authors were more concerned with preventing publishers from changing individual works in producing "revisions" than with what publishers could do with the collective work itself. Hence, "[t]he key limitation imposed upon publishers under §201(c) rests in the fact that publishers are permitted only to reproduce a particular plaintiff's article 'as part of' a revised version of 'that collective work' in which the article originally appeared." selves, rather than the collective works.

#### SELECTION ALONE IS COPYRIGHTABLE

In response, the court fell back on the argument that the defendants' "original selection of articles, a defining element of their periodicals, is preserved electronically," because articles appear in the "databases solely because the defendant publishers earlier made the editorial determination that those articles would appeal to readers." (The court remarked that *The New York Times*, with its motto of "all the news that's fit to print," was "the epitome of a publication in which selection alone reflects sufficient originality to merit copyright protection" in the collective work.)

And, when retrieved electronically on Nexis, articles include identification by publication, issue, date, and page number as well as by author, "in such a way that the publisher defendants' original selection remains evident online." To the court, then, the issue was "whether the electronic reproductions retain enough of defendants' periodicals to be recognizable as versions of those periodicals." The court also concluded that it was enough that "the electronic databases preserve defendants' original selection of articles."

This conclusion appears to sweep too broadly. Although articles online appear there because they were included in collective works and are identified as coming from those collective works, the articles, when viewed online, do not appear in or as part of those works (other than through identifying information); the electronic databases reproduce the contributions of the original authors. The text one retrieves on Nexis hardly resembles the selection or arrangement present in *The New York Times* from the newsstand; all that is there on the screen is the text of the individual articles. As the plaintiffs pointed out, the court's analysis focused only on what was retained electronically from the collective work, not on what was lost, allowing the court to conclude that the publishers had only reproduced "revisions" of their collective works, within the scope of the privilege accorded by §201(c).

The plaintiffs did not help their case by appearing to concede that \$201(c) might allow publishers the right to make microfilm editions of a periodical. And indeed, authors have, for years, acquiesced in the reproduction of their articles on microfilm versions of the publications in which they appeared — in part, no doubt, because microfilm rights never produced the financial stakes now

#### It may be time for Congress to take action to clarify the scope and meaning of revision rights in collective works.

This analysis left the court grappling with the issue of whether . Nexis and the CD-ROMs reproduce the collective works of the publishers — that is, issues of their periodicals — or simply reproduce the individual articles included in the issues. The plaintiffs correctly pointed out that searches on Nexis (in contrast to CD-ROMs) retrieve the full text of individual articles, not entire issues, hence removing the originality manifested in the publishers' compilation of the collective works. Of course, Nexis reproduces the entire text of each article, identified as coming from the collective work, in a form that bears scant resemblance to the original collective work. This certainly supports the conclusion that the publishers were reproducing the plaintiffs' articles themat issue with electronic rights.

But as users of such microfilm newspapers know, this medium simply reproduces the image of the newspaper, presenting whole issues of periodicals so that articles in a particular issue continue to appear together. Microfilm does not reproduce and make available individual articles in the same way that Nexis does. This undermines the court's flip comment that "if it is 'possible' that \$201(c) permits microfilm reproductions of collective works, it is impossible that \$201(c) prohibits reproductions in a new medium."

#### DATABASES v. CD-ROM

As this suggests, there is a distinction between Nexis (where the publications' graphics and photographs are stripped away) and the CD-ROM reproductions, which actually present the image of the original. Judge Sotomayor's decision makes more sense applied to CD-ROMs, which present an image of the original periodical — a revision of the collective work — as does microfilm, than applied to Nexis, which reproduces stripped-down versions of the individual articles. But because Judge Sotomayor found that even reproduction on Nexis qualified as a "revision," she did not dwell on these differences.

Although Tasini dealt only with electronic reproduction on Nexis and CD-ROM, the holding has obvious implications for the reproduction of periodical articles on Web sites. As with Nexis, an article on a Web site generally appears by itself; it is identified as coming from a collective work, but does not appear as part of that work. But under the court's analysis, reproduction of articles on Web sites ought to qualify as revision of the collective work — and hence not require permission from the individual articles' authors — so long as all the articles from an issue of a periodical are available, somewhere, on the Web site. This reasoning certainly undercuts any cause of action I might have had against *The Recorder*.

