

# SOCIETY OF UNIVERSITY PATENT ADMINISTRATORS

April 28, 1978

82 mailed

## PRESIDENT

Mr. Howard W. Bremer  
Patent Counsel  
Wisconsin Alumni Research Fdn.  
614 N. Walnut Street  
Madison, WI 53705

## PAST PRESIDENT

Mr. Ray Woodrow  
Senior University Officer  
Princeton University  
P. O. Box 34  
Princeton, NJ 08540

## V.P.—EASTERN REGION

Mr. William O. Burke  
Chairman  
University Patent Committee  
University of Georgia  
Office of V.P. for Research  
Athens, GA 30602

## V.P.—CENTRAL REGION

Dr. Ralph L. Davis  
Patent Manager  
Purdue Research Foundation  
West Lafayette, IN 47907

## V.P.—WESTERN REGION

Mr. Clark A. McCartney  
Patent Administrator  
University of Southern California  
University Park  
837 W. 36th Place  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

## SECRETARY-TREASURER

Ms. Mary Spores  
Assistant to Director  
Research Services Administration  
Northwestern University  
Rebecca Crown Center  
Evanston, IL 60201

## TRUSTEES

Mr. Theodore Wildt  
Assistant to the Vice-Rector  
Laval University  
Quebec, Canada G1K 7P4

Mr. Clarence W. Martin  
Director  
Patent & Product Development  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Ms. Cynthia Hanson  
Assistant Patent Officer  
Colorado State University  
Administration Building  
Fort Collins, CO 80523

Mr. Ray E. Snyder  
Counselor at Law  
135 S. LaSalle Street  
Suite 606  
Chicago, IL 60603

This is an effort, with Howard Bremer's concurrence, to obtain whatever information is available to determine the effect of title-in-the-government or deferred-determination government patent policies on the level of private R & D funding at universities. Also, it will be extremely helpful to have evidence that the HEW and NSF Institutional Patent Agreements have had a positive effect on industrial support at your university. Please assist by responding to the following if possible:

1. Cite cases or instances in the past where private sponsors or potential private sponsors lost interest in funding a project because of government claim or potential claims to patents in related government-sponsored research. State the dollar volume you have so lost within the past five years. Provide any intangible evidence you may have that private sponsors have backed off where federal funds are involved.

2. Have there been cases where you had to negotiate specific terms with private sponsors because of patent clauses related to federal funding? If so, please describe.

3. Cite cases or instances in the past where an IPA "saved the day" in negotiating private funding for a project.

4. Give your opinions or impressions as to the overall effect of government title-in-inventions or deferred-determination policies on the interest of industry in supporting university research.

You are no doubt aware of 1976 NSF statistics which show that of \$3.7 billion spent on R & D at universities, only \$122 million came from industrial support (\$2.5 billion from federal agencies and the rest from the states, foundations, etc.). It seems certain that an institutional patent agreement policy for all federal agencies is a necessary precondition to the expansion of this ridiculously low level of R & D support by private industry.

Your help with documentation and professional opinion will be extremely helpful in SUPA's efforts to achieve a government-wide IPA approach to inventions. Please let us hear from you by June 1 if at all possible.

Sincerely,

William O. Burke  
Vice President  
Eastern Region

WOB:ev