But it's unclear that the breadth of the decision is what Congress intended in §201(c). Was Congress thinking of such media when it enacted the 1976 act? Judge Sotomayor concluded that, while Congress was aware of new technologies at the time of the 1976 act, it "did not fully understand their implications," and therefore "expressly declined ... to settle the copyright implications of 'automatic systems capable of storing, processing, retrieving, or transferring information.' " This doesn't fully answer the question of whether Congress intended that the right of "revision" extend to the media that now surround us. Certainly the difficulty in applying the "revision" to Nexis and CD-ROMs suggests that the term may not be applicable to reproduction in a new medium.

Of course, free-lance writers may secure (or at least try) by contract what the *Tasini* decision may deny them: the right to profit from the electronic distribution of their articles. And, in theory, publishers should pay somewhat higher prices for publication rights now that it is clear they are securing electronic distribution rights as well. In fact, beginning a few years ago (after Tasini filed suit), most major newspaper and magazine publishers began using "all-rights" contracts with free-lancers, giving the publishers rights in all electronic media. Hence, while *Tasini* has continuing relevance to rights to back-issues of periodicals, its prospective significance may be limited.

Whether or not the court's conclusion was entirely correct, Judge Sotomayor was surely right that "modern technology has created a situation in which revision rights are much more valuable than anticipated as of the time that the specific terms of the Copyright Act were being negotiated. If Congress agrees with plaintiffs that, in today's world of pricey electronic information systems, \$201(c) no longer serves its intended purposes, Congress is free to revise that provision to achieve a more equitable result."

The Copyright Act has always lagged behind technological developments, but it may be time for Congress to take action to clarify the scope and meaning of revision rights in collective works. Meanwhile, an appeal to the Second Circuit is likely. Either way, Judge Sotomayor's recent work itself may be subject to revision.

CALNLAW is pleased to announce that WILSON SONSINI **GOODRICH & ROSATI** has become its exclusive sponsor in the area of **Employment** Law Stay informed by reading Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati's Employment briefing papers at www.callaw.com/brief.html Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 telephone: 650-493-9300 facsimile: 650-493-6811 www.wsgr.com

> For more information about Law Firm sponsorship on Cal Law, please contact Norlie Lin at 415-749-5534 or by e-mail at nlin0000@counsel.com

www.callaw.com



The Barrington Consulting Group, Inc.

#### PAGE 01

#### Subject: ASJA Contracts Watch #56 & Copyright Date: Wed, 18 Feb 1998 09:15:26 -0700 From: "Howard M. Paul" < https://www.astron.com To: STOCKPHOTO@PEACH.EASE.LSOFT.COM Newsgroups: bit.listproc.stockphoto

I would like to request that the NPPA officially add its name to the friend of the court brief in the Tasini v. the New York Times case (if still possible).

Given the importance of potential precedent in the National Geographic suit, I'd also like to request the NPPA and ASPP (of which I am a member) to research and possibly file a friend-of-the-court brief on behalf of the plaintiffs.

I know that the leadership of both groups read NPPA-L, PhotoPro and/or Stockphoto-L (or lurk hereabout). I believe this message will reach them in this manner. Others in the 'biz should be aware of these two cases as well.

>ASJA CONTRACTS WATCH 56 (vol 5, #2) CW980217 February 17, 1998

>In New York City, a friend-of-the-court brief has been filed in the >U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit by 20 creators' >organizations in support of the six writers who are appealing the >dismissal of their complaint in "Tasini v. the New York Times," the >first major copyright infringement lawsuit on electronic database >reuse of newspaper and magazine articles. (See ASJA Contracts Watch >49, August 15, 1997.) Rather than blame a law that hasn't kept pace >with technological advances, as the "Tasini" judge did, the authors' >brief blames the judge's "faulty analysis," in which copyright law >"was twisted beyond recognition."

> The brief was prepared by the American Society of Media >Photographers and endorsed by the Advertising Photographers of >America, American Institute of Graphic Arts, ASJA, American Society >of Picture Professionals, Authors Guild, Garden Writers Association >of America, Graphic Artists Guild, Independent Writers of Southern >California, North American Nature Photography Association, Outdoor >Writers Association of America, PEN Center USA West, Picture Agency >Council of America, Science Fiction and Fantasy Writers of America, >Society of American Travel Writers Freelance Council, Volunteer >Lawyers for the Arts, Washington Independent Writers, Writers Guild >of America East and Writers Guild of America West.

> According to Patricia Felch of the Chicago law firm of Peterson & >Ross, who is directing the appeal, final paperwork from both sides is >due April 7, to be followed by oral arguments. >

.

>Two lawsuits brought by photographers against National Geographic are >proceeding-one in Miami, one in New York. The actions, both brought >in December, contest unauthorized, uncompensated use of freelancers' >work in Geographic's 30-disc CD-ROM set, covering 108 years of the >magazine. Motions and memorandums of law are flying.

 GEM BOOK PUBLISHERS

PAGE 01

Jerry - Here is a positive out come

#### Subject: NAFP PRESS RELEASE-LAWSUIT SETTLED Date: Fri, 13 Mar 1998 11:11:11 -0700 From: "KLarkin440 (by way of \"Howard M. Paul\" < hmpaul@ecentral.com>)" <KLarkin440@AOL. To: STOCKPHOTO@PEACH.EASE.LSOFT.COM Newsgroups: bit.listproc.stockphoto

Associated Press Settles Lawsuit With Freelance Photographers; Admits -Photographers Own Copyright

(March 13, 1998) NEW YORK--The Associated Press agreed late Wednesday to settle a lawsuit brought by three freelance photographers and the National Association of Freelance Photographers. As part of the settlement, AP recognizes the claims of copyright ownership by the three photographers.

In exchange, the photographers have agreed to permit AP's continued use of the five images contested in the litigation. Both sides further agree to drop all remaining charges, and to cover their own expenses.

The settlement comes on the heels of AP's admission in Federal Court, that it cannot make a legal claim of copyright ownership on all images created by freelance photographers which are currently held in its archive.

The AP's admission came in response to a copyright infringement complaint filed by Kevin Larkin, president of the NAFP. The AP admitted it could not claim copyright ownership to photographs of Wayne Gretzky which were created by Mr. Larkin. The admission was based on the fact that Larkin had crossed out, and thus nullified, a legend on a check which AP issued to pay Larkin for the assignment.

The AP maintains that the check legend, which is printed on the face of all its freelancers paychecks, transfers copyright ownership in all images created while on assignment for the news agency. The NAFP argued that by crossing out, or altering the legend, there was no copyright transfer. The AP admission confirms the NAFP's contention.

"We are pleased we were able to force the AP to admit that their legend is not an ironclad transfer of all rights," said Larkin. "And, although we did not achieve the grand victory we had hoped for, we have proven their unrelenting claims to our copyrights to be without merit."

"We were able to achieve something most photographers only dream of, much less fight for. We reclaimed that which is rightfully ours -- our photographs."

The NAFP agreed to the settlement because the AP's admission proves that freelance photographers can maintain control of their copyrights, Larkin said.

The individual photographers agreed to settle their individual claims because they achieved substantially all that was necessary to prove the purpose of the litigation, Larkin added.

"Rather than risk further delays, and spend more money with little more to be gained, we got a quick and decisive victory, and have set a precedent we will build upon in the future," Larkin said.

"Any freelance photographer can now keep their copyright safe from the AP. All they need is a pen."

#### **03/13/1998 18:31 301-983-3980**

#### CONTACTS:

KEVIN J. LARKIN PAUL HURSCHMANN JOEL L. HECKER, Esq. President Exec. Vice President NAFP NAFP 718 965 1372 313 528-1630 KLARKIN440@aol.com HURSCHMANN@ao1.com

Attorney-At-Law Russo & Burke 212 557-9600

For Information on Market Lead E-mail & Fax Services

Visit The STOCKPHOTO Network Web Site => http://www.stockphoto.net 

#### Subject: ASJA Contracts Watch #56 & Copyright Date: Wed, 18 Feb 1998 09:15:26 -0700 From: "Howard M. Paul" < https://www.secontral.com To: STOCKPHOTO@PEACH.EASE.LSOFT.COM Newsgroups: bit.listproc.stockphoto

I would like to request that the NPPA officially add its name to the friend-of-the-court brief in the Tasini v. the New York Times case (if still possible).

Given the importance of potential precedent in the National Geographic suit, I'd also like to request the NPPA and ASPP (of which I am a member) to research and possibly file a friend-of-the-court brief on behalf of the plaintiffs.

I know that the leadership of both groups read NPPA-L, PhotoPro and/or Stockphoto-L (or lurk hereabout). I believe this message will reach them in this manner. Others in the 'biz should be aware of these two cases as well.

>ASJA CONTRACTS WATCH 56 (vol 5, #2) CW980217 February 17, 1998

>In New York City, a friend-of-the-court brief has been filed in the >U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit by 20 creators' >organizations in support of the six writers who are appealing the >dismissal of their complaint in "Tasini v. the New York Times," the >first major copyright infringement lawsuit on electronic database >reuse of newspaper and magazine articles. (See ASJA Contracts Watch >49, August 15, 1997.) Rather than blame a law that hasn't kept pace >with technological advances, as the "Tasini" judge did, the authors' >brief blames the judge's "faulty analysis," in which copyright law >"was twisted beyond recognition."

> The brief was prepared by the American Society of Media >Photographers and endorsed by the Advertising Photographers of >America, American Institute of Graphic Arts, ASJA, American Society >of Picture Professionals, Authors Guild, Garden Writers Association >of America, Graphic Artists Guild, Independent Writers of Southern >California, North American Nature Photography Association, Outdoor >Writers Association of America, PEN Center USA West, Picture Agency >Council of America, Science Fiction and Fantasy Writers of America, >Society of American Travel Writers Freelance Council, Volunteer >Lawyers for the Arts, Washington Independent Writers, Writers Guild >of America East and Writers Guild of America West.

> According to Patricia Felch of the Chicago law firm of Peterson & >Ross, who is directing the appeal, final paperwork from both sides is >due April 7, to be followed by oral arguments.

```
2
```

>Two lawsuits brought by photographers against National Geographic are >proceeding--one in Miami, one in New York. The actions, both brought >in December, contest unauthorized, uncompensated use of freelancers' >work in Geographic's 30-disc CD-ROM set, covering 108 years of the >magazine. Motions and memorandums of law are flying.



### **Photographer takes** copyright fight to **U.S. high court**

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover.



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to See Copyright, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com

#### **Photographer takes** copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright, from page 1

Greenberg in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney, Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geographic," a CD archive of its entire magazine library. Greenberg attempted to negotiate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright, Davis said

Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlanta. have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance writers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held that publishing the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers over the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits citing Tasini have "warped" the Ginsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [federal appellate] circuits in the Tasini decision," Davis said. "The Supreme Court. I think, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasini, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini. dctermined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 201(c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological revolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for freelance writers who could then negotiate new permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works

#### rdd fudiig keiguions

#### Circulation:4,450

#### Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic, Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before Tasini was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel-citing the intervening Tasini decision-reversed the first panel's

ruling in favor of National Geographic. In June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7-5 in favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in Tasiniwhile restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission-allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en banc majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version," publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.



I think, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

-Norman Davis, photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library—even if they carry copyrights—were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege.

"The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege." the majority opinion stated.

#### Interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tasini*. Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini*.

but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In Tasini, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not sell the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics, formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication.

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition." Ginsburg wrote. "We would reach the same conclusion if the [*New York*] *Times* sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continuous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film."

Ginsburg wrote. "Accordingly, articles appear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context, Ginsburg wrote. In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context. ... In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles as part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."



#### Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 201(c) were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freelance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits, Greenberg's petition says, "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tasini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function," the petition continues, "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny. ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case, Greenberg's petition concludes,

"[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freelance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer."

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the Daily Report, he said, "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in Tasini v. The New York Times et al. and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com or (404) 419-2835.





entry concerning such the National Geographic Society for the use of this shark photo and others in a CD archive of its entire magazine library. He has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to hear his case.

4/4

rbb Public Relations



### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover,



The case turns on what justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to

See Copyright, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

#### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright, from page 1

Greenberg in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney. Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geographic," a CD archive of its entire magazine library. Greenberg attempted to negotiate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright, Davis said.

Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlanta. have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance wriers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held that publishing the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers over the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits citing *Tasini* have "warped" the Ginsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [federal appellate] circuits in the *Tasini* decision." Davis said. "The Supreme Court. I think, will agree that the *Tasini* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasimi, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 201(c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological revolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for freelance writers who could then negotiate new permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

#### Circulation:4,450

#### Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before *Tasini* was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel—citing the intervening *Tasini* decision—reversed the first panel's

ruling in favor of National Geographic. In June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7-5 in favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in *Tasini* – while restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission—allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en banc majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version," publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.





PERF GREENERGAUL North RESUMED
Jerry Greenberg sued the National Geographic Society for the use of this shark photo and others in a
CD archive of its entire magazine library. He has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to hear his case.



#### Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 201(c) were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freelance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits, Greenberg's petition says, "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tasini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function," the petition continues, "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny. ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case, Greenberg's petition concludes, "[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freelance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer."

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the *Daily Report*, he said. "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in *Tasini v. The New York Times et al.* and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com or (404) 419-2835. 3/4



I think, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

----Norman Davis, photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library—even if they carry copyrights—were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege.

"The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege," the majority opinion stated.

#### Interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tasini*. Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini* 

but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In Tasini, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not sell the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics. formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication.

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote. "We would reach the same conclusion if the [*New York*] *Times* sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continuous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film,"

Ginsburg wrote, "Accordingly, articles appear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context. Ginsburg wrote, In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context, .... In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles as part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."



### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990. National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover,



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to See Copyright, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

#### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright, from page 1

Greenberg in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney. Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geographic," a CD archive of its entire magazine library. Greenberg attempted to negotiate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright. Davis said

Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlanta, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance writers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held that publishing the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers were the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits citing *Tasini* have "warped" the Cinsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [federal appellate] circuits in the *Tasim* decision." Davis said. "The Supreme Court. I think, will agree that the *Tasim* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tarvin, named for lead planniff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 201(c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological tevolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for freelance writers who could then negotiate uwa permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

#### Circulation:4,450

#### Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case. finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before *Tasini* was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel—citing the intervening *Tasini* decision—reversed the first panel% ruling in favor of National Geographic. In June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7.5 in favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in *Tasini* – while restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission—allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en bane majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version." publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.

rdd rudiig keiguions


# Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover,



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to

See Copyright, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

#### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright, from page 1

Greenheig in the mid-1980s, said the photographic s longtime Miami attorney, Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geoeraphic," a CD archive of its entire magazine library. Greenberg attempted to negotitate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright. Davis said.

Since 2005, we federal appellate circuits, the 2nu in New York and the 11th in Atlanta, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance wriers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the O library, the appellate courts have held CD library. In appellate courts have held that publishing the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Groenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between irrelance writers and publishers wort the diguized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits eiting *Tayoni* have "warped" the Ginsburg majority optimon.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [foderal appellate] circuits in the *Tasim* decision." Davis said. "The Supreme Court. I think, will agree that the *Tasim* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasim, hamed for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated Freelance writers copergibis if they sold previously published freelance articles to online database without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 076 to secure 2016; 0 of the federal copyright haw in the context of the technological revolution that has created new averages of publication.

The decision was considered a win for beclance writers who could then negotiate new permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held write new uses of previously published works.

#### Circulation:4,450

#### Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before *Tasini* was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400.000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel—citing the intervening Tasimi decision—reversed the first panel\*s

ruling in favor of National Geographic. In June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7.5 in favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding my the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding, virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geograph ic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in *Tashai* while restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission—allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en bane majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version." publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws withour renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.

rdd fudiic keiguions



The Supreme Court, Ithink, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very voiatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

-Norman Davis. photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library—even if they carry copyrights—were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege.

"The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege," the majority opinion stated.

#### Interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling numed on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tasini*. Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini*.

but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In Tasini. Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not sell the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics. formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication.

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote, "We would reach the same conclusion if the [*New York*] *Times* sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continu ous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film."

Ginsburg wrote, "Accordingly, articles aopear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely the position in which the articles impeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article or context. Ginsburg wrote. In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context.

. In short, unlike microforms, the detabases do not perceptibly reproduce articles us part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."



#### Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 2011(c) were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freetance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits, Greenberg's petition says, "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tasini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function," the petition continues, "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny, ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case, Greenberg's petition concludes.

"[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freelance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer."

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the *Daily Report*, he said, "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in *Tasini v. The New York Times et al.* and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com/or/ (404)/419-2835.





• SAVY CREMERCIAL MARTS RESERVED Jerry Greenberg sued the National Geographic Society for the use of this shark photo and others in a CD archive of its entire magazine library. He has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to hear his case.



# Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH. 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenherg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover.



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to See Copyright, page 9

see copyright, page s

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

#### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright. Total (1883-1

toreenheig in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney, Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geoamplic," a CD archive of its entire magazine library, Greenberg attempted to negotate a new publication contract, based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright, Davis and

Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlanta, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance wriers in New York and Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held CD library, the appellate courts have held CD high the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freedance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between trealance writers and publishers were the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits eiting *Tasual* have "warped" the Cinsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [(adert) appellate] circuits in the Tasimi decision." Davis said. "The Supreme Court, I think, will agree that the Tasimi decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatic copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasimi, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 2010 (c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological icvolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for treclance writers who could then negotiate one pertursions and noncarry contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

# Circulation:4,450

#### Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before *Tasini* was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400.000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel—citing the intervening Tasini decision—reversed the first panel's

ruling in favor of National Geographic, 1:: June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7.5 m favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding, virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in *lastni*while restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission—allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en bane majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version." publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.



I think, will agree that the *Tasini* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

---Norman Davis. photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library – even if they carry copyrights – were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege.

"The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege," the majority opinion stated.

#### interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tasini*, Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini*.

but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In Tasini, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not soll the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics. formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication.

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote, "We would reach the same conclusion if the [*New York*] *Times* sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continu ous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film,"

Ginsburg wrote: "Accordingly, articles appear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context, Ginxburg wrote. In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context.

... In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles us part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."



#### Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggrogating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 201(c) were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freelance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits. Greenberg's petition says. "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tasini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function." the petition continues. "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny. ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case. Greenberg's petition concludes. "[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freedance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freedancer's individual works without compensating the freedancer."

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the *Daily Report*, he sold. "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in *Tasini v. The New York Times et al.* and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com or (404) 419-2835.





• DAY GEOMERCIAL NOTIFIES AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF



# Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

#### BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover.



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to

See Copyright, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

#### Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright. Incom page 1

Ureeniary milie mul-1980s, said the phatographer's longtime Miami attorney. Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geoamplic" a CD archive of its entire magazine library. Greenberg attempted to negotiate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright. Davis said.

Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlantu, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance wriers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held CD library. In appellate courts have held CD library. The capture is archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of New York Times v. Tasmi, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers wer the diguized use of the writers' works.

Oreenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits eiting Taxim have "warped" the Cinsburg majority opmon.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [fadarial appellate] urecurst in the Tasim decision." Davissaid. "The Supreme Court. I think, will agree that the Tasim decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tawni, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 201(c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological revolution that has created new avenues of publication.

 The decision was considered a win for treatance writers who could then negotiate new permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

# Circulation:4,450

## Back-and-forth case

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 1ht Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding, that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before *Taxim* was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new Ith Circuit panel—citing the intervening Tasini decision—reversed the first panel's ruling in favor of National Geographic. In-Jone the 11th Circuit, sitting en bane, split 7.5 m favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding my the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in *lastiai*while restricting the publishers from setting

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission—allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en bane majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version." publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.

rdd fudiic keiskions



The Supreme Court. I think, will agree that the Tasini decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

----Norman Davis, photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library – even if they carry copyrights – were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege.

"The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege." the majority opinion stated.

#### Interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tasini*. Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini*.

but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In Tasini, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not sell the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics, formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication.

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote, "We would reach the same conclusion if the [*New York*] *Times* sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continu ous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film,"

Ginsburg wrote, "Accordingly, articles iopear on the microforms, writ very smail, in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context. Ginsburg wrote, In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context.

. In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles us part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."



#### Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 2011(c) were intended to fimit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freedance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits. Greenberg's petition says. "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tosini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function," the petition continues, "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny, ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case. Greenberg's petition concludes, "[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freelance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer."

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the Daily Report, he said. "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in Tasini v. The New York. Times et al. and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com or (404) 419-2835.





• DAY ORENARY, ALL NORTH STRATEGY OF THE USE OF THE USE



# Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

HIS LAWYER SAYS 11TH, 2ND CIRCUITS misinterpreted Supreme Court ruling in their decisions favoring National Geographic

# BY R. ROBIN MCDONALD

A FLORIDA PHOTOGRAPHER is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to revisit a landmark copyright decision to determine whether federal appellate courts in Georgia and New York have interpreted it correctly.

The move by freelance underwater photographer Jerry Greenberg extends his 11-year fight

with the National Geographic Society over its use of his photographs in a CD compilation of every edition of its flagship magazine.

Between 1962 and 1990, National Geographic published 64 of Greenberg's photos, including one of a shark in the Florida Keys that became a magazine cover.



The case turns on what Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg meant.

National Geographic paid Greenberg for the publication rights, which were conveyed back to

See Copyright, page 9

Find related court documents by clicking on this story at DailyReportOnline.com.

# Photographer takes copyright fight to U.S. high court

#### Copyright, from page 1

Greenberg in the mid-1980s, said the photographer's longtime Miami attorney, Norman Davis of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey.

In 1997, when National Geographic developed "The Complete National Geographic," a CD archive of its entire magazine library, Greenberg attempted to negotiate a new publication contract based on the CD library. But National Geographic claimed the CD set did not infringe Greenberg's copyright, Davis said.

Since 2005, two federal appellate circuits, the 2nd in New York and the 11th in Atlanta, have agreed with National Geographic. In separate cases brought by freelance writers in New York and Greenberg in Florida against the National Geographic over the CD library, the appellate courts have held that publishing the magazine's archive on computer CDs does not infringe the copyrights of its freelance contributors.

Greenberg's appeal asks the Supreme Court to clarify Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg's majority decision in the 2001 case of *New York Times v. Tasini*, 533 U.S. 483, which also sought to settle a dispute between freelance writers and publishers over the digitized use of the writers' works.

Greenberg's petition asserts that federal appellate copyright rulings by the 11th and 2nd Circuits citing *Tasini* have "warped" the Ginsburg majority opinion.

"We believe the Supreme Court would be interested in what has been done by two of the [federal appellate] circuits in the *Tasini* decision," Davis said. "The Supreme Court, I think, will agree that the *Tasini* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing."

Tasini, named for lead plaintiff and freelance writer Jonathan Tasini, determined that publishers violated freelance writers' copyrights if they sold previously published freelance articles to online databases without securing new permission from the authors. The case provided guidance in interpreting and applying revisions made in 1976 to section 201(c) of the federal copyright laws in the context of the technological revolution that has created new avenues of publication.

The decision was considered a win for freelance writers who could then negotiate new permissions and monetary contracts with publishers for what the court majority held were new uses of previously published works.

# Circulation:4,450

# **Back-and-forth case**

Greenberg's case began in Florida, where a federal judge originally found for National Geographic. Greenberg appealed to the 11th Circuit, which in 2001 reversed the district court and remanded the case, finding that National Geographic had infringed the photographer's copyright. The 11th circuit opinion was released shortly before *Tasini* was handed down.

The Florida district court subsequently found that National Geographic owed Greenberg \$400,000 in damages. National Geographic appealed, and last year a new 11th Circuit panel—citing the intervening *Tasini* decision—reversed the first panel's

ruling in favor of National Geographic. In June the 11th Circuit, sitting en banc, split 7-5 in favor of National Geographic. That decision was compatible with a 2005 finding by the 2nd Circuit of New York regarding virtually identical copyright questions.

The two appellate circuits' majorities adopted arguments by National Geographic and a coterie of amici publishers that Ginsburg's majority opinion in *Tasini* while restricting the publishers from selling

freelancers work to online databases such as Lexis and Westlaw without securing the authors' permission—allowed publishers to place entire publication libraries on CDs and then sell them without owing anything to the freelance authors and photographers whose works are reproduced in those collections.

The 11th Circuit's en banc majority decision, determined that because National Geographic's digital library reproduced complete magazine issues "exactly as they are presented in the print version," publishers retained the privilege of reproducing them under federal copyright laws without renegotiating contracts with their writers and photographers.

rbd rudiug keiguions



The Supreme Court, I think, will agree that the *Tasini* decision has been wrongfully applied. In a very volatile copyright environment, that's not a good thing.

—Norman Davis, photographer's lawyer

The majority also decided that new elements such as the operating software and search engines that were added to the CD-ROM library—even if they carry copyrights—were not enough to make "The Complete National Geographic" a new collective work subject to copyright privilege.

"The addition of new material to a collec-

tive work will not, by itself, take the revised collective work outside the privilege," the majority opinion stated.

# Interpreting Ginsburg

The 11th Circuit ruling turned on the definitions of an acceptable revision and a new work as determined by *Tusini*. Publishers, including National Geographic, have acknowledged that their arguments are not based on specific language in *Tasini* but rather on dicta—explanatory commentary included in the opinion that does not directly address the facts of the case under review.

In *Tasini*, Ginsburg wrote for the 7-2 majority that electronic and CD-ROM databases compiled of individual articles culled from periodicals could not be considered "revisions" or revised editions of previously published issues—such as revised editions of an encyclopedia or multiple editions of a daily newspaper. Therefore, publishers may not scill the rights to reproduce those articles to computer or online databases without contracting for the publication rights from the authors. In deciding that the databases were not simply a revised edition, the Supreme Court focused on the articles' appearance in online databases without the graphics, formatting and layout that accompanied their original publication. 2/4

"Those databases simply cannot bear characterization as a 'revision' of any one periodical edition," Ginsburg wrote. "We would reach the same conclusion if the [New York] Times sent intact newspapers to the electronic publishers."

The court majority also specifically rejected an analogy offered by publishers saying that the electronic databases were no different than microfilm and microfiche reproductions. The court found that comparison "wanting."

"Microforms typically contain continuous photographic reproductions of a periodical in the medium of miniaturized film,"

Ginsburg wrote. "Accordingly, articles appear on the microforms, writ very small, in precisely the position in which the articles appeared in the newspaper."

As a result, a user views an article in context, Ginsburg wrote. In electronic databases, "by contrast, the articles appear disconnected from their original context. ... In short, unlike microforms, the databases do not perceptibly reproduce articles as part of the collective work to which the author contributed or as part of any 'revision' thereof."

# Petitioning the court

Greenberg's petition to the justices states that his case "presents the question of whether a database aggregating many collective works constitutes a 'revision' of each of its constituent collective works."

What constitutes a revision is key to the ongoing legal debate because, according to the petition, the 1976 copyright revisions embodied in Section 201(c) were intended "to limit the ability of a publisher to republish contributions to collective works without providing compensation to the freelance artists who should benefit from the demand for their work after the initial publication."

That section is the backdrop against which freelance artists and publishers negotiate their contracts, the petition asserts.

Both the 11th and 2nd Circuits, Greenberg's petition says, "have held that a publisher can avoid paying the artist anything under *Tasini* by the simple expediency of creating 'context' by including a feature that allows users to 'flip' between the pages of individual magazines."

"So long as publishers use an imagebased database with a flip function," the petition continues, "they can place their entire archive of magazines or newspapers on the Web for free, benefiting from advertising revenues or increased traffic. Yet the artist receives nary a penny. ... Publishers can sell access to individual articles, stories, or pictures, so long as the rest of the pages in the issue are a click away. Once a Google search can find it, the author's copyright for that individual text, picture or video is essentially worthless." Finally, in urging the high court to hear the case, Greenberg's petition concludes, "[T]he outcome of this dispute will determine whether freelance artists will share in the benefits of modern technology. ... This Court should clarify that publishers cannot reap the benefits of appropriating the market for the freelancer's individual works without compensating the freelancer." 3/4

On Thursday, Terry Adamson, executive vice president of the National Geographic Society, said he was not surprised Greenberg asked the high court to take the case.

In an e-mail to the Daily Report, he said, "We are evaluating whether to respond, and, if we do, what to add for the Court's consideration whether to grant or deny certiorari. As the 11th and 2nd Circuits have both held, we believe that the Supreme Court has clearly outlined the parameters of the statutory 201(c) privilege when it spoke in 2001 in Tasini v. The New York Times et al. and that the CNG [Complete National Geographic] is well within those parameters."

*R. Robin McDonald can be reached at robin.mcdonald@incisivemedia.com or (404) 419-2835.* 



4/4

# October 17, 2008

Jerry Greenberg sued the National Geographic Society for the use of this shark photo and others in a CD archive of its entire magazine library. He has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to hear his case.